

Interactive comment on “Calibrating a long-term meteoric ^{10}Be delivery rate into Western US glacial deposits through a comparison of complimentary meteoric and in situ-produced ^{10}Be depth profiles” by Travis Clow et al.

TRAVIS CLOW

tclow@ucsd.edu

Received and published: 4 June 2020

The multiplication of the water flux term in Eq. 4 is a typographical error in the conversion from plain-text to 'Equation' in Word. It is meant to be an addition sign and has since been fixed. The Monte Carlo simulation uses Eq. 4 in the correct form.

Also, the density term should not be in Eq. 3. Density is factored into the erosion rate, which was not described properly with units (kg/m²/yr) on line 163 previously! This has been fixed as well.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Interactive comment on Geochronology Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gchron-2020-14>, 2020.

GChronD

Interactive
comment

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

