



Emplacement age of the Sevier gravity slide, Utah, USA

Tiffany Rivera¹, McKenna Holliday², Brian Jicha³, David H. Malone⁴, Michael J. Braunagel⁵,
V. Alex Bonilla Franco⁶, Robert F. Biek^{7,☆}, W. Ashley Griffith⁸, and David B. Hacker⁹

¹Department of Geological Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri 65201, USA

²Department of Geological Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32603, USA

³Department of Geoscience, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

⁴Department of Geography, Geology and the Environment, Illinois State University, Normal, Illinois 61790, USA

⁵Department of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Minnesota Duluth, Duluth, Minnesota 55812, USA

⁶Department of Geological Sciences, Jackson School of Geosciences, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712, USA

⁷Utah Geological Survey, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116, USA

⁸School of Earth Sciences, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

⁹Department of Geology, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242, USA

☆retired

Correspondence: Tiffany Rivera (trivera@missouri.edu)

Received: 16 September 2024 – Discussion started: 25 September 2024

Revised: 10 December 2024 – Accepted: 16 December 2024 – Published: 24 February 2025

Abstract. The Marysvale volcanic field in southwestern Utah hosts three large-volume gravity slides: the Sevier (SGS), the Markagunt (MGS), and the Black Mountains (BGS). The gravity slides are composed of lahar deposits, lava flows, and ash-flow tuffs erupted from former stratovolcanoes and other vents during the Oligocene and Miocene. The ash-flow tuffs are prime targets for dating to constrain the age of the gravity slides because some ash-flow tuffs are deformed within the slides, whereas others are undeformed and cap the slides. Furthermore, the gravity slides produced pseudotachylyte during slide motion, a direct indicator for the timing of each slide. This work provides new ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dates for several ash-flow tuffs and pseudotachylyte for the SGS, along with U/Pb zircon dates for one deformed tuff and alluvium near the slide plane. Results show that the slide was emplaced at 25.25 ± 0.05 Ma and was immediately followed by the eruption of the Antimony Tuff at 25.19 ± 0.02 Ma. The model presented here suggests that the intrusion of magma related to the Antimony Tuff acted as a triggering mechanism for the slide and that slide movement itself led to decompression melting and eruption of the Antimony Tuff. This sequence of events occurred on a geologically rapid timescale and may have been virtually instantaneous.

1 Introduction

Gravity slides are large-volume mass movements that typically slide over shallowly dipping ($\leq 3^\circ$) paleosurfaces. The Heart Mountain slide (Wyoming, USA) and the recently described Markagunt gravity slide (Utah, USA) were coeval with volcanism and displaced kilometer-thick sheets of volcanic rocks (Biek et al., 2022; Malone, 1995; Malone et al., 2017). A characteristic feature of gravity slides is that they maintain the original stratigraphy within the allochthonous sheets. The presence of volcanic materials offers opportunities to constrain the emplacement age using several geochronological techniques. Furthermore, these megaslides are emplaced so rapidly that they can generate pseudotachylyte, a friction-induced melt hypothesized to have formed from the rocks involved in sliding (Hacker, 2018). Geochronology of the pseudotachylyte can directly constrain the age of the slide.

The Oligocene–Miocene Marysvale gravity slide complex (MGSC) in southwestern Utah (Fig. 1) is unique in that it contains multiple gigantic ($1700\text{--}2000\text{ km}^3$; Hacker, 2018) individual gravity slides, including the Sevier gravity slide (SGS), the 23 Ma Markagunt gravity slide (MGS; Holliday et al., 2022), and the Black Mountains gravity slide (BGS) (Biek et al., 2019, 2022). Despite the coeval nature of the




Figure 1. Regional maps of the study location. (a) The state of Utah within the United States. (b) Physiographic provinces of Utah, highlighting the Basin and Range to Colorado Plateau transition zone. Figure modified from the Utah Geological Survey website (<https://geology.utah.gov/popular/utah-landforms/>, last access: 3 February 2025). (c) Marysvale gravity slide complex within the Marysvale volcanic field (shown in inset). Note the locations of the three gravity slides, each represented by a different color. The breakaway areas (dark colors) for the Sevier and Markagunt slides are overprinted by the calderas of the Marysvale volcanic field. The breakaway region of the Black Mountains gravity slide has been eroded due to the uplift of the Mineral Mountains batholith. Lighter shades represent the runout of the gravity slide. Figure modified from Braunagel et al. (2023).

MGSC and the Marysvale volcanic field (MVF), the causality of gravity slide emplacement is still unclear. Slide initiation may be due to magmatic doming within the gravity slide's breakaway region or laccolith emplacement, or the accumulation of volcanic material on weak strata may have overloaded the crust and stimulated widespread slope failure (Hacker et al., 2018). Recent work has improved the understanding of the source material and kinematics of these landslides (Braunagel et al., 2023; Hacker et al., 2023; Holliday et al., 2022; Zamanialavijeh et al., 2021). Notably, Holliday et al. (2022) obtained $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dates on the ash-flow tuffs and pseudotachylyte from the MGS, then employed a novel Bayesian statistical optimization age model to constrain an emplacement age. This work builds upon the previous geochronology study of the MGS to determine the emplacement age of the SGS through $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating of pseudotachylyte formed during slide movement and of the bounding ash-flow tuffs. Our results unambiguously tie pseudotachylyte formation to slide emplacement, affirming the catastrophic nature of the slides, while simultaneously constraining the timing of slope failure relative to volcanic eruptions, which resolves outstanding questions surrounding the causality of gravity slides.

2 Geologic background

Early investigations in the MVF provided the foundation for the discovery of the MGSC and unraveling the associated stratigraphy as described in Biek et al. (2019). Geochemical investigations of the volcanic units led to the identification of the calc-alkaline nature of the older rocks and younger bimodal basalts and high-silica rhyolites (Rowley et al., 1975; Steven et al., 1977; Wender and Nash, 1979). Extensive mapping initiatives were undertaken to unravel the geology, mineral resources, and economic potential of the region (e.g., Steven et al., 1977; Cunningham and Steven, 1979; Steven et al., 1984; Palmer and Walton, 1990; Rowley et al., 1994; Rowley et al., 1998; Granger and Bauer, 1950; Taylor et al., 1951; Kerr et al., 1957; among many others). This foundational body of work contributes to understanding the spatial distribution of the volcanic centers and the stratigraphic relationships between major eruptive units. The MVF straddles the boundary between the Colorado Plateau and the Basin and Range province (Fig. 1) and covers $> 10\,000\text{ km}^2$, with an estimated total volume of $12\,000\text{ km}^3$ (Rowley et al., 1998), and it hosts three calderas: Three Creeks ($\sim 27\text{ Ma}$), Monroe Peak (23–22 Ma), and Mount Belknap (22–18 Ma). However, most of the eruptive products in the MVF have an unknown source, presumably because many vent areas are now buried or overprinted by younger calderas. The break-




Figure 2. Sevier gravity slide location (white boundary) shown with the Monroe Peak Caldera (MPC) and sampling locations. To the right is a simplified stratigraphic section (not to scale) of the Mount Dutton Formation (light brown) with interspersed ash-flow tuffs and other rocks investigated in this study. The allochthonous block of the SGS includes the within-slide deformed ash-flow tuffs and pseudotachylyte, whereas the overlying undeformed ash-flow tuffs post-date slide emplacement. Locations labeled with “ZR” indicate samples collected for zircon U/Pb dating. © Google Earth, using 2024 imagery of Airbus, Landsat, Copernicus.

away regions for each gravity slide are inferred to be entirely within the MVF (Biek et al., 2019, 2022) and suggest a genetic connection to local volcanic activity. The breakaway zones of the SGS and MGS are overprinted by younger calderas (Biek et al., 2019, 2022), and the breakaway of the BGS has presumably been eroded over the Mineral Mountains batholith (Biek et al., 2022; Fig. 1).

Initiation of MVF volcanism is associated with Farallon slab rollback and southward migration of volcanism across western North America. Peak volcanic activity (32–23 Ma) consisted of intermediate calc-alkaline eruptions (Rowley et al., 1998, 2002), which account for ~90 % of the MVF volcanic material contained in the Bullion Canyon Volcanics and the Mount Dutton Formation (Rowley et al., 1994). The transition to bimodal basalt and high-silica rhyolite volcanism occurred at ca. 22 Ma and is linked to the transition from a subduction-style regime to Basin and Range extension (Rowley et al., 1997). Basaltic eruptions continued into the late Pleistocene (Biek et al., 2015; Marchetti et al., 2020).

Recognition of the MGSC began with the early characterization of the Markagunt Megabreccia on the Markagunt Plateau (Anderson, 1993). Described as isolated allochthonous masses (megabreccia), the Markagunt Megabreccia consists of monolithic blocks and heterolitho-

logic complexes as large as 2.5 km² and 50–200 m thick (Sable and Maldonado, 1997). While these large masses were identified as gravity slides, they were interpreted to be emplaced in several separate events from multiple sources (Anderson, 1993; Sable and Maldonado, 1997). However, Hacker et al. (2014) and Biek et al. (2014, 2015) reinterpreted the Markagunt Megabreccia as part of a catastrophically emplaced single-slide mass based on new field evidence and mapping and termed this the MGS. The SGS was proposed in 2017 (Biek et al., 2017) and formally recognized in Biek et al. (2019). Along the western flank of the SGS, Braunagel et al. (2023) characterized notable structural features that indicate intense deformation, clastic dikes of basal material into overlying rocks, pseudotachylyte, and cataclastic basal zones. Loffer (2024) identified several pseudotachylyte sites within the SGS and proposed an SGS maximum depositional age of 25.5 Ma using detrital zircon extracted from the basal layer of the slide in two locations.

3 Materials and methods

The units investigated here are grouped by their stratigraphic position relative to the SGS. Ash-flow tuffs “within” the slide material are deformed and were displaced by slide motion as an allochthonous block. Ash-flow tuffs “above” the slide are all undeformed by and post-date the SGS (Fig. 2). The Kingston Canyon Tuff (samples 21MGSC-02 and KCT; Fig. 3a–c) is a densely welded, red–purple, lithic tuff. Plagioclase is the major mineral phase, with minor hornblende and biotite (Fig. 3c). Lithic components consist of mafic igneous rocks (Fig. 3b). A sample of the alluvial facies of the Mt. Dutton Formation overlying the KCT sample was collected to examine the detrital zircon record (MVC6-21-10-16-2). The tuff of Tibadore (21MGSC-01, Fig. 3d–f) is a crystal-poor, densely welded lithic ash-flow tuff. Fresh surfaces are purple with black fiamme 1–3 cm long (Fig. 3e) The Antimony Tuff (PH030218-1, Fig. 3i) is a densely welded, relatively crystal-poor ash-flow tuff, with a red groundmass containing large plagioclase, minor pyroxene, and lithics. The Langdon Mountain Formation contains a lower lahar facies and an upper lava flow facies; only the lava flow facies dated here clearly post-dates the SGS (Rowley et al., 2002). The lava flow facies is described as a dacitic lava flow containing large phenocrysts of plagioclase, hornblende, and minor pyroxene and is considered the last of the minor eruptive sequences prior to the eruption of the caldera-forming Osiris Tuff (Rowley et al., 1994); eruptive vents of the Langdon Mountain rocks were likely destroyed by emplacement of the Monroe Peak Caldera. The Osiris Tuff (PH030218-2) contains dominantly large feldspar phenocrysts, conspicuous biotite, and minor pyroxene within a gray groundmass. The Osiris Tuff is not deformed by the SGS but was deformed by the later 23 Ma MGS emplacement near the breakaway zone (Biek et al., 2019; Holliday et al., 2022). Pseudotachylyte (24MSGC-




Figure 3. (a) Outcrop of Kingston Canyon Tuff. (b) Hand sample of Kingston Canyon Tuff showing abundant lithic fragments. (c) Thin section of Kingston Canyon Tuff showing plagioclase and biotite phenocrysts. (d) Outcrop of tuff of Tibadore. (e) Tibadore hand sample with prominent fiamme. (f) Thin section of the tuff of Tibadore showing a rare crystal clot. (g) Outcrop of pseudotachylyte vein. (h) Outcrop of pseudotachylyte vein. (i) Thin section of Antimony Tuff showing mafic xenolith (right side of image) and fiamme. Thin section photographs were taken in cross-polarized light.

37, Fig. 3g–h) is found on shear planes and in injection veins as much as 200 m above the basal slip surface.

3.1 $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ analysis

Samples of each ash-flow tuff, lava flow, and pseudotachylyte described above were processed by standard crushing, magnetic, and density techniques, then sieved at 180–350 μm to prepare for $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ analysis. Under a binocular microscope, handpicked sanidine was extracted from the Osiris and Antimony tuffs, and plagioclase was selected from the tuff of Tibadore, Kingston Canyon Tuff, and Langdon Mountain lava flow. Pseudotachylyte glass was also handpicked prior to analysis. Selected materials were co-irradiated with the 28.201 Ma Fish Canyon Tuff sanidine neutron fluence monitor (Kuiper et al., 2008) at the Cd-lined facility at the Oregon State University TRIGA reactor. Single-crystal total fusion analyses were conducted for the ash-flow tuffs, whereas in-

cremental heating experiments were conducted for purified bulk samples of the lava flow and pseudotachylyte. All analyses were performed at the WiscAr Geochronology Lab, University of Wisconsin-Madison, using either the Noblesse 5 Collector (Jicha et al., 2016) or the Isotopx NGX-600 mass spectrometers (Mixon et al., 2022).

3.2 Zircon U/Pb analysis

Zircon crystals were extracted by traditional methods of crushing and grinding, followed by separation by panning, heavy liquids, and a Frantz magnetic separator. A large split of grains is incorporated into a 2.5 cm epoxy mount together with fragments or loose grains of Sri Lanka, FC-1, and R33 zircon crystals that are used as primary standards. The mounts are sanded down to a depth of $\sim 20\ \mu\text{m}$, polished, imaged, and cleaned prior to isotopic analysis. Grains of interest are imaged to provide a guide for locating anal-




Figure 4. Results of single-crystal fusion $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ analyses for the volcanic units overlying and within the Sevier gravity slide and the incremental heating result of the slide-generated pseudotachylyte. The height of each bar represents the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ date and 2σ uncertainty of a single experiment. The height of the shaded region behind Kingston Canyon Tuff, tuff of Tibadore, and Antimony Tuff represents the weighted mean with 2σ uncertainty of the shown analyses. n is the number of single-crystal analyses used to calculate the weighted mean, except for the pseudotachylyte, for which n refers to the number of incremental heating steps used to calculate a plateau age.

ysis pits in optimal locations and to aid in interpreting results. Backscattered electron (BSE) images were generated with a Hitachi 3400N SEM and a Gatan CL2 detector system (Supplement). U/Pb geochronologic analyses were conducted by laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS) at the Arizona LaserChron Center (<http://www.laserchron.org>, last access: 3 February 2025). Methods for U/Pb geochronology have been described by Gehrels et al. (2006, 2008), Gehrels and Pecha (2014), Pullen et al. (2018), and Sundell et al. (2021).

4 Results

4.1 $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ geochronology

A total of 33 plagioclase crystals from the Kingston Canyon Tuff (21MGSC-02) generated a range of radiogenic yields. As such, only the seven grains with $> 70\%$ $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ were used to calculate the weighted mean and associated statistics. The seven grains yield ages from 25.88 ± 0.06 to 26.08 ± 0.08 Ma and produce a weighted mean of 25.97 ± 0.05 Ma ($n = 7/33$; MSWD = 1.27; $p = 0.37$; Fig. 4). All uncertainties reported in this work include errors associated with the irradiation parameter, J . Nine single-crystal plagioclase fusion analyses from the tuff of Tibadore (21MGSC-01) yielded dates from 25.33 ± 0.01 to 25.91 ± 0.08 Ma. However, in order to filter the data in a consistent manner, analyses with $< 70\%$ $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ were omitted from calculations. Thus, a weighted mean for five of the analyses is 25.43 ± 0.04 Ma (MSWD = 0.74; $p =$

0.40; Fig. 4). Incremental heating of pseudotachylyte glass (24MGSC-37) produced a plateau age of 25.25 ± 0.06 Ma (MSWD = 0.60; $p = 0.90$; Fig. 5a) including $> 85\%$ of the cumulative $^{39}\text{Ar}_K$. The $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ isochron intercept of 292.4 ± 8.8 is within uncertainty of the atmospheric value (Lee et al., 2006). Two locations were sampled for Antimony Tuff, and sanidine from both was analyzed by total fusion. A total of 13 grains from sample PH030218-1 produced dates ranging from 25.02 ± 0.07 to 25.26 ± 0.07 Ma. A weighted mean of these yields an age of 25.14 ± 0.06 Ma (MSWD = 1.33; $p = 0.25$). A total of 27 sanidine grains from sample (MP071020-2) produced dates ranging from 25.14 ± 0.03 to 25.23 ± 0.03 Ma, and a weighted mean of these produce an age of 25.19 ± 0.02 Ma (MSWD = 1.45; $p = 0.08$). Combining the two datasets and applying a normalized median absolute deviation (nMAD) filter of 1.5 (e.g., Kuiper et al., 2008), 35 of the 40 total grains produce a weighted mean age of 25.19 ± 0.02 Ma (MSWD = 1.17; $p = 0.26$; Fig. 4). Bulk plagioclase from the Langdon Mountain lava flow was incrementally heated and produced a plateau age of 24.68 ± 0.32 Ma (MSWD = 0.71; $p = 0.82$; Fig. 5b) with 100% of the cumulative $^{39}\text{Ar}_K$. The $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ isochron intercept of 299.3 ± 10.3 is within uncertainty of the atmospheric value (Lee et al., 2006). Holliday et al. (2022) report total fusion dates of 13 sanidine grains from the Osiris Tuff, which produced dates ranging from 23.16 ± 0.08 to 23.37 ± 0.04 Ma and a weighted mean age of 23.27 ± 0.05 Ma (MSWD = 1.60; $p = 0.12$).

4.2 Zircon U/Pb geochronology

Zircon $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ dates from the Kingston Canyon Tuff (sample KCT) range from 25.11 ± 0.37 to 1871 ± 12 Ma ($n = 122$; Supplement). Precambrian grains ($n = 5$) and the single young zircon ($n = 1$) that is outside statistical uncertainty of the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ eruption age were excluded from calculations. The $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ dates produce a bimodal distribution (Fig. 6), with mixture model deconvolution peaks at 26.41 ± 0.06 Ma (29%) and 33.96 ± 0.05 Ma (54%) (Sambridge and Compston, 1994; Ludwig, 2012). Zircon from the Mount Dutton Formation produced $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ dates ranging from 25.13 ± 0.50 to 1823 ± 15 Ma ($n = 156$). Grains older than 50 Ma were excluded from calculations ($n = 17$). The $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ dates of the Mount Dutton Formation produce a fairly unimodal distribution, with a tail to slightly older (> 30 Ma) dates. Mixture modeling deconvolution suggests the dominant population is 26.56 ± 0.04 Ma (78%). However, this value is skewed slightly older than the age of the peak (Fig. 6).

The maximum depositional age (MDA) was estimated using maximum likelihood age algorithms (MLA; Galbraith and Laslett, 1993; Vermeesch, 2021). The MLA age for the Kingston Canyon Tuff of 26.13 ± 0.20 Ma (Fig. 7a) is in agreement with the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ eruption age but is significantly younger than the mixture modeling deconvolution age




Figure 5. Results of $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ incremental heating analyses for a lava flow and pseudotachylyte investigated in this work. **(a)** Incremental heating results of the pseudotachylyte. Each box height represents the date $\pm 2\sigma$. Filled incremental heating steps were used in calculations; unfilled steps were omitted. **(b)** Incremental heating results of the Langdon Mountain lava flow. Each box height represents the date $\pm 2\sigma$. All incremental heating steps were used in calculations.




Figure 6. Probability distribution functions for U/Pb zircon dates from the Kingston Canyon Tuff (sample KCT) and overlying alluvium of the Mount Dutton Formation. Mixture modeling deconvolution ages and the fraction of total grains are displayed for each mode. The dashed lines mark deconvolution ages relative to the probability distribution functions.

discussed above. The MLA for the alluvium of the Mount Dutton Formation is 25.24 ± 0.17 Ma (Fig. 7b), again significantly younger than the deconvolution age.

5 Discussion

Emplacement of the SGS was previously constrained to between 23.0 and 25.4 Ma based on a K–Ar age of 25.4 ± 0.9 Ma for the tuff of Tibadore, which is the youngest deformed volcanic unit in the SGS, and the 23.0 Ma age of the overlying, undeformed Osiris Tuff (Biek et al., 2019). This age was updated to between 26.2 and 25.1 Ma based on an age of 25.1 Ma for the Antimony Tuff, which post-dates emplacement, and an age of 26.2 Ma for the Buckskin Breccia, the youngest rocks underlying the SGS (Rowley et

al., 1994). The breccia itself had not been dated but included clasts of the Spry and Showalter quartz monzonite intrusions which have similar intrusion dates (Biek et al., 2022). Loffer (2024) estimated an emplacement age of 25.5 Ma based on detrital zircon U/Pb dates from the basal layer of the SGS at two locations. The discovery of pseudotachylyte within the SGS offered an additional opportunity to refine the emplacement age; this work presents an $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age of 25.25 ± 0.05 Ma for SGS emplacement, consistent with previous estimates but with higher precision. The significant improvement in the plateau age uncertainty for the SGS experiment relative to the pseudotachylyte analysis of Holliday et al. (2022) is because the analyses were performed using the NGX-600 mass spectrometer, which has stable ATONA[®]-backed Faraday collectors (Mixon et al. 2022) and an incredibly low noise floor (Cox et al., 2020). As such, a Bayesian statistical optimization age is not needed. Emplacement occurred approximately 170 kyr after the eruption of the tuff of Tibadore and immediately preceding the eruption of the Antimony Tuff. The slide may have occurred up to 130 kyr prior to the eruption of the Antimony Tuff or may have occurred nearly simultaneously, given that the ages and uncertainties for the pseudotachylyte and Antimony Tuff are statistically indistinguishable. Importantly, because the pseudotachylyte had been found within the upper plate associated with subsidiary faults, it has been impossible, until now, to conclusively demonstrate it was formed during slide emplacement. The pseudotachylyte age reported here thus provides tight constraints on the timing of SGS emplacement and confirms the catastrophic nature of emplacement, consistent with prior interpretations (e.g., Biek et al., 2019; Braunagel et al., 2023). The Langdon Mountain lava flow, dated at 24.7 Ma, represents the last minor eruptive sequence prior to the formation of the 23.0 Ma caldera by the eruption of the Osiris Tuff. The age of the Langdon Mountain lava flow is consistent with stratigraphic observations but does not contribute to better understanding the timing of the SGS.




Figure 7. Radial plots of zircon U/Pb age data for the (a) Kingston Canyon Tuff and (b) overlying alluvium of the Mount Dutton Formation. MLA: maximum likelihood age. Plots generated using IsoplotR with the algorithms of Galbraith and Laslett (1993) and Vermeesch (2021) and a logarithmic transformation.

The bimodal zircon U/Pb distribution of the Kingston Canyon Tuff suggests a significant zircon contribution from a previously crystallized subsurface magma. Xenocrystic inheritance is not observed in the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ data, possibly due to the small number of grains analyzed. Interestingly, the U/Pb zircon record of the alluvium atop the Kingston Canyon Tuff does not contain a strong 34 Ma signal. This, along with the overall younger MLA of the Mount Dutton alluvium, suggests that the dominant sediment input was derived from a different source. The Eocene Heart Mountain gravity slide in Wyoming is a comparable feature that is associated with igneous activity of the Absaroka volcanic field. Initiation of the gravity slide has been debated, ranging from incremental movement spanning millions of years (Malone et al., 2014) to catastrophic emplacement spanning several minutes to hours (Craddock et al., 2009). Recently, a lamprophyre suite (breccia, dike, diatreme) was identified at the base of allochthonous rocks. High-precision zircon U/Pb dating of the lamprophyre yielded dates that were identical to those obtained from other basalt cataclasites, leading to the interpretation that the eruption of the diatreme triggered the gravity slide (Malone et al., 2017).

In both the MGSC and Heart Mountain, trigger mechanisms for gravity slides have been poorly understood; however, the timing of igneous events relative to the sliding are essential for unraveling the relationship between the two types of events. In the Eocene Heart Mountain gravity slide, igneous activity apparently led to sliding, whereas in the Oligocene SGS, decompression associated with the gravity slide may have initiated the eruption of the Antimony Tuff. However, the timing of the intrusion of the magma body which became the Antimony Tuff is still unknown. Additional insights into the processes associated with the An-

timony Tuff's pre-eruption magma injection into the upper crust could be unraveled using detailed mineral analyses.

6 Conclusions

New high-precision $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating of key units involved in the SGS suggests an emplacement age of $25.25 \text{ Ma} \pm 0.05 \text{ Ma}$. This is approximately 2 million years prior to the Markagunt gravity slide (Holliday et al., 2022). The emplacement model proposed here is that the slide was initiated from injection of magma, which led to slope failure. This prompted decompression and ultimately the eruption of the $25.19 \pm 0.02 \text{ Ma}$ Antimony Tuff. The association of magmatic intrusions and gravity slides was also proposed at Heart Mountain, Wyoming, suggesting that large-volume volcanic plateaus may generate these types of catastrophic events more frequently than previously identified. Further, we begin to resolve the questions about the causes of gravity slides (Hacker et al., 2014) and assess the relationship between igneous activity and mass movements.

Data availability. All data used in this work are provided in the Supplement.

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at <https://doi.org/10.5194/gchron-7-35-2025-supplement>.

Author contributions. TR and MH designed the study and performed field collection with BJ, DHM, MJB, RFB, and WAG. BJ and BHM performed $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ and U/Pb data collection, respectively. TR, MH, and VABF performed data analysis. TR prepared

the figures and the manuscript, and all authors contributed to the interpretation of results and improvement of the manuscript.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Acknowledgements. This manuscript was improved through peer review by Martha Papadopoulou and Bryant Ware, along with editorial handling by Fred Jourdan. We thank the Arizona LaserChron Center for assistance in analyzing samples for U/Pb data. Samples for this work were obtained from the homelands of the Ute, Southern Paiute, and Goshute people.

Financial support. The funding for this research was provided by the National Science Foundation, Directorate for Geosciences (grant nos. 2412838, 2113158, 2113157, 2113155, and 2050246).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Fred Jourdan and reviewed by Martha Papadopoulou and Bryant Ware.

References

- Anderson, J. J.: The Markagunt megabreccia: large Miocene gravity slides mantling the northern Markagunt Plateau, southwestern Utah, *Utah Geol. Surv. Misc. Pub.*, 93-2, <https://doi.org/10.34191/mp-93-2>, 1993.
- Biek, R. F., Hacker, D. B., and Rowley, P. D.: New constraints on the extent, age, and emplacement history of the early Miocene Markagunt Megabreccia, southwest Utah – the deposit of one of the world's largest subaerial gravity slides, in: *Geology of Utah's far south*, edited by: MacLean, J. S., Biek, R. F., and Huntoon, J. E., *Utah Geol. Assn. Pub.*, 43, CD, 565–598, 2014.
- Biek, R. F., Rowley, P. D., Anderson, J. J., Maldonado, F., Moore, D. W., Hacker, D. B., Eaton, J. G., Hereford, R., Sable, E. G., Filkorn, H. F., and Matyjasik, B.: Geologic map of the Panguitch 30' x 60' quadrangle, Garfield, Iron, and Kane counties, Utah, *Utah Geol. Surv., Map 270DM*, ISBN 978-1-55791-903-8, 2015.
- Biek, R. F., Hacker, D. B., and Rowley, P. D.: Catastrophic megascale landslide failure of large volcanic fields, *GSA Today*, 27, 30–31, <https://doi.org/10.1130/abs/2018rm-314106>, 2017.
- Biek, R. F., Rowley, P. D., and Hacker, D. B. (Eds.): *The Gigantic Markagunt and Sevier Gravity Slides Resulting from Mid-Cenozoic Catastrophic Mega-Scale Failure of the Marysvale Volcanic Field, Utah, USA*, *Geol. Soc. Amer. Field Guide*, 56, <https://doi.org/10.1130/FLD056>, 2019.
- Biek, R. F., Rowley, P. D., and Hacker, D. B.: Utah's ancient megalandslides—geology, discovery, and guide to Earth's largest terrestrial landslides: *Utah Geological Survey Circular 132*, 67 pp., <https://doi.org/10.34191/C-132>, 2022.
- Braunagel, M. J., Griffith, W. A., Biek, R. F., Hacker, D. B., Rowley, P. D., Malone, D. H., Mayback, D., Rivera, T. A., Loffer, Z., and Smith, Z. D.: Structural relationships across the Sevier gravity slide of southwest Utah and implications for catastrophic translation and emplacement processes of long runout landslides, *Geochem. Geophys. Geos.*, 24, e2022GC010783, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022gc010783>, 2023.
- Cox, S. E., Hemming, S. R., and Tootell, D.: The Isotopx NGX and ATONA Faraday amplifiers, *Geochronology*, 2, 231–243, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gchron-2-231-2020>, 2020.
- Craddock, J. P., Malone, D. H., Magloughlin, J., Cook, A. L., Rieser, M. E., and Doyle, J. R.: Dynamics of the emplacement of the Heart Mountain allochthon at White Mountain: Constraints from calcite twinning strains, anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility, and thermodynamic calculations, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 121, 919–938, 2009.
- Cunningham, C. G. and Steven, T. A.: Mount Belknap and Red Hills calderas and associated rocks, Marysvale volcanic field, west-central Utah, *U.S. Geol. Surv.*, 1468, <https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr77568>, 1979.
- Galbraith, R. and Laslett, G.: Statistical models for mixed fission track ages, *Nuclear Tracks and Radiation Measurements*, 21, 459–470, [https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0189\(93\)90185-C](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0189(93)90185-C), 1993.
- Gehrels, G. E. and Pecha, M.: Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology and Hf isotope geochemistry of Paleozoic and Triassic passive margin strata of western North America, *Geosphere*, 10, 49–65, <https://doi.org/10.1130/GES00889.1>, 2014.
- Gehrels, G. E., Valencia, V., and Pullen, A.: Detrital zircon geochronology by laser-ablation multicollector ICPMS at the Arizona LaserChron Center, *Paleo. Soc. Papers*, 12, 67–76, <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1089332600001352>, 2006.
- Gehrels, G. E., Valencia, V., and Ruiz, J.: Enhanced precision, accuracy, efficiency, and spatial resolution of U-Pb ages by laser ablation-multicollector-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry, *Geochem. Geophys. Geos.*, 9, Q03017, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007gc001805>, 2008.
- Granger, H. C. and Bauer, H. L.: Preliminary examination of uranium deposits near Marysvale, Piute County, Utah, *Trace Elements Memorandum Report*, U.S. Geol. Surv., 33, <https://doi.org/10.3133/tem33>, 1950.
- Hacker, D., Biek, R. F., Rowley, P., Griffith, W. A., Malone, D., and Rivera, T.: Catastrophic gravity sliding of the Marysvale volcanic field during rapid growth of laccolithic batholiths: insights from the Cenozoic Marysvale gravity slide complex, southwest Utah, in: *Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs*, Pittsburgh, USA, 15–18 October 2023, <https://doi.org/10.1130/abs/2023am-394566>, 2023.
- Hacker, D. B., Biek, R. F., and Rowley, P. D.: Catastrophic emplacement of the gigantic Markagunt gravity slide, southwest Utah (USA): Implications for hazards associated with sector collapse of volcanic fields, *Geology*, 42, 943–946, <https://doi.org/10.1130/g35896.1>, 2014.
- Hacker, D. B., Rowley, P. D., and Biek, R. F.: Catastrophic collapse features in volcanic terrains: styles and links to subvolcanic magma systems, in: *Physical Geology of Shal-*

- low Magmatic Systems Advances in Volcanology, edited by: Breithaupt, C. and Rocchi, S., Springer, 2015-248, https://doi.org/10.1007/11157_2017_1001, 2018.
- Holliday, M. E., Rivera, T., Jicha, B., Trayler, R. B., Biek, R. F., Braunagel, M. J., Griffith, W. A., Hacker, D. B., Malone, D. H., and Mayback, D. F.: Emplacement age of the Markagunt gravity slide in southwestern Utah, USA, *Terra Nova*, 35, 66–72, <https://doi.org/10.1111/ter.12630>, 2022.
- Jicha, B. R., Singer, B. S., and Sobol, P.: Re-evaluation of the ages of $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ sanidine standards and supereruptions in the western U.S. using a Noblesse multi-collector mass spectrometer, *Chem. Geol.*, 431, 54–66, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.03.024>, 2016.
- Kerr, P. F., Brophy, G. P., Dahl, H. M., Green, J., and Woolard, L. E.: Marysvale, Utah, Uranium Area: Geology, Volcanic Relations, and Hydrothermal Alteration, Marysvale, Utah, Uranium Area, in: *Geology, Volcanic Relations, and Hydrothermal Alteration*, edited by: Kerr, P. F., Brophy, G. P., Dahle, H. M., Green, J., and Woolard, L. E., *Geol. Soc. Amer.*, 64, ISBN 10 1258764555, ISBN 13 9781258764555 1957.
- Kuiper, K. F., Deino, A., Hilgen, F. J., Krijgsman, W., Renne, P. R., and Wijbrans, J. R.: Synchronizing rock clocks of Earth history, *Science*, 320, 500–504, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1154339>, 2008.
- Lee, J.-Y., Marti, K., Severinghaus, J. P., Kawamura, K., Yoo, H.-S., Lee, J. B., and Kim, J. S.: A redetermination of the isotopic abundances of atmospheric Ar, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.*, 70, 4507–4512, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.06.1563>, 2006.
- Loffer, Z.: Geology of parts of the Cow Creek and Deep Creek 7.5-minute quadrangles, Garfield County, Utah: Implications for structural and deformational history of the mega-scale Sevier gravity slide, MS thesis, Kent State University, Ohio, 2024.
- Ludwig, K. R.: User's manual for Isoplot version 3. 75–4. 15: a geochronological toolkit for Microsoft Excel, Berkeley Geochronological Center Special Publication 5, <https://www.bgc.org/isoplot/8857ffdd-0794-4f29-a547-a23f863a1ffc> (last access: 15 February 2025), 2012.
- Malone, D. H.: Very large debris-avalanche deposit within the Eocene volcanic succession of the northeastern Absaroka Range, Wyoming, *Geology*, 23, 661–664, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1995\)023<0661:vldadw>2.3.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1995)023<0661:vldadw>2.3.co;2), 1995.
- Malone, D. H., Craddock, J. P., Anders, M. H., and Wulff, A. P.: Constraints on the emplacement age of the massive Heart Mountain Slide, Northwestern Wyoming, *J. Geol.*, 122, 671–685, <https://doi.org/10.1086/678279>, 2014.
- Malone, D. H., Craddock, J. P., Schmitz, M. D., Kenderes, S., Kraushaar, B., Murphey, C. J., Nielsen, S., and Mitchell, T. M.: Volcanic initiation of the Eocene Heart Mountain slide, Wyoming, USA, *J. Geol.*, 125, 439–457, <https://doi.org/10.1086/692328>, 2017.
- Marchetti, D. W., Stork, A. L., Solomon, D. K., Cerling, T. E., and Mace, W.: Cosmogenic ^3He exposure ages of basaltic flows from Miller Knoll, Panguitch Lake, Utah: Using the alternative isochron approach to overcome low-gas crushes, *Quat. Geochronol.*, 55, 101035, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2019.101035>, 2020.
- Mixon, E. E., Jicha, B. R., Tootell, D., and Singer, B. S.: Optimizing $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ analyses using an Isotopx NGX-600 mass spectrometer, *Chem. Geol.*, 593, 120753, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2022.120753>, 2022.
- Palmer, B. A. and Walton, A. W.: Accumulation of volcanoclastic aprons in the Mount Dutton Formation (Oligocene-Miocene), Marysvale volcanic field, Utah, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 102, 734–748, 1990.
- Pullen, A., Ibanez-Mejia, M., Gehrels, G., Giesler, D., and Pecha, M.: Optimization of a laser ablation-single collector-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (Thermo Element 2) for accurate, precise, and efficient zircon U-Th-Pb geochronology, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosy.*, 19, 3689–3705, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC007889>, 2018.
- Rowley, P. D., Anderson, J. J., and Williams, P.: A summary of Tertiary volcanic stratigraphy of the southwestern High Plateaus and adjacent Great Basin, Utah, U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull., 1405-B, <https://doi.org/10.3133/b1405B>, 1975.
- Rowley, P. D., Mehnert, H. H., Naeser, C. W., Snee, L. W., Cunningham, C. G., Steven, T. A., Anderson, J. J., Sable, E. G., and Anderson, R. E.: Isotopic ages and stratigraphy of Cenozoic rocks of the Marysvale Volcanic Field and adjacent areas, west-central Utah, U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull., 2071, <https://doi.org/10.3133/b2071>, 1994.
- Rowley, P. D., Cunningham, C. G., Steven, T. A., Mehnert, H. H., and Naeser, C. W.: Cenozoic Igneous and Tectonic Setting of the Marysvale Volcanic Field and Its Relation to Other Igneous Centers in Utah and Nevada, in: *Laccolith Complexes of Southeastern Utah: Time of Emplacement and Tectonic Setting-Workshop Proceedings*, edited by: Friedman, J. D. and Huffman, C., *Laccolith Complexes of Southeastern Utah*, U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull., 2158, 167–201, <https://doi.org/10.3133/b2158>, 1997.
- Rowley, P. D., Cunningham, C. G., Steven, T. A., Mehnert, H. H., and Naeser, C. W.: Cenozoic igneous and tectonic setting of the Marysvale volcanic field and its relation to other igneous centers in Utah and Nevada, in: *Laccolith complexes of southeastern Utah—Time of emplacement and tectonic setting – Workshop proceedings: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2158*, edited by: Friedman, J. D. and Huffman Jr., A. C., 167–202, <https://pubs.usgs.gov/bul/b2158/B2158-14.pdf> (last access: 14 February 2025), 1998.
- Rowley, P. D., Cunningham, C. G., Steven, T. A., Workman, J. B., Anderson, J. J., and Theissen, K. M.: Geologic Map of the Central Marysvale Volcanic Field, Southwestern Utah, U.S. Geol. Surv., I-2645-A, <https://doi.org/10.3133/i2645A>, 2002.
- Sable, E. G. and Maldonado, F.: Breccias and megabreccias, Markagunt Plateau, southwestern Utah: Origin, age, and transport directions, U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull., 2153, 151–176, 1997.
- Sambridge, M. S. and Compston, W.: Mixture modeling of multi-component data sets with application to ion-probe zircon ages, *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.*, 128, 373–390, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x\(94\)90157-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(94)90157-0), 1994.
- Steven, T. A., Cunningham, C. G., Naeser, C. W., and Mehner, H.: Revised stratigraphy and radiometric ages of volcanic rocks and mineral deposits in the Marysvale area, west-central Utah, U.S. Geol. Surv., OFR 77-569, <https://doi.org/10.3133/b1469>, 1977.
- Steven, T. A., Rowley, P. D., and Cunningham, C. G.: Calderas of the Marysvale Volcanic Field, west central Utah, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 89, 8751–8764, 1984.
- Sundell, K. E., Gehrels, G. E., and Pecha, M. E.: Rapid U-Pb geochronology by laser ablation multi-collector, *Geostand.*

- Geoanal. Res., 45, 37–57, <https://doi.org/10.1111/ggr.12355>, 2021.
- Taylor, A. O., Anderson, T. P., O'Toole, W. L., Waddell, G. G., Gray, A. W., Douglas, H., Cherry, C. L., and Caywood, R. M.: Geology and Uranium Deposits of Marysvale, Utah: (an interim report on the producing area), U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, RMO-839, <https://archives.mountainscholar.org/digital/collection/p17393coll30/id/9127/> (last access: 14 February 2025), 1951.
- Vermeesch, P.: On the treatment of discordant detrital zircon U–Pb data, *Geochronology*, 3, 247–257, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gchron-3-247-2021>, 2021.
- Wender, L. E. and Nash, W. P.: Petrology of Oligocene and early Miocene calc-alkalic volcanism in the Marysvale area, Utah: Summary, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 90, 2–3, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1979\)90<2:pooaem>2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1979)90<2:pooaem>2.0.co;2), 1979.
- Zamianalavijeh, N., Hosseinzadehsabeti, E., Ferré, E. C., Hacker, D. B., Biedermann, A. R., and Biek, R. F.: Kinematics of frictional melts at the base of the world's largest terrestrial landslide: Markagunt gravity slide, southwest Utah, United States, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 153, 104448, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2021.104448>, 2021.