Cosmogenic nuclide and solute flux data from central Cuban rivers emphasize the importance of both physical and chemical mass loss from tropical landscapes
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Abstract. We use 25 new measurements of in situ produced cosmogenic 26Al and 10Be in river sand, paired with estimates of dissolved load flux in river water, to characterize the processes and pace of landscape change in central Cuba. Long-term erosion rates inferred from 10Be concentrations in quartz extracted from central Cuban river sand range from 3.4–189 Mg km⁻² yr⁻¹ (mean 59, median 45). Dissolved loads (10–176 Mg km⁻² yr⁻¹; mean 92, median 97), calculated from stream solute concentrations and modeled runoff, exceed measured cosmogenic-10Be-derived erosion rates in 18 of 23 basins. This disparity mandates that in this environment landscape-scale mass loss is not fully represented by the cosmogenic nuclide measurements.

The 26Al / 10Be ratios are lower than expected for steady-state exposure or erosion in 16 of 24 samples. Depressed 26Al / 10Be ratios occur in many of the basins that have the greatest disparity between dissolved loads (high) and erosion rates inferred from cosmogenic nuclide concentrations (low). Depressed 26Al / 10Be ratios are consistent with the presence of a deep, mixed, regolith layer providing extended storage times on slopes and/or burial and extended storage during fluvial transport. River water chemical analyses indicate that many basins with lower 26Al / 10Be ratios and high 10Be concentrations are underlain at least in part by evaporitic rocks that rapidly dissolve.

Our data show that when assessing mass loss in humid tropical landscapes, accounting for the contribution of rock dissolution at depth is particularly important. In such warm, wet climates, mineral dissolution can occur many meters below the surface, beyond the penetration depth of most cosmic rays and thus the production of most cosmogenic nuclides. Our data suggest the importance of estimating solute fluxes and measuring paired cosmogenic nuclides to better understand the processes and rates of mass transfer at a basin scale.
1 Introduction

Cosmogenic nuclide concentrations of river sand have been used to quantify rates of landscape change (often termed erosion rates) since the 1990s (Brown et al., 1995; Granger et al., 1996; Bierman and Steig, 1996; Portenga and Bierman, 2011; Codilean et al., 2018). Accurately establishing long-term rates of change provides an important context for understanding the effects of human activity on erosion (Reusser et al., 2015; Nearing et al., 2017) and for other common applications of cosmogenic nuclides at the basin scale, such as quantifying the effect of tectonics (Scherler et al., 2014), climate (Marshall et al., 2017), and base-level change (Reichardt et al., 2007) on rates of landscape change over time.

$^{10}$Be-derived rates of landscape change at a drainage basin scale are often implicitly assumed to reflect both physical and chemical mass loss, the sum of which is termed denudation (Regard et al., 2016). However, this assumption is only valid if all mass loss from the landscape occurs within the uppermost 1–2 m of Earth’s surface, the penetration depth of the cosmic-ray neutrons responsible for producing most cosmogenic nuclides via spallation reactions (Bierman and Steig, 1996). Deeper mass loss by rock dissolution remains largely undetected by cosmogenic nuclide analysis. Failure to account for rock dissolution at depth and the export of mass as dissolved load below the spallation-dominated nuclide production zone (∼2 m) may bias cosmogenic-nuclide-derived estimates of denudation (Small et al., 1999; Riebe et al., 2001a; Dixon et al., 2009a) on the low side. Incorrectly determined erosion rates can derail attempts to understand landscape evolution, soil production, and climate interaction with surface processes (Riebe et al., 2003).

Rock dissolution at depth is an important process in areas with significant groundwater–rock interactions; connecting denudation rates to landscape change requires consideration of this process. This includes any landscape where the physical removal of mass is slow, allowing for prolonged water–rock interactions, such as low-relief landscapes (Ollier, 1988). Some landscape characteristics facilitate or are the result of extensive water–rock interaction: thick saprolite (Dixon et al., 2009a), extensively jointed and/or fractured bedrock (Ollier, 1988), and readily soluble rocks, including carbonate (Pope, 2013) and evaporite deposits. Conditions in the humid tropics favor prolonged and extensive water–rock interaction and include the absence of recent glaciation (Modenesi-Gauttieri et al., 2011), the presence of active groundwater flow systems year-round (Ollier, 1988), and large amounts of precipitation.

Rock dissolution rates in the tropics can be among the highest globally (Pope, 2013); yet, global compilations of cosmogenic nuclide data from river sand suggest that rates of landscape change in the tropics are slower than in most other climate zones (Portenga and Bierman, 2011). This dichotomy is consistent with cosmogenic rates significantly underestimating landscape denudation in areas where deep rock dissolution is ubiquitous.

Only a few studies focused in the tropics compare nuclide-derived rates to measurements of dissolved load flux in streams (e.g., Salgado et al., 2006; Hinderer et al., 2013; Regard et al., 2016). As the use of cosmogenic nuclides to measure rates of landscape change in the tropics expands (e.g., Cherem et al., 2012; Burreto et al., 2013; Derrieux et al., 2014; Mandal et al., 2015; Sosa Gonzalez et al., 2016a; Jonell et al., 2017), considering the potential influence of rock dissolution at depths below the production of most cosmogenic nuclides becomes more important.

Here, we present measurements of in situ $^{26}$Al and $^{10}$Be in riverine quartz, along with estimates of dissolved loads, in humid, tropical central Cuba (Bierman et al., 2020). With these data, we explore the relationships between cosmogenic nuclide concentrations, dissolved load fluxes, and landscape-scale parameters at a basin scale in a humid tropical location where mass is being lost from the landscape by multiple different processes from a variety of rock types. We characterize the rates and processes by which the Cuban landscape is changing and place these data in a global context. Our findings illustrate the importance of considering rock dissolution when using cosmogenic nuclides to assess rates of landscape change in areas with the potential for significant mass loss by solution at depth and provide a geologic baseline for assessing the impact of human actions on the Cuban landscape.

2 Background

Terminology referring to mass loss from watersheds has been applied ambiguously in the past and can be confusing. Here, we refer to the tempo of landscape mass loss calculated from $^{26}$Al and $^{10}$Be concentrations as erosion rates; these rates include all processes (physical and chemical) removing mass within ∼2 m of Earth’s surface. We refer to rates of landscape mass loss inferred from measurements of stream water chemistry, convolved with estimates of annual runoff volumes, as rock dissolution rates. We use the term denudation to refer to total mass loss from sampled catchments. All of these rates are expressed in terms of mass per time per area (Mg km$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$), which can be converted to depth over time by assuming a rock density.

2.1 Quantifying basin mass loss with cosmogenic nuclides: approaches and limitations

Landscape-scale denudation occurs through both physical removal of mass (erosion) and chemical dissolution of minerals in rocks. Sediment produced from eroding bedrock travels downslope towards base level, whereas rock dissolution moves mass in solution from the landscape to rivers and then to the ocean. Measurement of cosmogenic nuclides in river sediment can be used to infer the spatially averaged erosion rate of a drainage basin (Brown et al., 1995; Granger et al., 1996).
1996; Bierman and Steig, 1996). In a basin that is steadily eroding, the concentration of cosmogenic nuclides in a sediment sample reflects the rate at which overlying mass at and near the surface was removed as the material was exhumed through both physical mass loss and rock dissolution (Lal, 1991). Cosmogenic erosion rates are equivalent to denudation rates if, and only if, rock dissolution only occurs within 1–2 m of the surface – the depth of penetration for neutrons which produce most cosmogenic nuclides. If rock dissolution occurs below the neutron penetration depth, erosion rates calculated from measured nuclide concentrations will underestimate denudation.

Measuring multiple cosmogenic nuclides with different half-lives in the same sample can provide more information on the near-surface history of surface materials, such as soil mixing depth and residence time (Lal and Chen, 2005), as well as sediment storage within the watershed (Granger and Muzikar, 2001). The production ratio of $^{26}\text{Al}/^{10}\text{Be}$ at Earth’s surface at middle and low latitudes is constrained by measurements and nuclear physics (Nishizumi et al., 1989; Balco et al., 2008). If sediment that has accumulated cosmogenic nuclides is buried such that production is diminished over $>10^5$ yr, the production ratio decreases because $^{26}\text{Al}$ decays more rapidly than $^{10}\text{Be}$. Vertical soil mixing intermittently buries sediment grains, suppressing the $^{26}\text{Al}/^{10}\text{Be}$ ratio in sediment shed from the landscape surface (Makhubela et al., 2019).

Paired cosmogenic isotope concentrations are visualized using a two-isotope diagram; the y axis is the $^{26}\text{Al}/^{10}\text{Be}$ ratio and the x axis is the concentration of $^{10}\text{Be}$ with normalization based on the production rate of nuclides at the sample site (Klein et al., 1986; Granger, 2006). Sediment samples that have experienced constant exposure with no erosion, or constant exposure under steady-state erosion, will plot within an enclosed region along the top of the diagram; samples that have experienced more complex exposure histories, including burial during or after cosmic-ray exposure, will plot below this region. Such complex histories could include development of a vertically mixed surface layer (Bierman et al., 1999; Lal and Chen, 2005) as well as extended burial during transport down slopes and in and along rivers.

Using measurements of cosmogenic nuclides to determine basin-averaged denudation rates requires the assumptions that mass loss from the basin is in steady state, that the mineral used for cosmogenic nuclide measurements is uniformly distributed throughout the watershed, and that denudation occurs within the penetration depth of most cosmic rays, which is the upper several meters of Earth’s surface (Bierman and Steig, 1996). The grain size fraction selected for cosmogenic nuclide analysis must also be representative of the grain size distribution of sediment being produced on slopes (Lukens et al., 2016), although in many landscapes cosmogenic nuclide concentrations do not vary by sediment grain size.

Erosion rates calculated from cosmogenic nuclides can be inaccurate if these assumptions are violated. Rock dissolution can leave sediment enriched in resistant mineral phases, such as zircon, titanite, and quartz – the mineral in which $^{26}\text{Al}$ and $^{10}\text{Be}$ are most commonly measured (Riebe and Granger, 2013). Such enrichment produces underestimates of long-term denudation rates unless accounted for because the enriched mineral will have a longer residence time relative to the surrounding regolith (Riebe et al., 2001a; Ferrier and Kirchner, 2008). Calculations of denudation rates from cosmogenic nuclide concentrations also rely on the assumption that mass loss is occurring primarily through surface lowering; however, some rock dissolution and thus some transfer of mass from rock to groundwater solutions occur below the depth of most cosmogenic nuclide production (Fig. 1; Small et al., 1999; Dixon et al., 2009a; Riebe and Granger, 2013). In areas with significant rock dissolution at depth, denudation rates inferred from cosmogenic nuclides underestimate denudation because some mass loss occurs below the depth of most nuclide production.

### 2.2 Chemical weathering corrections to cosmogenically determined mass loss rates

Although the importance of accounting for loss of mass by chemical weathering (rock dissolution) when calculating cosmogenic erosion rates has been recognized (Small et al., 1999; Riebe et al., 2001a; Dixon et al., 2009a; Riebe and Granger, 2013), few studies incorporate rock dissolution information or apply correction factors to cosmogenic-nuclide-derived rates. In the tropics, some studies compare export...
rates from dissolved loads in streams to cosmogenically derived erosion rates, but those studies have considered these two metrics of landscape change separately (von Blanckenburg et al., 2004; Salgado et al., 2006; Hinderer et al., 2013). Other studies use the measurement of insoluble elements in bedrock, saprolite, and soil to quantify quartz enrichment through the weathering process and calculate correction factors that account for the influence of rock dissolution at and near the surface (Small et al., 1999; Riebe et al., 2001a, at depth (Dixon et al., 2009b), or both (Riebe and Granger, 2013).

Of studies that do correct for the influence of chemical weathering when calculating cosmogenic-nuclide-derived rates of erosion, the Riebe and Granger (2013) chemical erosion factor (CEF) method or earlier quartz enrichment factor method (Riebe et al., 2001a) is often used (Regard et al., 2016). Calculating a CEF requires measurements of soil thickness and density, as well as determining the concentration of the mineral used in cosmogenic nuclide measurements (commonly quartz) and an insoluble element (commonly Zr) in numerous samples of soil, saprolite, and unweathered bedrock. The method is underpinned by the assumption that chemical mass loss is occurring exclusively in well-mixed regolith and deep saprolite (Riebe and Granger, 2013). Erosion rates calculated from cosmogenic nuclide measurements can be multiplied by the CEF to correct for the effects of chemical mass loss (Riebe and Granger, 2013). Chemical erosion factors reported in tropical environments include a CEF of 1.79 in Puerto Rico (Riebe and Granger, 2013) and 3.2 in Cameroon (Regard et al., 2016), demonstrating how significantly cosmogenically-nuclide-derived estimates of erosion can underestimate total denudation rates by not accounting for the effects of deep rock dissolution.

3 Study area

Cuba is the largest Caribbean island (∼110 000 km²) and is situated along the boundary between the Caribbean and North American plates. Reflecting this complex tectonic setting, Cuban geology is varied and includes silicate, carbonate, and evaporite rocks (Pardo, 2009). Lithologies include marine deposits, accreted volcanic terrains, passive-margin sediments, and ophiolite, all unconformably overlain by slightly deformed autochthonous coarse clastic sediment and limestone (Iturralde-Vinent et al., 2016).

The Cuban landscape features a mountainous central spine (600–1970 m) descending into low-relief coastal plains, except along portions of the southern coast where mountains meet the sea. This drainage divide parallels Cuba’s east–west orientation, creating rivers that travel relatively short distances from headwaters to base level (Galford et al., 2018). Cuba’s climate is tropical wet and dry, with a mean annual temperature of 24.5 °C and average annual precipitation of 1335 mm yr⁻¹. The climate is highly seasonal; ∼80% of this precipitation is delivered during the wet season from May–October (Llacer, 2012).

Centuries of agriculture have heavily altered the Cuban landscape (Whitbeck, 1922). Prior knowledge of mass loss at the basin scale is limited to measurements of suspended sediment discharge for short periods between 1964 and 1983 for 32 Cuban rivers (Pérez Zorrilla and Ya Karasik, 1989) and measurements of dissolved loads in five limestone basins with karst (Pulina and Fagundo, 1992). In central Cuba, underlying basin rock type is the primary control on surface water geochemistry (Betancourt et al., 2012), a finding supported by geochemical analyses of river waters from the same basins sampled in this study (Bierman et al., 2020). Dissolved load fluxes carried by Cuban rivers (Bierman et al., 2020), and rock dissolution rates inferred from these fluxes, are consistent with rates reported for other Caribbean islands (Dominica, Guadeloupe, and Martinique from Rad et al., 2013, and Puerto Rico from White and Blum, 1995) and high compared to global data compiled by Larsen et al. (2014a).

4 Methods

4.1 Field methods

We collected detrital sediment (n = 26) from the beds of active river channels in central Cuba, representing a variety of basin sizes, average slopes, and lithologies (Fig. 2; Table S1 in the Supplement). Channel morphologies varied, but most streams were incised, and many had exposed bedrock (see Bierman et al., 2020, for photos and descriptions of select field sites). At each site we collected samples for water chemistry analysis and measured channel parameters, including width, depth, and discharge at time of sampling.

4.2 Lab methods

We prepared samples for cosmogenic analysis and extracted beryllium and aluminum following the methodology of Corbett et al. (2016). We sieved bulk sediment samples in the lab and used the 250–850 µm grain size fraction for all samples, except for CU-120, which also includes finer material (63–250 µm) due to low quartz content. Sediment samples were chemically etched to purify quartz and remove meteoric ¹⁰Be (Kohl and Nishiizumi, 1992). A total of 24 samples yielded sufficient quartz for analysis. We measured quartz yields for all but one sample (CU-120) by recording the mass of sediment before and after dilute acid etching (Fig. S1).

We extracted ⁵⁶Al and ¹⁰Be at the National Science Foundation/University of Vermont Community Cosmogenic Facility using ∼5–43 g of quartz per sample (mean 24 g). We added ∼250 µg of¹⁰Be to each sample using two different in-house-made carriers (Table S5); the first batch used a low-ratio carrier made from beryl; subsequent batches used a dilution of low-ratio commercial SPEX carrier. We added Al to samples with insufficient total Al using a commercial SPEX
ICP standard in order to reach a total Al mass of ∼1500 µg (Table S6). Samples were processed in batches of 12, each of which included at least one blank, and two batches included one quality control standard each (Corbett et al., 2019).

$^{10}$Be/$^{9}$Be and $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al measurements ($n = 27$, including three duplicates) were made by accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) at the Purdue Rare Isotope Measurement Laboratory (PRIME). $^{10}$Be ratios were normalized against standard 07KNSTD3110 with an assumed ratio of $2850 \times 10^{-15}$ (Nishizumi et al., 2007), and $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al measurements were normalized against standard KNSTD with an assumed ratio of $1818 \times 10^{-15}$ (Nishizumi, 2004). Full laboratory replicate sample preparations and measurements of $^{26}$Al and $^{10}$Be agree to within < 3 %, with the exception of the $^{10}$Be replicate of CU-016, which was leached between the two lab analyses, and thus we use the replicate data only for that sample (Table S7; $n = 3$). We corrected Be measurements by carrier type, since samples were prepared using different carriers; we use the average of two process blanks ($1.91 \pm 1.01 \times 10^{-15}$; 1 SD) to correct 10 samples and the average of four process blanks ($4.02 \pm 1.00 \times 10^{-15}$; 1 SD) for the remaining samples (Table S3). We corrected Al measurements using the average of five process blanks ($4.09 \pm 3.21 \times 10^{-15}$; Table S4). We subtracted blank ratios from sample ratios and propagated uncertainties in quadrature.

Figure 2. Maps showing underlying the basin geology (a; French and Schenk, 2004), elevations (b; LP DAAC, 2022), and location of the study area within the island of Cuba (c). The legend for panel (a) includes the category of the rock units in terms of sedimentary (S), igneous (I), and metamorphic (M). Note that the two marine units in panel (a) are separated because they have different river water chemistry.
4.3 Analytical methods

We extracted drainage basins and then calculated basin slopes and effective elevations (Portenga and Bierman, 2011) using the ASTER Global Digital Elevation Model (LP DAAC, 2022), determined underlying basin rock types from the USGS Caribbean layer (French and Schenk, 2004), and utilized precipitation data from the WorldClim dataset (Hijmans et al., 2005) to estimate basin-specific mean annual precipitation (MAP).

We calculated erosion rates using version 3 of the online erosion rate calculator originally described by Balco et al. (2008) and subsequently updated (wrapper: 3.0, erates: 3.0, muons: 3.1, validate: validate_v2_input.m – 3.0 consts: 2020-08-26) using the effective elevation (Portenga and Bierman, 2011) calculated for the basin upstream of the sample collection point, a sample thickness of 0 cm, a rock density of 2.6 g cm⁻³, and assuming no topographic shielding across this low-relief landscape. We report erosion rates using the Stone–Lai production scaling scheme.

For samples with the highest ¹⁰⁶Be concentrations (n = 4), we also measured the concentration of cosmogenic ²¹Ne in quartz to further characterize exposure history (Table S10). Neon isotope measurements were made at the Berkeley Geochronology Center on aliquots of the same purified quartz samples used for ⁲⁶Al/¹⁰⁶Be analysis. They were done by vacuum degassing and noble gas mass spectrometry using the method described in Balter-Kennedy et al. (2020) and Balco and Shuster (2009).

We used measurements of dissolved loads in stream water (Bierman et al., 2020) and modeled annual flows from GLOH2O (Beck et al., 2015, 2017) to calculate rock dissolution rates for the 25 basins where we were able to collect water samples. To account for the wide range of lithologies in our upstream watersheds, including some with evaporites, we modified the approach used by Erlanger et al. (2021) (Fig. S2). We removed ions deposited as atmospheric inputs based on published data on dissolved concentrations in Cuban rainfall (Prédandez et al., 2014). We then determined evaporite weathering rates by balancing Na with Cl and Ca with SO₄. The remaining Na was used to determine the silicate contribution of Mg and Ca by using an assumed ratio of Na/Mg of 0.25 and Na/Ca of 0.35 (Erlanger et al., 2021). Silicate weathering rates were calculated as the total of SiO₂ and HPO₄ assumed to result from silicate weathering. Finally, we balanced the remaining Mg and Ca with bicarbonate to determine carbonate weathering rates.

Considering a variety of landscape-scale metrics, we explored the relationship between ¹⁰⁶Be-derived erosion rates and calculated rock dissolution (total and silicate, carbonate, and evaporite) rates using linear correlations and their associated p values. All reported means of sample populations are arithmetic.

5 Results

Quartz sand, isolated from central Cuban river sediment, has high concentrations of cosmogenic nuclides (0.41 to 12.6 × 10⁵ atoms g⁻¹ ¹⁰⁶Be and 0.27 to 5.9 × 10⁶ atoms g⁻¹ ⁶⁰⁰Al). ⁶⁰⁰Al/¹⁰⁶Be ratios (Fig. 4, Table 1) vary considerably, ranging from 3.65–8.36 (mean 5.72 ± 1.14, median 5.83). A total of 16 of 24 samples plot below the window defined by continuous exposure and steady erosion on the two-isotope diagram (Fig. 5). Because these ⁶⁰⁰Al/¹⁰⁶Be data indicate significant burial of quartz during and/or after exposure, many central Cuban drainage basins do not meet the assumption of insignificant nuclide decay inherent in calculations of erosion rates from cosmogenic nuclide concentrations in detrital sediment (Bierman and Steig, 1996). To minimize the impact of violating this assumption, we compare erosion rates based only on the longer-lived nuclide, ¹⁰⁶Be, with landscape-scale metrics and dissolved loads. The ¹⁰⁶Be rates, because they cannot properly account for loss of nuclides during burial for samples with depressed ⁶⁰⁰Al/¹⁰⁶Be ratios, are overestimates of the true rate of erosion but not necessarily denudation.

Erosion rates (Table S8), calculated from measured concentrations of ¹⁰⁶Be (Table S7), differed considerably between sites. ¹⁰⁶Be-derived erosion rates (Fig. 3) range from 3.4–189 Mg km⁻² yr⁻¹ (mean 59 ± 52, median 45). Considered to be bedrock lowering rates by assuming a bedrock density of 2.6 g cm⁻³, these are 1.3–73 m Myr⁻¹ (mean 23 ± 20, median 17). ¹⁰⁶Be-derived erosion rates in central Cuba are weakly and positively correlated with mean annual precipitation and slope (Fig. 6). Quartz yields for the samples we analyzed varied widely (0.5%–60%, mean 20%, median 17%) but were not significantly correlated (p ≤ 0.05) with any basin-scale variables or analytic results (Table S1, Fig. S1).

Rock dissolution rates (Fig. 3) range from 10–176 Mg km⁻² yr⁻¹ (mean 92 ± 39, median 97) and are higher than ¹⁰⁶Be-derived erosion rates in 18 of the 23 basins in which we were able to make both measurements. The median rock dissolution rate is 2.15 times higher than the median ¹⁰⁶Be-derived erosion rate. Rock dissolution rates and ¹⁰⁶Be-derived erosion rates are not correlated (Fig. 6). However, when total rock dissolution rates are partitioned into silicate, evaporite, and carbonate rates, then the silicate dissolution rate is weakly positively correlated with ¹⁰⁶Be-determined rates of erosion (r² = 0.18, p < 0.05, Table 2). Rock dissolution rates are not separable by dominant basin lithology. ¹⁰⁶Be-inferred erosion rates are metamorphic > sedimentary and igneous > sedimentary (Fig. 4).

There is lithological dependence of ¹⁰⁶Be-derived erosion rates and the ratio of rock dissolution to ¹⁰⁶Be-derived erosion rates at the basin scale (Fig. 5). ¹⁰⁶Be-derived erosion rates of sedimentary rocks were lower than other rock types (p = 0.01). Samples with the lowest ⁶⁰⁰Al/¹⁰⁶Be ratios and
Table 1. Summary of central Cuban drainage basin data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Latitude (° N)</th>
<th>Longitude (° W)</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Area (km²)</th>
<th>MAP (mm yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>²⁶Al erosion rate (Mg km⁻² yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>²⁶Al/²⁶Be</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>Total diss (Mg km⁻² yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>diss/²⁶Be</th>
<th>Max rate (²⁶Be + diss)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CU-014</td>
<td>Igneous</td>
<td>22.0662</td>
<td>−79.7962</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>1456</td>
<td>163.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>161.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>1456</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-015</td>
<td>Igneous</td>
<td>22.1485</td>
<td>−79.4231</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>1362</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-016</td>
<td>Igneous</td>
<td>22.2090</td>
<td>−79.0172</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1491</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-017</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>22.3011</td>
<td>−80.5034</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1327</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-018</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>22.7587</td>
<td>−80.3621</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-019</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>22.7068</td>
<td>−80.3677</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-020</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>22.5354</td>
<td>−79.8796</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-021</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>22.3924</td>
<td>−79.6691</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-022</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>22.3570</td>
<td>−79.7612</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-023</td>
<td>Metamorphic</td>
<td>21.9187</td>
<td>−80.2659</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-024</td>
<td>Metamorphic</td>
<td>22.0895</td>
<td>−79.9169</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1489</td>
<td>189.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>193.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>193.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-025</td>
<td>Metamorphic</td>
<td>21.8326</td>
<td>−80.1503</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-026</td>
<td>Metamorphic</td>
<td>21.8376</td>
<td>−80.1045</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-027</td>
<td>Metamorphic</td>
<td>22.1056</td>
<td>−80.2253</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-028</td>
<td>Metamorphic</td>
<td>22.1106</td>
<td>−80.1291</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>1328</td>
<td>106.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-029</td>
<td>Metamorphic</td>
<td>22.0277</td>
<td>−79.9889</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-030</td>
<td>Metamorphic</td>
<td>22.0494</td>
<td>−79.8431</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1489</td>
<td>145.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>178.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>178.0</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-031</td>
<td>Metamorphic</td>
<td>22.3751</td>
<td>−79.8175</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1428</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>139.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>139.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-032</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>22.5668</td>
<td>−80.2220</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>1361</td>
<td>145.2</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>166.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>166.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-033</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>22.4431</td>
<td>−80.4809</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-034</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>22.4442</td>
<td>−80.4448</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-035</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>22.5047</td>
<td>−80.2907</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-036</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>22.7587</td>
<td>−80.3621</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-037</td>
<td>Igneous</td>
<td>22.3547</td>
<td>−80.5086</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-038</td>
<td>Igneous</td>
<td>22.4918</td>
<td>−80.2963</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1329</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: no data.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock dissolution rates</th>
<th>10Be-derived erosion</th>
<th>Ratio of rock dissolution to 10Be-derived erosion</th>
<th>26Al/10Be ratio</th>
<th>Quartz yield</th>
<th>Carbonate dissolution rate</th>
<th>Silicate dissolution rate</th>
<th>Evaporite dissolution rate</th>
<th>Sum of rock dissolution and 10Be-derived erosion rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>−0.29</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>−0.29</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>−0.29</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Correlation coefficients and values for linear regression. Correlation coefficients are above the diagonal and values below the diagonal. Italics indicate statistical significance at $p < 0.05$, while bold indicates $p < 0.01$.
the highest $^{10}\text{Be}$ concentrations (Fig. 5) were collected in the northwestern part of the field area in basins predominately underlain by sedimentary rocks (Fig. 2). For the most part, samples from basins dominantly underlain by igneous and metamorphic rocks plot to the left on the two-isotope diagram and have higher $^{26}\text{Al} / ^{10}\text{Be}$ ratios than quartz from basins underlain by sedimentary rocks. Basins draining primarily sedimentary lithologies have the highest ratio of rock dissolution to $^{10}\text{Be}$-derived erosion rates. Seven basins (CU-106, CU-119, CU-120, CU-121, CU-132, CU-131, and CU-132) stand out from the rest (Fig. 5) and are clustered in the northwestern part of our field area. These basins have much lower than average $^{10}\text{Be}$-derived erosion rates (3.4–14.5 Mg km$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$), low $^{26}\text{Al} / ^{10}\text{Be}$ ratios (3.80–5.87), and
rock dissolution rates 1.7–29 times higher than the $^{10}$Be-derived rates of erosion. All but CU-131 are underlain primarily by sedimentary rocks.

Neon isotope measurements (Table S10) revealed high total neon concentrations with isotope composition indistinguishable from atmosphere, so excess $^{21}$Ne was indistinguishable from zero and could not be quantified. Expected cosmogenic $^{21}$Ne concentrations in the samples we analyzed, calculated from observed $^{10}$Be concentrations and the assumption of steady erosion ($3$–$6$ M atoms g$^{-1}$ cosmogenic $^{21}$Ne), would comprise less than 2% of the total amount of $^{21}$Ne we observed and would not be detectable at typical measurement uncertainties. The neon isotope measurements are not inconsistent with the $^{26}$Al and $^{10}$Be data but provide no additional information.

### Discussion

In central Cuba, erosion rates inferred from the concentration of $^{10}$Be in river sand vary by more than an order of magnitude. The lowest $^{10}$Be-inferred erosion rate ($3.4$ Mg km$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$; $1.3$ m Myr$^{-1}$) is less than those measured in tectonically stable arid landscapes including Namibia and Australia (Bierman and Caffee, 2001; Codilean et al., 2021). The highest $^{10}$Be-inferred rate ($189$ Mg km$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$; $73$ m Myr$^{-1}$) exceeds those measured in temperate, humid, tectonically stable areas, such as the southern Appalachian Mountains (Portenga et al., 2019; Duxbury et al., 2015; Linari et al., 2017), and is similar to or less than rates measured on other Caribbean islands including Puerto Rico and Dominica (Quock et al., 2021; Brocard et al., 2015; Brown et al., 1995).

Variability in $^{10}$Be concentration, and thus inferred rates of erosion, between central Cuban drainage basins, many within just a few tens of kilometers of each other with similar basin slope, suggests significant landscape-scale con-
6.1 Cosmogenic erosion rates underestimate landscape-scale mass loss in Cuba

Our data clearly show that significant, landscape-scale mass loss is occurring by solution in central Cuba. Rock dissolution rates exceed, some by more than an order of magnitude, corresponding $^{10}$Be-derived mass loss rates in central Cuba, demonstrating that the cosmogenic nuclide measurements are an incomplete assessment of total mass loss from the landscape. Rock dissolution rates are greater than cosmogenic erosion rates for 18 of the 23 basins we analyzed, and the median rock dissolution rate in Cuba is 2.15 times higher than the median cosmogenic-nuclide-derived rate (Table 1, Fig. 7).

Although rock dissolution rates and cosmogenic-nuclide-derived erosion rates integrate over different timescales, they have been compared in other areas. Rock dissolution rates in our study represent a single sample for each watershed integrated with annual discharge rates, although weathering fluxes must respond to landscape and hydrologic conditions over centuries to millennia as soil and regolith develop. Cosmogenic-nuclide-derived rates integrate over the time it takes to remove $\sim 2$ m of material from the surface; in our field area, this represents many tens to a few hundred thousand years at most. In general, higher rock dissolution rates are favored by higher temperatures, higher precipitation, and longer mineral residence times in the shallow subsurface, which are all more likely to be found in low-relief regions of the tropics and less likely to be found in higher-relief, commonly glaciated, temperate and polar regions.

Rock dissolution rates that significantly exceed corresponding $^{10}$Be-inferred rates have also been reported from Uganda (Hinderer et al., 2013) and Cameroon (Regard et al., 2016), where they were attributed to the influence of easily weathered volcanic tephra and deep weathering associated with thick regolith, respectively. Most other studies that compare rock dissolution rates and $^{10}$Be-derived erosion rates in the tropics documented rock dissolution rates within the range of cosmogenic-nuclide-derived rates (von Blancken-
Figure 6. Relationship of measured $^{10}$Be-derived erosion rates, chemical denudation rates, and the sum of chemical denudation rates and $^{10}$Be-derived erosion rates to basin characteristics. Differently shaped and colored points represent the dominant underlying rock type in that basin. Plots with $p > 0.05$ are shown with a gray background. Small numbers in the upper right are $R^2$ values; * indicates $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$.

The discordance between high rock dissolution rates and low $^{10}$Be-derived erosion rates in central Cuba suggests that significant rock weathering is occurring below the depth of most cosmogenic nuclide production (Bierman and Steig, 1996; Fig. 1). The discordance, along with high rates of carbonate and evaporite dissolution in some basins, suggests that many lithologies in our field area are highly susceptible to dissolution. Bierman et al. (2020) attribute high rock dissolution rates and the relationship between stream water chemistry and bedrock type in central Cuba to extensive rock–groundwater interaction along subsurface flow paths, controlled by ongoing bedrock uplift and associated rock fracturing. The prevalence of rock dissolution at depth in Cuba is consistent with findings from other humid, tropical landscapes, including Puerto Rico (White et al., 1998; Kurtz et al., 2011; Chapel et al., 2017; Moore et al., 2019), Guadeloupe, Martinique, Dominica (Rad et al., 2007), and Hawaii (Schopka and Derry, 2012).

We observed no correlation between $^{10}$Be-derived erosion and rock dissolution rates in central Cuba (Fig. 7), in contrast to other studies in the tropics that have observed generally positive correlations (Salgado et al., 2006; Cherem et al., 2012; Sosa Gonzalez et al., 2016b). The lack of correlation suggests that mass loss below several meters, the depth at which most cosmogenic nuclides are produced, is an important component of denudation in Cuba. Discordance between high rock dissolution rates and low $^{10}$Be-derived erosion rates observed in Cuba occurs in basins with different underlying lithologies (Fig. 6). Such widespread discordance...
suggests that deep chemical weathering is occurring throughout central Cuba.

Carbonate weathering dominates river water geochemistry in central Cuba. Our analysis of Cuban water composition suggests that the rate of carbonate dissolution varies widely and in most basins we sampled exceeds by several-fold the rate of silicate dissolution (Fig. 4). Silicate dissolution rates are low (<25 Mg km\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) and similar between all lithologies. Export rates of elements calculated to reflect the presence of evaporite minerals are also generally low (<35 Mg km\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)), except in four basins dominated by sedimentary rocks (CU-120, CU-121, CU-122, CU-132). Water geochemistry data from four of these basins suggest the presence of significant evaporite deposits due to high concentrations of Cl, SO\(_4\), Br, and Na (Bierman et al., 2020). Together these data imply that lithologies underlying the basins we sampled are not uniform and that silicate rocks do not account for most of the dissolved mass loss in at least some, and likely many, of the basins we sampled.

Together, underlying lithology and topography are important controlling factors in how and how rapidly the Cuban landscapes we studied are losing mass by both physical and chemical weathering. Lowland basins, primarily underlain by sedimentary rocks, on average have low rates of \(^{10}\)Be-inferred mass loss and high rates of dissolution. Six basins underlain by sedimentary lithologies (CU-106, CU-119, CU-120, CU-121, CU-122, and CU-132) have the highest \(^{10}\)Be concentrations and lowest erosion rates, indicating near-surface residence times several to more than 10 times longer for the quartz we analyzed from these basins than from other basins. All are low-slope (0.5 to 1.6°). These six basins also demonstrate the greatest disparity between high rock dissolution rates and low \(^{10}\)Be-derived erosion rates (5.7-29X). One basin underlain by igneous rocks (CU-131) has a similarly low slope (0.6°) and high \(^{10}\)Be concentration but a much lower ratio of dissolution to erosion rates (1.7), likely reflecting the paucity of readily soluble minerals. As a result, \(^{10}\)Be-derived erosion rates are weakly and positively correlated with average basin slope ($R^2 = 0.20$, $p = 0.03$), but rock dissolution rates are not correlated ($R^2 = 0.04$, $p = 0.36$) with slope.

6.2 Low $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be ratio evidence for a deep mixed surface layer and possible quartz enrichment

The $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be ratios suggest that most sediment we collected from central Cuban rivers does not have a simple exposure history. $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be data in 16 of 24 sampled basins are inconsistent with steady surface erosion (Fig. 5). Many of the basins with the lowest $^{26}$Al/$^{10}$Be ratios drain predominantly marine sedimentary lithologies and have low average basin slopes (0.5–0.7°); the remaining basin drains primarily

---

**Figure 7.** Scatterplot of rock dissolution rates vs. \(^{10}\)Be-derived erosion rates. (a) Data shown for calculations of carbonate, silicate, and evaporite dissolution rates. (b) Data shown for dominant lithologies underlying each sampled basin. Horizontal lines extending from the points demonstrate the uncertainty associated with the calculation of \(^{10}\)Be-derived erosion rates. Histograms on axes show the distribution of data. The dashed line is 1 : 1.
igneous rocks and has an average basin slope of 0.6°. These
are the same seven basins discussed in the section above,
all but one of which have high ratios of dissolved load to
$^{10}$Be-inferred erosion rates. There is a significant positive
($R^2 = 0.34$, $p = 0.003$) relationship between average basin slope and $^{26}$Al / $^{10}$Be.

Observed $^{26}$Al / $^{10}$Be ratios in some of the low-ratio samples
are consistent with bioturbation and prolonged near-
surface exposure (Struck et al., 2018). We suspect that at least
some of the inconsistency between measured $^{26}$Al / $^{10}$Be ratios and those predicted by a simple, steady-state surface ero-
sion model is due to (deep) soil mixing. Typically, the lower
boundary of the simple exposure region of a two-isotope dia-
gram (Fig. 5) is constructed based on the assumption that
all grains move monotonically towards the surface at the rate
that the surface is eroding (Granger, 2006). Vertical mixing,
due to bioturbation or other soil processes taking place in the
upper layers of soil, violates this assumption. Within a mixed
soil layer, grains circulate at a higher velocity than the ero-
sion rate and therefore experience an average production rate
lower than the surface rate and spend time below the surface
where the rate of nuclide decay may exceed the rate of nu-
clide production. During burial, $^{26}$Al / $^{10}$Be ratios decrease
and diverge from those predicted by the steady-state surface
erosion model (Fig. 5).

Rapid chemical mass loss due to the presence of readily
soluble evaporite and marine or igneous lithologies in some
basins likely enriches the remaining sediment in quartz. The
combination of mass loss by rapid rock dissolution and the
retention of weathering residuum favored by subdued topog-
raphy in low-relief basins allows less-soluble material (e.g.,
quartz) to accumulate at and near the surface, creating thick
regolith. Extensive vertical mixing of near-surface soil, as is
expected for flat, forested landscapes where the rate of bio-
turbation is likely high in relation to slow erosion rates, leads
to longer residence times for these residual mineral grains
and therefore a lower $^{26}$Al / $^{10}$Be ratio in a mixed surface
layer compared to a surface eroding at the same rate without
vertical mixing.

This assertion is supported by the consistency between measured
$^{26}$Al / $^{10}$Be ratios, expected nuclide concentra-
tions, and ratios calculated assuming the presence of a mixed
surface layer (per Lal and Chen, 2005, Eq. 12). Expected
$^{26}$Al / $^{10}$Be ratios calculated assuming a mixed layer depth
of 40–160 cm agree well with measured low $^{26}$Al / $^{10}$Be ratios from basins CU-119, CU-122, and CU-132 (Fig. 5). This
mixed layer depth range is consistent with the soil depths of
90–150 cm reported for the location of these basins (Bennett
and Allison, 1928). In deeply weathered tropical soils, bio-
turbation can extend to depths of several meters (von Blanck-
enburg et al., 2004), so it is plausible that mixing depths are
even greater than the model suggests, providing an explana-
tion for the $^{26}$Al / $^{10}$Be ratios measured in CU-120, CU-121,
CU-131, and CU-106. We were not able to measure regolith
depths in the drainage basins we sampled.

The $^{26}$Al / $^{10}$Be ratio in other samples (e.g., CU-106, CU-
118, and CU-110) is too low to be attributed solely to the
effects of a deep mixed surface layer and requires some frac-
tion of the sample to have experienced both surface expo-
sure and a significant period of burial well below the surface
where cosmogenic nuclide production is negligible. Factors
that could lead to this low ratio include the incorporation of
previously deeply buried sediment through channel avulsion
(Wittmann et al., 2011) or incision into terraces (Hu et al.,
2011). We conclude that terrace storage, along with a combi-
nation of quartz enrichment due to high chemical weather-
ning rates of soluble marine rocks in combination with very
low-slope basins and a deep mixing layer, generates detrital
quartz with high concentrations of $^{10}$Be and lower than ex-
pected $^{26}$Al / $^{10}$Be ratios.

6.3 Constraining total rates of landscape denudation

The disagreement between high rock dissolution rates and
low $^{10}$Be-derived erosion rates raises questions about how to
best characterize total landscape denudation rates. It is clear
from our dataset that neither cosmogenic nuclide measure-
ments nor stream solute fluxes capture all or even, in some
cases, the majority of landscape denudation in central Cuba.
Evidence for deep rock dissolution presented in Sect. 6.1
suggests that sediments and solutes are being sourced at
least partially from different depths in the landscape. Because
most mass loss in much of central Cuba occurs in solution
(rock dissolution rates are higher than $^{10}$Be-derived erosion
rates in 18 of 23 basins), rock dissolution rates typically rep-
resent a minimum bound on total landscape denudation.

One complication with directly comparing $^{10}$Be-derived
erosion rates and rock dissolution rates is that they inte-
grate over different timescales. Our rock dissolution rates
are based on water samples collected once during the rainy
season. The dissolved load of those samples was scaled us-
ing modeled mean annual discharge and the assumption that
the concentration of each species is discharge-independent.
Thus, we just have a single point snapshot of rock dissolu-
tion rates. In contrast, the $^{10}$Be-derived erosion rates are in-
tegrated over the time it takes the quartz currently in the river
channel to move from ~2 m below the surface to the sur-
face. The high nuclide concentrations we measured in Cuba
suggest that tens of thousands to perhaps a few hundred thou-
sand years are integrated into these measurements. Thus, the
comparison we (and others before us) make between rock
dissolution rates and $^{10}$Be-derived erosion rates implicitly as-
sumes that the two measurements are steady enough through
time to be compared.

Treating the removal of mass in solution and through phys-
ical erosion as entirely discrete processes happening at differ-
et depths in the landscape sets an upper limit on total land-
scape denudation: the sum of inferred rock dissolution rates
and $^{10}$Be-derived erosion rates. Summing $^{10}$Be-derived ero-
sion rates and chemical denudation rates increases estimates
of total landscape denudation across study basins by a factor of 1.4–30 (mean 6.3, median 2.7) above\textsuperscript{10}Be-derived erosion rates. Disregarding the six basins with evidence of evaporite deposits (CU-106, CU-119, CU-120, CU-121, CU-122, and CU-132) leads to an average increase of a factor of 2.6 (median 2.5) above \textsuperscript{10}Be-derived erosion rates. These mean and median values for the basins without evaporites are between the reported CEF of 1.79 for the Luquillo Critical Zone Observatory in humid, tropical Puerto Rico (Riebe and Granger, 2013) and the CEF of 3.2 for the thickly saprolite-mantled, tropical environment of southern Cameroon (Regard et al., 2016). These comparisons suggest that for landscapes with a significant proportion of total denudation occurring through deep rock dissolution, summing rock dissolution rates and cosmogenic-nuclide-derived rates provides a reasonable estimate of total landscape denudation.

In landscapes like central Cuba, total denudation rates may be difficult to predict based on landscape metrics. Summed chemical denudation rates and cosmogenic-nuclide-derived erosion rates are not correlated with rock type, as rock type appears to have opposing influences on these rates (i.e., basins underlain by sedimentary rocks had the highest rock dissolution rates but the lowest cosmogenic-nuclide-derived rates, Fig. 3). Summed rock dissolution rates and cosmogenic-nuclide-derived rates do increase with mean basin slope ($R^2 = 0.19$, $p = 0.04$) and mean basin elevation ($R^2 = 0.17$, $p = 0.05$) (Fig. 5), but those relationships are confounded because \textsuperscript{10}Be-derived rates are highest in high-elevation, steep basins and rock dissolution rates are highest in low-slope, low-elevation basins – relationships that are primarily controlled by the influence of rock type on these two different mass loss processes.

In central Cuba, the lack of correlation between rock dissolution rates and \textsuperscript{10}Be-derived erosion rates (Fig. 7) suggests a possible mechanism for limiting total reduction in landscape relief. While global data demonstrate significant, positive correlations between \textsuperscript{10}Be-derived erosion rates and basin slope and relief (Portenga and Bierman, 2011), accounting for the influence of rock dissolution may alter this dynamic. The possibility of combined physical and chemical processes limiting reductions in relief has significant implications for the study of deeply weathered, high-relief tropical landscapes. The dual importance of rock dissolution in low-lying areas and physical erosion in steeper terrain could explain the relationship behind sustained high-relief topography and low \textsuperscript{10}Be-derived erosion rates common across some tropical landscapes, such as Brazil (Vasconcelos et al., 2019) or Sri Lanka (von Blanckenburg et al., 2004). Landscapes with high rock dissolution rates and low physical erosion rates appear to be relatively common (Larsen et al., 2014b). As lowlands weather primarily through rock dissolution and high-relief areas by physical erosion, total relief would change more slowly than \textsuperscript{10}Be-estimated rate differentials would suggest.

Regardless of rock type, however, cosmogenic-nuclide-derived erosion rates are positively correlated with MAP ($R^2 = 0.22$, $p = 0.02$). While MAP does not vary widely across our study basins in central Cuba (956 to 1555 mm yr$^{-1}$), this correlation suggests a climatic control on denudation rates across this landscape. This finding is contrary to other studies in the humid tropics (von Blanckenburg et al., 2004) and beyond (Riebe et al., 2001b; Portenga and Bierman, 2011) that have found no correlation between climate variables and cosmogenic-nuclide-derived long-term erosion rates, but it is similar to recent findings in humid, temperate Tasmania (VanLandingham et al., 2022). Since in Cuba \textsuperscript{10}Be-derived erosion rates are positively correlated with MAP but chemical denudation rates are uncorrelated with MAP, this trend likely highlights the importance of rainfall in allowing for the physical export of sediment from a drainage basin that is transport-limited rather than weathering-limited.

Our data clearly demonstrate that cosmogenic nuclide measurements can underestimate total denudation in landscapes with significant rock dissolution at depth, particularly in the tropics. This suggests that similar underestimates of total denudation rates produced by relying on measurements of cosmogenic nuclides may be a factor in other tropical landscapes. While rock dissolution rates in the tropics have been documented as among the highest globally (White and Blum, 1995; Rad et al., 2013; Larsen et al., 2014a), a global compilation of \textsuperscript{10}Be-derived erosion rates demonstrated that such isotopically determined rates of erosion are lower in the tropics than in all other climate zones, apart from arid regions (Portenga and Bierman, 2011). The contrast between these two depictions of tropical denudation suggests that \textsuperscript{10}Be-derived erosion rates for tropical areas may be incomplete representations of total mass loss from these landscapes because dissolved loads are only partially (and perhaps minimally) accounted for by measurements of \textsuperscript{10}Be in river sand. This discrepancy highlights the need for more studies that compare rock dissolution rates and cosmogenic-nuclide-derived rates to provide more accurate estimations of total landscape denudation (VanLandingham et al., 2022).

7 Conclusions

The first cosmogenic nuclide measurements from the island of Cuba provide insight into how mass is lost from landscapes in humid, tropical settings. Solution plays a large role in total mass flux, and significant mineral dissolution occurs along weathering fronts meters below the landscape surface. Rock type exerts the primary control on the pace of denudation, and precipitation influences rates of landscape change. We find evidence for thick, mixed surface layers in lowland basins, and river water chemistry data suggest that deep rock dissolution dominates denudational processes in low-slope
basins where weathering products remain near the surface for long periods of time.

These findings highlight the necessity of accounting for mass loss by solution at depths below the penetration of most cosmic rays when interpreting cosmogenic-nuclide-derived erosion rates in landscapes with the potential for significant rock dissolution. The discrepancy between high rock dissolution rates and low $^{10}\text{Be}$-derived erosion rates observed in central Cuba emphasizes how relying on cosmogenic nuclide measurements alone to determine total rates of mass loss from landscapes can lead to considerable underestimates of denudation. Summing mass loss rates in solution with mass loss rates inferred from cosmogenic nuclides provides an upper limit for total mass loss from landscapes when significant rock dissolution occurs below the penetration depth of cosmic-ray neutrons. These findings suggest that estimating rock dissolution rates is important when applying cosmogenic nuclides in landscapes, especially those which are humid, tropical, have soluble rocks, and/or have deep weathered regolith.
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