Geochronology Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gchron-2019-21-RC2, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



GChronD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Baddeleyite microtextures and U-Pb discordance: insights from the Spread Eagle Intrusive Complex and Cape St. Mary's sills, Newfoundland, Canada" by Johannes E. Pohlner et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 13 March 2020

The manuscript "Baddeleyite microtextures and U-Pb discordance: insights from the Spread Eagle Intrusive Complex and Cape St. Mary's sills, Newfoundland, Canada" dealt with an very important issue about the U-Pb age discordance of Baddelyite and implications for the U-Pb age interpretation. The authors provided very detailed petrological and minerological evidences for the occoonduct urence of the baddelyite and then conducted SIMS and TIMS analyses of the U-Pb ages to discuss the possible mechanisms for U-Pb discordance and to constrain the ages of the studied samples. However, there are several weaknesses about the manuscript at its present style in-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



cluding data precise and geological interpretationiijNand I can't recommend it to be accepted at present version. Major comments: 1. The authors presented different sessions for the SIMS U-Pb analyses, which are the important basis for the further discussion. The data are not in enough high quality to do such things, including the discordance U-Pb ages and the inheritence of xenocrystic zircons. The precisions of some data are even lower than those reported in 1993. The selection of 206Pb/238U or 207Pb/206Pb ages to represent the studied samples are very arbitrary. The high common Pb abundances are also strange for most zircon and baddelyite grains that have high U- contents, which might be resulted from the analyses of the alteration domains. For samples FP6D and S2E, the 207Pb/206Pb ages are essential the same within the analytical errors and could be used with caution to discuss the linear correlation with the percentage of discordance. 2. The interpretation of secondary baddelyite and xenocrystic zircons are not very solided based on the presented evidences: What is unique for sample S2C to transform zirocn into secondary baddelyite under low metamorphic conditions, which should be clear addressed. In sample FP12A, the 206Pb/238U ages are not precise enough to drawimportant conclusion for such an unreported phenomenon; No resorption textures can be observed to support the authors interpretation. 3. The ages of the studied samples are not refined from the present study but mostly cited from previous result to the selection.

Interactive comment on Geochronology Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gchron-2019-21, 2020.

GChronD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

