Luminescence properties and dating of glacial to periglacial sediments from northern Switzerland
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Abstract. Luminescence dating has become a pillar of the understanding of Pleistocene glacial advances in the northern foreland of the Swiss Alps. However, both quartz and feldspar from the region are equally challenging as dosimeters with anomalous fading and partial bleaching being some of the obstacles to overcome for the establishment of decisive chronologies. In this study, luminescence properties of coarse- and fine-grained quartz, feldspar and polymineral fractions of eight samples from a palaeovalley, Rinikerfeld, in northern Switzerland are systematically assessed. Standard performance tests are conducted on all four fractions. Deconvolution of luminescence signals of the quartz fractions is implemented and shows the dominance of stable fast components. Reader specific low preheat temperatures are investigate on the infrared stimulated luminescence (IRSL) signal of feldspar. Thermal stability of this signal is found for low preheats and thermal quenching could be excluded for higher preheats. However, anomalous fading is observed in the feldspar and polymineral IRSL signals and two correction approaches are applied. For one approach, fading corrected coarse-grained feldspar ages are consistent with those derived from quartz. In general, coarse-grained quartz and feldspar as well as the fine-grained polymineral fraction of one sample are in chrono-stratigraphic agreement and present negligible evidence for partial bleaching. However, ages derived from fine-grained quartz are found to underestimate those of the coarse-grained quartz fractions. Hence, the impact of alpha efficiency and water content onto the dose rate and therefore the ages are assessed. A finite explanation for the observed discrepancies remains lacking but this systematic investigation of different luminescence signals allows for the establishment of a chronology for the palaeovalley fill dating back to at least Marine Isotope Stage 6 (MIS 6).

1 Introduction

Pleistocene glaciations in the northern foreland of the Swiss Alps have been studied since the early 19th century (summarised in Preusser et al., 2011). Whereas it appears that a minimum of eight glacial advances have shaped the lowlands, consensus on the exact number and timing is still lacking. In the last three decades, numerical dating has become a crucial component in the reconstruction of the environmental past of northern Switzerland (e.g. Preusser, 1999a; Graf et al., 2007; Kock et al., 2009; Dehnert et al., 2012). In particular, luminescence dating has become a pillar of chrono-stratigraphy and understanding of
glacial advances (Preusser et al., 2011). However, luminescence dating of glacial and proglacial deposits can be complex (e.g. Duller, 1994, 2006; Spencer and Owen, 2004). For one, in such environments sediment sources and sinks are often within short distance of each other and transport may occur in turbid waters, both of which reduces the chance for grains to experience sufficient sunlight exposure to reset or bleach any pre-existing luminescence signals. This effect will consequently lead to age overestimation and requires consideration in such deposits. The measurement of single grains or small aliquots has been advised to allow for monitoring of luminescence signal commonly presented as equivalent dose ($D_e$) distributions and the isolation of a proportion of the $D_e$ distributions that is considered well bleached (e.g. Olley et al., 2004; Duller, 2006; Trauerstein et al., 2017). The luminescence signal of quartz is more readily bleachable than that of feldspar (Godfrey-Smith et al., 1988; Murray et al., 2012), and hence is favoured as dosimeter (e.g. Lowick et al., 2015). But, to assess partial bleaching of samples from northern Switzerland, Trauerstein et al. (2017) have recommended the comparison of luminescence signals obtained from both dosimeters. However, quartz and feldspar from the region have found to be equally challenging for dating. For quartz from the wider region, unstable components were reported for some (e.g. Klasen et al., 2016) but not all samples (e.g. Gaar et al., 2013). Moreover, laboratory dose response curves for quartz were often best fitted with a double saturating exponential function that accounts for high dose responses beyond single exponential behaviour (Lowick et al., 2010; Dehnert et al., 2012). This phenomenon is also known for samples from other regions where luminescence ages are either in agreement (e.g. Murray et al., 2008; Pawley et al., 2010) or disagreement (e.g. Lai, 2010; Timar et al., 2010; Timar-Gabor et al. 2011) with independent age control. However, the physical reason for this behaviour has yet to be identified (Wintle, 2008). In northern Switzerland, quartz luminescence ages of up to ca. 250 ka have been found reliable (Anselmetti et al., 2010; Dehnert et al., 2012; Lowick et al., 2015; Buechi et al., 2017), but not beyond. Hence, this approach is not suitable to establish an independent chronology for the recently modified Mid-Pleistocene glaciation history (Graf, 2009; Preusser et al., 2011).

While feldspar requires more time to reset the signal prior to deposition, it is known to saturate at higher doses and therefore often allows to date much older deposits than quartz (cf. Duller, 1997). Yet, the infrared stimulated luminescence signal of feldspar measured at 50 °C (IRSL) often suffers from anomalous fading contributing to age underestimation (Wintle, 1973; Spooner, 1994). Different approaches to determine and to account for the loss of signal over time have been proposed (Huntley and Lamothe, 2001; Auclaire et al., 2003; Lamothe et al., 2003; Huntley, 2006; Kars et al., 2008). These approaches rely on observing signal loss over hours to days within laboratory experiments and deducing from this the signal loss that has occurred over geological time scales. In such storage tests, fading has been observed for most samples from northern Switzerland with $g$-values between 1 % and 3 % per decade (Dehnert et al., 2012; Lowick et al., 2012, 2015; Gaar and Preusser, 2012) and $2.7 \pm 0.3 \%$ per decade (normalised to 2 days; Buechi et al., 2017). However, uncorrected IRSL $D_e$ values were either (1) beyond the linear part of the dose response curve and therefore unsuited for most fading correction approaches, (2) corrected IRSL $D_e$ values were close to saturation and consequently rejected for age determination or (3) uncorrected feldspar ages were in better agreement with quartz ages and therefore favoured (Dehnert et al., 2012; Lowick et al., 2012, 2015; Gaar and Preusser, 2012; Buechi et al., 2017). Only Gaar et al. (2013) found correcting for fading appropriate and necessary for samples <100 ka. For dating of older samples, Lowick et al. (2012) tested alternative measurement protocols that target signals that are more...
stable than the IRSL signal, the post infrared infrared stimulated luminescence signals (pIRIR). The pIRIR signals are measured at higher temperatures after an initial readout of IRSL signals at 50 °C (Thomsen et al., 2008; Buylaert et al., 2011, Thiel et al., 2011). While fading is expected to decrease or become negligible for the more stable pIRIR signals, these take longer to bleach by sunlight during transport. For the selected pIRIR\textsubscript{225} and pIRIR\textsubscript{290} signals, fading was still observed and age overestimations led to the conclusion that these approaches are not beneficial for the investigated waterlain-sediments from northern Switzerland (Lowick et al., 2012).

Here, luminescence properties of samples from the Rinikerfeld in the northern foreland of the Swiss Alps are assessed. Scientific drilling was conducted at a stratigraphic key site within the former glacier forefield as part of a larger campaign. The campaign is aimed to acquire further insights into the long-term glacial and fluvial landscape evolution of northern Switzerland. Modelling of future erosion scenarios will be based on the gained knowledge and thereby assist in the assessment of the safest and most suitable location for a prospective Swiss nuclear waste depository. For this, the establishment of a chrono-stratigraphy is essential and, hence, dating is a crucial component. Therefore, feldspar, polymineral as well as fine- and coarse-grained quartz are investigated to exploit the dating potential of the samples from this site. Performance tests, signal intensity and composition of quartz as well as signal and fading properties of feldspar are discussed and two approaches to correct for fading are applied. Eventually ages are derived and discussed with regard to problems found for other studies of the region. For ease of reading, methods, results and discussion are jointly presented in aspect specific subsections.

2 Site setting and samples

The study site (Fig. 1) is located within the Rinikerfeld, at the eastern tail of the Jura Mountains, about 30 km NW of Zurich. Rinikerfeld is part of an extensive palaeovalley structure and situated in an elevated position, ca. 50 m above the nearby present-day Lower Aare Valley. At the site, the palaeovalley is carved into Mesozoic bedrock and presumed to be of Mid-Pleistocene age (Bitterli-Dreher et al., 2007; Graf, 2009). It was spared from glacial overprint during the Last Glacial Maximum (Bini et al., 2009), however, the outermost ice margins of preceding glaciations were found to the North of the study area (cf. Preusser et al., 2011), suggesting a glacial to periglacial sedimentary nature of the palaeovalley fill. About 40 m of Quaternary sediments are overlying the bedrock (carbonaceous marl) and were recovered in a composite core (Fig. 2) within a scientific drilling campaign (Gegg et al., 2018). At its base, the composite core consists of about 4 m of glacial diamicton overlain by ca. 2 m of glacial or glacier-proximal sandy gravel that contains frequent angular, faceted, and, in the lowest part, striated clasts. This unit transitions into ca. 24 m of lacustrine clay and silt. The interbedded gravelly sand and silt at the bottom of the lacustrine unit suggest an initial dominance of deposition by traction currents, possibly in a glaciolacustrine or deltaic setting. Relatively homogeneous laminated sediments in the rest of the lacustrine unit indicate suspension settling and low-energy currents. Towards the top, the lacustrine unit progressively coarsens and is capped by ca. 4.6 m of interbedded
gravelly/sandy diamictons, rich in locally-derived angular clasts. This heterogeneous unit is likely to represent a mix of fluvial and colluvial deposits possibly deposited in a periglacial setting (Raab et al., 2007).

For luminescence dating, samples were obtained from ca. 10 cm diameter cores recovered in plastic liners (Table 1); three samples were taken from the gravelly to sandy diamicton unit (RIN1, 2, 3), one sample of lacustrine sands (RIN4) and one of gravelly sand and silt (RIN13). The two latter bracket the fine-grained, lacustrine unit whereof another three samples were taken (RIN5, 6, 8). Sample material was collected in ca. 10 cm segments whereby the outer rind and the surface, exposed to daylight during core cutting and splitting, were allocated for dose rate determination and the inner core was used for $D_e$ determination.

3 Sample preparation, equipment and dose rate determination

To determine $D_e$ values, samples were wet-sieved and treated with HCl and $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ to remove carbonates and organic matter, respectively. Of five samples (RIN1 to RIN4, RIN13), coarse grained (150-200 and 200-250 µm) potassium-rich feldspar (F) and quartz (Q) were separated using sodium polytungstate at densities of 2.58 g cm$^{-3}$ and 2.7 g cm$^{-3}$. The outer rind of the quartz grains was etched in 40 % HF for 1 h followed by a 32 % HCl treatment for another hour to eliminate any fluoride precipitates. For the remaining three samples (RIN5, 6, 8) and RIN13, the fine-grained fraction (4-11 µm) was separated using settling under Stokes’ Law. One half of the sample remained pristine as polymineral fraction (PM) while the other half was treated with hexafluorosilicic acid for seven days in order to obtain purified quartz (fQ). The fine-grained fractions were suspended in acetone and settled onto cups of 9.8 mm in diameter (>10$^6$ grains). For the coarse-grained fractions, sample material was mounted onto cups using silicon oil stamps with diameters of 1 mm for feldspar and 4 mm for quartz (ca. 13 and 200 grains, respectively).

$D_e$ values were obtained with a Freiberg Instruments _Lexsyg Research_ using LEDs with peak emission at 458 nm (BSL) for quartz and at 850 nm (IRSL) for feldspar and polymineral. BSL and IRSL signals were detected by an ET9235QB photomultiplier tube filtered through a 2.5 mm Hoya U-340 glass combined with a 5 mm Delta-BP 365/50 EX-interference filter or a 3 mm BG 39 Schott glass and a 3.5 mm HC 414/46-1 AHF Brightline interference filter (referred to as ‘410 nm-filter combination’), respectively. Additionally, a cardboard barrier was mounted into the filter wheel for IRSL measurements (for details see 4.2). Laboratory irradiation was given by a $^{90}\text{Sr}/^{90}\text{Y}$ beta source mounted into the reader. The beta source was calibrated using _Risø_ calibration quartz batches 108 (4-11 µm) and 118 (180-250 µm) to ca. 0.10 Gy s$^{-1}$ and 0.11 Gy s$^{-1}$, respectively. Measurements with the electron multi-plying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) were conducted using an _Andor iXon Ultra 897_ camera mounted to the _Lexsyg Research_.

Notable is the preheat behaviour of the used _Lexsyg Research_ reader (Lexsyg ID 09-0020); at a heating rate of 5 °C s$^{-1}$, the so-called 110 °C TL peak emerges at much lower temperatures than expected. For example, for _Risø_ calibration quartz (batch 118) TL counts are peaking at 87 ± 3 °C during preheat. This is in agreement to observations made by Schmidt et al. (2018b)
who compared the preheat behaviour of various OSL readers and discovered the appearance of the 110 °C TL peak within a range of 60 °C. This has to be considered when assessing appropriate measurement protocols (see section 4).

For dose rate determination (Table 2), sample material was dried and radio-nuclide content was determined via high-resolution gamma-ray spectrometry at VKTA (Dresden, Germany). In absence of evidence for radioactive disequilibrium (cf. Degering and Degering, 2020), only contents used for dose rate determination are presented in Table 2. A mean alpha efficiency factor of 0.04 ± 0.02 (α-value) was assumed for all fQ following Buechi et al. (2017). An α-value of 0.05 ± 0.01 was applied for the F and PM fractions (Preusser, 1999a, 1999b; Preusser et al., 2001). For the two latter an internal potassium content of 12.5 % ± 0.5 % was used (Huntley and Baril, 1997). The cosmic contribution was determined for present day depths following Prescott and Hutton (1994). Water content relative to the dry weight of the sample and capacity of water absorption (DIN 18132:2012-04, 2016) were determined in the laboratory. Representative long-term water content estimates of 20 to 25 % ± 5 % were used for total dose rate (DRtotal) determination. Age calculations were conducted with ADELE 2017 software (www.add-ideas.com; Degering and Degering, 2020).

4 Performance tests

Performance tests were conducted on both the coarse- and fine-grained fractions of two representative samples (RIN2Q, RIN2F, RIN5fQ, RIN5PM). Preheat plateau tests were carried out on the natural dose while for thermal transfer and dose recovery tests, sample material was exposed to a daylight lamp for 16 h (Q, fQ) to 30 h (F, PM). All performance tests and measurements were conducted using preheating previous to the natural, regenerative and test doses for which the aliquots were heated with 5 °C s⁻¹ to the tested temperature and held for 10 s (Q, fQ) or 60 s (F, PM).

Both BSL and IRSL measurements were conducted following the SAR protocol (Murray and Wintle, 2000) with test doses of ca. 46 Gy and ca. 23 Gy administered, respectively. The initial signal was derived from the first 0.4 s of the BSL and the first 15 s of the IRSL signals. A late background subtraction using the last 40 s (BSL) or 50 s (IRSL) was applied. De values were calculated using the numOSL package for R (Peng et al., 2018).

For Q and fQ, an IR depletion ratio step (>20 %) was implemented at the end of each sequence to check the adequacy of the sensitivity correction and for feldspar contamination. A maximum of four aliquots per sample failed this rejection criterion. None of the measured Q, fQ, F and PM aliquots presented dim (<3*background level) or imprecise (>20 %) test dose signals and were hence accepted. For RIN3 F, one aliquot was rejected as it failed the recycling ratio test (>20 %) and for RIN13 Q, three aliquots were rejected as their De values were in saturation.

4.1 Quartz OSL

For preheat plateau tests on the natural signal of Q (RIN2), statistically consistent (1 σ) De values were obtained on the larger grain size fraction for preheats between 200 and 240 °C (Fig. 3). De values of fQ (RIN5) for this preheat temperature range are
consistent at 2 \sigma (Fig. 3). A given dose of ca. 130 Gy was fully recovered from both tested samples (RIN2 Q and RIN5 fQ; Fig. 3) at 240 °C with measured-to-given-dose ratios (M/G-ratios) of 1.01 ± 0.03 and 1.05 ± 0.04, respectively. Maximal thermal transfer was detected with <4 Gy which equals to less than 3.5 % of the natural D_e and is therefore considered negligible. Hence, a preheat temperature of 240 °C was chosen for both grain size fractions of quartz (Q, fQ) for all investigated samples.

4.2 Feldspar and polymineral IRSL

For F, poor recovery was obtained for preheats above 190 °C. Considering the poor performance at high temperatures and the early emergence of the 110 °C TL peak on the used Lexsyg Research reader (see section 3), lower temperatures were tested and a full recovery of the given dose (ca. 130 Gy) was possible for F and PM with a preheat of 170 °C. No plateau but increasing trends of D_e values from the natural signal were observed between 150 and 210 °C for F and between 170 and 210 °C for PM. Natural D_e values decrease rapidly for preheats above 210 °C while thermal transfer behaves inversely. However, thermal transfer is within <2 Gy (ca. 1.5 % of the natural D_e), and is therefore negligible. To investigate whether thermal quenching induces an underestimation of D_e values at high preheat temperatures, preheat measurements were conducted on aliquots of RIN2 F following Wallinga et al. (2000). Therefore, the natural signal was bleached with IR LEDs, a fixed dose of ca. 90 Gy was administered and each aliquot was preheated to 50 °C for 10 s followed by IRSL at 50 °C for 0.1 s. This was repeated for preheat temperatures between 50 and 300 °C in 25 °C steps. After the highest preheat the aliquots are expected to be sensitised and can be used for normalisation, therefore, the entire measurement sequence was repeated using the same fixed dose of ca. 90 Gy (Fig. 4). An increase in normalised IRSL sensitivity would be expected if the electron trapping probability changes with temperature (Wallinga et al., 2000). Yet, a decrease was observed for temperatures above 200 °C suggesting that it is not a change in trapping probability causing the lower natural D_e values and dose recovery ratios. Rather the high preheat temperature may cause a removal of signal commonly used for D_e determination. Therefore, a preheat temperature of below 200 °C is most advisable. Best performance of the SAR protocol was obtained at a preheat of 170 °C (Fig. 3) which suggests a much lower preheat than conventionally used. Buylaert et al. (2011) advice against the use of preheats below 200 °C due to thermally unstable signals induced during laboratory irradiation. However, their study was conducted on a Risø reader with different, reader-specific preheat conditions. It is stressed that temperature parameters of Risø and Lexsyg readers are not readily comparable. Nevertheless, to investigate a potential contribution from artificially filled unstable traps, short shine pulse annealing tests were conducted on aliquots of RIN2 F with naturally and laboratory irradiated material following Wallinga et al. (2000). Each aliquot was preheated for 10 s respectively and then stimulated with IR LEDs at 50 °C for 0.1 s. Preheats were conducted consecutive in 10 °C steps from 130 to 400 °C. The signal was normalised with a test dose measurement of the same aliquot and renormalized to the first measurement (Fig. 5). Signal distribution of both the natural and laboratory induced irradiation are indistinguishable and it is unlikely that a thermally unstable component from artificially filled traps contributes to the IRSL signal even at low preheat temperatures. Thermal stability of IRSL signals from the here investigated samples is given and thermal quenching was not detected, therefore, preheats of 170 °C are considered appropriate. In absence of preheat
plateaus of the natural signals, the dose recovery test results are indicative (RIN2 F 0.97 ± 0.03; RIN5 PM 0.98 ± 0.03) and hence, preheats of 170 °C were used all for measurements.

Yet, the differences in temperature parameters make it necessary to test whether the configuration of the Lexsyg Research reader affects the temperature during stimulation as for preheating. Therefore, Dₑ values of the natural signal were obtained at stimulation temperatures of 30, 50 and 70 °C for one representative sample (RIN2 F) (Fig. 6). While the scatter in the natural Dₑ values decreases with stimulation temperature, mean Dₑ values of all stimulation temperatures are statistically consistent with each other, implying that differences between the reader systems may not be as pronounced in the low temperature range as they are at temperatures above 150 °C.

5 Signal properties

5.1 Quartz OSL

Quartz grains from the investigated site are relatively dim and rarely present luminescent properties. Tests with an EMCCD camera showed that <1 % of the coarse-grained grains emitted a natural luminescence signal when stimulated with blue light. This is common for quartz from Switzerland (Trauerstein et al., 2017) and to allow for bright enough signals during measurement, an aliquot size of 4 mm in diameter was chosen. While ca. 200 grains are measured at once using this approach, only a few grains are expected to significantly contribute to the emitted luminescence signal and, thereby, these are considered as small aliquots.

The signals emitted by Q and fQ are dominated by the fast component and reduce to background after about 2 to 4 s of stimulation (Fig. 7). To further investigate the BSL signals, signal decomposition was conducted (Fig. 8) using the numOSL package in R (Peng et al., 2018) that applies the ‘Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm’ suggested by Bluszcz and Adamiec (2006). The initial signals consist of 95 % for Q and 90 % for fQ of the fast component while the relative contribution of the medium components to the natural and regenerative doses are almost identical. The latter is considered an indicator for a stable medium component (Steffen et al., 2009). Further, when assessing Dₑ(t)-plots with 0.4 s intervals of Q from RIN2 (Fig. 7), mainly consistent Dₑ values are obtained for the measurement of the natural dose as well as for the dose recovery experiment which indicates uniformity of signal contribution. For RIN5 fQ, Dₑ values decrease with the shift of integration intervals between 0 and 2 s stimulation time. However, this phenomenon is only present in the natural signal but not in those of dose recovery tests. Li and Li (2006a) observed a similar decrease of Dₑ values within the first 3.6 s for their DGF-1 sample (coarse-grained, Chinese, aeolian deposit) and found an explanation in a thermally unstable medium component. They proposed the use of Dₑ(t)-plots to derive Dₑ values separately from the fast and medium component by fitting their Equation (3). For RIN5 fQ, negligible differences were found for Dₑ values derived using either component assuming photo-ionising cross sections as proposed by Jain et al. (2003) and Li and Li (2006b). However, Steffen et al. (2009) found that the equation is highly dependent
on the photo-ionising cross section of the individual components, the determination of which introduces an array of uncertainties and was therefore deemed unpractical.

\( D_\alpha \) values derived using early background subtraction (0.4 to 1.0 s; cf. Cunningham and Wallinga, 2010) are statistically consistent with those obtained using late background subtraction (2 \( \sigma \)). This indicates an unproblematic slow component. For \( D_\alpha \) determination of \( Q \) and \( fQ \), the first 0.4 s of the BSL signal were found appropriate as initial signal and a late background subtraction using the last 40 s was applied. An example of an extended dose response curve is shown for one aliquot \( Q \) from RIN 2 in Fig. 9. Laboratory saturation levels exceed 600 Gy (\( Q \)) and 800 Gy (\( fQ \)) and \( 2^*D_0 \) values are below 400 Gy (\( Q \)) and 580 Gy (\( fQ \); Table 1). Dose response curves of both \( Q \) and \( fQ \) are well fitted with a double saturating exponential as well as a single saturating exponential function. The latter implies that a single type of trap is responsible for the signal (Aitken, 1998).

5.2 Feldspar and polymineral IRSL

In contrast to \( Q \), the natural signal of 1 mm F aliquots (ca. 13 grains) is very bright and cause saturation of the photomultiplier tube, in particular at high doses. Noteworthy is that only few grains inherit an effective, natural luminescence signal with even less being particularly bright as shown by tests with an EMCCD camera (Fig. 10). A Schott NG-11 neutral density filter was tested together with the 410 nm-filter combination but failed in protecting the photomultiplier tube from saturation. A cardboard disc with a hole in its centre was mounted into the filter wheel, reducing the photon passage to 4 mm in diameter which allowed to retrieve a detectable signal. A dose recovery test (given dose of ca. 130 Gy) was conducted with and without using the cardboard disc (Fig. 11). Results of both setups are statistically consistent with each other and suggest that the use of the cardboard is unlikely to impact the reliability of the \( D_\alpha \) determination. Hence, the cardboard was used in all IRSL measurements within this study.

\( D_\alpha (t) \)-plots with 1.5 s intervals show consistent results over the first 200 s of the IRSL signal as presented for both the natural signal and the artificial signal induced during dose recovery tests of RIN2 F and RIN5 PM (Fig. 7). For age determination, \( D_\alpha \) values were derived using the first 1.5 s of the signal and a late background subtraction of the last 50 s. An example of an extended dose response curve is shown for one aliquot from RIN2 F in Fig. 9. Laboratory saturation levels exceed 1500 Gy (F) and 1800 Gy (PM) and \( 2^*D_0 \) values are about 600 Gy (F) and above 425 Gy (PM; Table 1). For both F and PM dose response curves are well fitted with both a double saturating exponential and a single saturating exponential function.

6 Fading attributes and correction

As the IRSL signal commonly is subject to a loss of signal over time, fading tests were conducted following Auclair et al. (2003) for a given dose of ca. 130 Gy. Three cycles with storage times of ca. 0 s, 1 h, 2.5 h, 5 h and 10 h were implemented per aliquot while the aliquot constantly remained on the sample arm. This reduces the possibility of sample material being lost
during mechanical transfer of the aliquot between sample arm and storage carousel (Preusser et al., 2014). For all fading tests, aliquots previously used for D_e determination were utilised. Two fading correction procedures were conducted, following Lamothe et al. (2003) and Kars et al. (2008), respectively, using the R Luminescence package (Kreutzer and Mercier, 2019; King and Burow, 2019). The first approach is based on the extrapolation of luminescence signal loss to a decadal percentage (g-value) that is used to correct the natural dose and the dose response points while the latter approach utilises the sample specific density of recombination centres (ρ’-value) to account to correct the dose response curves. To apply both approaches, ρ’- and g-values were derived, whereby the latter was normalised to 2 days.

Fading properties (ρ’-value of 2.21*10^{-6}, g-value of 3.1 % ± 0.6 % per decade) were obtained for RIN2 as a representative sample for the F fractions and fading correction was applied to the F measurements, providing corrected D_e values and ages (Table 1). Following Kars et al. (2008), the corrected F D_e values, except for RIN13 F, are about 16 % higher than those corrected using Lamothe et al. (2003). ‘Kars’ corrected D_e values are between 247 ± 7 Gy (RIN1) and 297 ± 6 Gy (RIN4) and well below 2*D_0 (RIN1 measured 577 ± 20 Gy, simulated 560 ± 7 Gy; RIN2 measured 626 ± 22 Gy, simulated 602 ± 9 Gy; RIN3 measured 609 ± 18 Gy, simulated 590 ± 9 Gy; RIN4 measured 641 ± 26 Gy, simulated 612 ± 24 Gy). For RIN13 F, a significant number of aliquots 12 (‘Lamothe’ correction) and 19 (‘Kars’ correction) out of 30 measured aliquots are in saturation. Minimum CAM D_e values of >695 Gy and >974 Gy are obtained, respectively, which are beyond the 2*D_0 limit (measured 651 ± 32 Gy; ‘Kars’ simulated 666 ± 4 Gy). However, for all other samples the ‘Kars’ corrected F ages (for age determination see section 7) are in good agreement with those of the Q fraction (statistically consistent at 1 σ, Table 1). It cannot be excluded that this effect is due to an overestimation of both ‘Kars’ corrected F and Q ages. Due to the lack of evidence for the prior, the Kars et al. (2008) fading correction approach is considered most appropriate for samples of this study.

For PM, fading properties (ρ’-value of 1.89*10^{-6}, g-value of 2.4 ± 0.6 % per decade) were obtained from one representative polymineral sample (RIN5). Following Lamothe et al. (2003), the corrected ages are 20 % to 100 % lower than those corrected using Kars et al. (2008). As the latter have shown good agreement for F and Q ages, ages calculated using ‘Kars’ corrected PM D_e values are presented in the following. Corrected PM ages of RIN5 and RIN6 are, with 138 ± 7 ka and 169 ± 9 ka, 22 % and 44 % higher than the associated fQ ages. While RIN5 is younger than to be expected from the Q and fading corrected F ages of the overlaying samples (RIN1 to RIN4), the derived fading corrected age is still consistent at 2 σ with the latter. The corrected PM age of RIN6 is statistically consistent (at 1 σ) with the ages of the overlaying samples. For RIN8 PM and RIN13 PM, corrected ages of 471 ± 47 ka and 526 ± 53 ka were calculated, respectively. Fading corrected D_e values are with 1101 ± 97 Gy (RIN8) and 955 ± 86 Gy (RIN13) far beyond the 2*D_0 values (RIN8 measured 480 ± 40 Gy, simulated 536 ± 4 Gy; RIN13 measured 515 ± 39 Gy, simulated 534± 3 Gy).

Both g-values, obtained in this study, are similar to those previously found for northern Switzerland (see section 1.). However, while most studies have abstained from applying fading corrections, the here presents ‘Kars’ fading corrected F and Q ages are in good agreement, thereby justifying the application of this fading correction.
7 $D_e$ distributions and ages

7.1 Quartz OSL

The $D_e$ distributions of the four top Q samples show the tendency towards being positively skewed and have overdispersion (OD) values between 21 % ± 3 % and 25 % ± 3 % (Fig. 12). Arnold et al. (2007) recommend the application of a MAM3 (Galbraith et al., 1999) for $D_e$ distributions of partially bleached samples that are significantly positively skewed and/or show OD values of >40 %. Following this, skewness of RIN1, RIN2 and RIN4 require for the use of a MAM3. However, the decision tree of Arnold et al. (2007) is based on fluvial samples with diverse bleaching histories that are comparably young (<20 ka). For older samples, it is suggested that distributions may vary as time-dependent factors are expected to contribute to the data spread (Galbraith and Roberts, 2012). For example, the impact of beta microdosimetry (Mayya et al., 2006) will increase with the age of the sample. Therefore, the application of a MAM3 was refrained from and derived CAM $D_e$ values of between 144 ± 7 Gy and 195 ± 8 Gy were used for the age determination of the top four samples (Table 1). Q ages for these samples range between 164 ± 9 ka (RIN4) and 180 ± 11 ka (RIN3) and are statistically consistent with each other (1 $\sigma$).

The $D_e$ distributions of RIN13 Q is also positively skewed but presents a much higher OD value, 56 ± 10 %, in comparison to the four top Q samples. Of the measured aliquots, 12 % are in saturation, allowing only for the assessment of a truncated distribution. In this case, the application of the MAM3 is also recommended (Arnold et al., 2007). Besides an expected impact of beta microdosimetry, fitting uncertainties are likely accountable for the skewness and spread of the distribution. For RIN13 Q, the natural doses are projected on the high proportion of the dose response curves emphasising any fitting uncertainty. Therefore, a MAM3 $D_e$ value is unlikely to represent the distribution most appropriately and the CAM $D_e$ value is considered to represent a more conclusive lower limit of the distribution. The CAM $D_e$ value of 255 ± 34 Gy is consistent with the 2*D$_0$ limit of 274 ± 16 Gy and it is arguable whether an obtained age at this range is still reliable. However, the minimum CAM age of 183 ± 26 ka is consistent with ages of the top four samples and from this and the stratigraphy it can be deduced that RIN13 is of similar age or older than RIN1 to RIN4.

The four fQ samples (RIN5, RIN6, RIN8, RIN13), representing depths of 10 to 36 m, show normally distributed $D_e$ values (Fig. 2, Fig. 12, Table 1). This is to be expected for fine-grained samples as the number of grains on each aliquot will have an averaging effect that will mask any skewness of the $D_e$ distributions. CAM $D_e$ values of 195 ± 4 Gy to 388 ± 12 Gy were derived which are all well below 2*D$_0$ (Table 1). For the lowest samples (RIN13), the obtained age of 224 ± 18 ka is close to the upper limit of reliable quartz ages in the region (Lowick et al., 2015). Obtained fQ ages for the two topmost lacustrine samples are 113 ± 9 ka (RIN5) and 117 ± 9 ka (RIN6). These ages are between 20 % to almost 50 % lower than ages derived for the Q fractions of RIN1 to RIN4. This, from a chrono-stratigraphy perspective, is unreasonable and can be ascribed to either issues with the $D_e$ value or the dose rate determination and will be discussed in detail in section 8.
7.2 Feldspar and polymineral IRSL

None of the F and PM $D_e$ distributions show a trend towards being skewed and OD values are between 0 % and 17 % (Fig. 12). This is to be expected for the fine-grain PM aliquots that each represent an averaged $D_e$ value derived from over one million grains. For F, each aliquot consists of only about 13 grains, whereof few give a luminescence signal (see 5.2), and the $D_e$ distributions are not affected by skewness. Therefore, as no evidence for partial bleaching is observed, the CAM was applied for all F and PM samples (Table 1). For the upper four samples, ‘Kars’ corrected CAM $D_e$ values of between 247 ± 7 Gy (RIN1 F) and 297 ± 6 Gy (RIN4 F) were derived and which equates to ages of 160 ± 7 ka (RIN4) to 167 ± 8 ka (RIN2). These ages are statistically consistent at 1 $\sigma$ with those of the Q fraction (Table 1). For RIN5 PM and RIN6 PM ‘Kars’ corrected CAM $D_e$ values of 252 ± 3 Gy and 343 ± 9 Gy or ages of 138 ± 7 ka and 169 ± 9 ka were obtained respectively. RIN5 PM presents an age inversion (-22 ± 10 ka) in comparison with the overlying samples RIN1 to RIN4. A similar trend is found for both RIN5 and RIN6 fQ ages. This, from a chrono-stratigraphy perspective, is unreasonable and can be ascribed to either issues with the $D_e$ value or the dose rate determination and will be discussed in detail in section 8. However, the PM age of RIN6 is statistically consistent at 1 $\sigma$ with overlying F ages.

For RIN13 PM, the age is in agreement with the minimum age of >437 ka obtained for the F fraction of the same sample. There are three possible explanations for the high $D_e$ values of RIN8 and RIN13; (1) the sediment was deposited about half a million years ago, (2) the applied fading correction overestimates for doses derived from the higher dose range of the response curve or (3) these $D_e$ values are the result of an averaged signal with a greater contribution of partially bleached, high residual signals. The latter is an effect that is to be expected for glacier-proximal deposits that are likely to have had limited light exposure during short transport times. From the sedimentological context, samples RIN8 and RIN13 are likely from such an environment. However, no drastic increase in $D_e$ values was observed for the fQ fraction, but it has to be considered that the OSL signal of quartz bleaches faster than feldspar. Nevertheless, corrected $D_e$ values are higher than the $2*D_0$ values. This measure has been introduced as a dating limit for quartz (Wintle and Murray, 2006) but was found to be as well an appropriate measure for adequately dating feldspars (Zhang and Li, 2020). Therefore, $D_e$ values of RIN8 PM, RIN13 PM and RIN13 F are unsuitable for the determination of finite ages.

8 Age comparison

For the top four samples, Q and fading corrected F ages range between 160 ± 7 ka (RIN4 F) and 180 ± 11 ka (RIN3 Q) and are, thereby, statistically consistent with each other at 1 $\sigma$. For the two samples (RIN5, RIN6) below, a discrepancy between fQ and PM ages (22 % to 44 %) is observed. Also, fQ ages of these two samples are up to almost 50 % lower than those of the overlying samples RIN1 to RIN4. This leads to an age offset of ca. 50 ka between Q and fQ that is unaccountable from a chrono-stratigraphic perspective. The observed age offset equals 20 % to 50 % difference and is likely to be emphasised through the actual age calculation which in terms relies onto derived $D_e$ and DR$_{total}$ values. The latter are all based on the
assumptions that firstly, (1) the long-term average estimates of the water content are accurate, and that secondly, (2) used alpha
efficiency values are appropriate in this context.

8.1 Water content and age determination

A water content of 20 % ± 5 % was assumed for all but two samples which is within 5 % uncertainty of the measured field
water content (Table 2). RIN5 and RIN6 have a slightly higher field water content (23 % to 24 %) due to their argillaceous
character and hence, an average long-term water content of 25 % ± 5 % was found more appropriate for these samples. For
similar sedimentary facies, values between 20 % and 30 % have been used (Anselmetti et al., 2010; Dehnert et al., 2012;
Lowick et al., 2015; Buechi et al., 2017). However, the assessment of an appropriate long-term water content is complex but
important to avoid age over- or underestimations. It is well known that moisture has an attenuation effect of beta and gamma
radiation (Zimmermann, 1971) which may lead to drastic changes in DR_{total} and thereby age determination (Nathan and Mauz,
2008). Between 34 % and 49 % of water, in relation to the samples dry weights, were absorbed over a 24 h period by
unconsolidated sample material in this study. The highest amounts of water were absorbed by RIN5 and RIN6 which also
presented the highest field water contents. For the purpose of comparison, the water content maximum can be set to 50 % to
represent the highest observed absorption capacity for unconsolidated sample material (Table 2). The DR_{total} values are
calculated for water contents between 10 % and 50 %, in 10 % steps. In addition, a step with 25 % water content, as assumed
appropriate for age determination of RIN5 and RIN6, is included in the comparison. Re-calculated ages (Fig. 13 A. and B.)
show a difference in ages for the different water contents are up to 40 % for Q, 15 % to 25 % for F and 40 % for PM and fQ.
A water content of 10 % for Q and F (RIN1 to RIN4) results in statistically consistent ages at 2 σ with fQ and PM (RIN5,
RIN6) at 50 %. However, a 10 % moisture for the coarse-grained samples is unlikely as under current conditions ground water
penetrates these layers and oxidation features are present. Also, 50 % of moisture for fQ and PM are at the limit of maximum
water absorption capacity for unconsolidated material of these samples. It is unlikely that for the consolidated deposits, as
found in nature, the maximum absorption capacity will be equally high and that these samples were saturated to a maximum
for over ca. 150 ka. Therefore, neither 50 % nor 10 % water content are considered representative as a long-term average.
Consequently, assumptions of the water content may well have an effect onto the age discrepancy between RIN5 and RIN6
and the coarse-grained samples from above (RIN1 to RIN4) but they are not the sole cause for this offset.

8.2 Alpha efficiency values and age determination

A further cause for the observed discrepancy may be found in the chosen alpha efficiency values (a-values) that account for
the efficiency of alpha particles to produce luminescence which are grain size dependent (cf. Mauz et al, 2006). For the coarse
Q fraction, the need to consider alpha radiation is circumvented by HF etching the outer rind of the grains and thereby removing
the sphere penetrated by alpha particles. However, fQ grains cannot be etched due to the possibility of total dissolution and
therefore an appropriate a-value has to be chosen. In this study, an a-value of 0.04 ± 0.02 was used for fQ following Buechi et al. (2017). In the literature, a-values between 0.02 ± 0.01 and 0.05 ± 0.01 have been presented for fine-grained quartz from several continents (e.g. Rees-Jones, 1995; Mauz et al., 2006; Lai et al., 2008) and a-values of 0.03 ± 0.02 to 0.05 ± 0.01 were used in studies of northern Switzerland (e.g. Gaar and Preusser, 2012; Lowick et al., 2015; Buechi et al., 2017). For the polymineral fractions, a-values of up to 0.10 ± 0.01 and 0.11 ± 0.01 (e.g. Rees-Jones, 1995; Lang et al., 2003, Schmidt et al., 2018a) are presented in the literature. However, for two study sites in northern Switzerland, a-values with a mean of 0.05 ± 0.01 have been determined (Preusser, 1999a, 1999b; Preusser et al., 2001). Considering that these two studies represent the nearest approximation of geographical position and provenance, their a-value was used here.

The impact of a-value estimates is investigated by re-calculating ages for different a-values (0.01 to 0.05 for fQ and 0.01 to 0.11 for PM, Fig. 13 C. and D.). For fQ and PM, a reduction of the a-value leads to a 11% to 16% age increase, while for corrected F the a-value has an insignificant impact onto the finite ages (ca. 2 ka). Re-calculated ages are consistent with each other at 2σ over the a-value spectrum between 0.01 and 0.05. Between corrected F and PM samples, lower a-values (e.g. 0.01) will decrease the age differences. However, the chosen a-value of 0.05 is at the lower limit of values proposed in the literature. A sample specific determination of a-values is needed to justify the use of a lower a-value. However, in absence of sample-specific a-values and limited gain of age precision, the initially chosen a-value will be retained.

Also, with the lowest possible a-value of 0.01 for fQ, an offset to the Q ages of the top four samples and RIN5 and RIN6 is with ca. 20 ka still apparent. A further increase of the used a-values will amplify the age offset. Consequently, neither the chosen a-value nor water content are the sole causes for the observed age offset.

8.3 Implications

Neither the chosen water contents nor the a-values are the sole cause for the age offset between RIN5 and RIN6 fQ and RIN1 to RIN4 Q. Given the internal consistency of Q ages for RIN1 to RIN4 and the chrono-stratigraphic context, fQ ages of this study should be regarded as minimum age estimates. Unlike for other studies in the area (e.g. Gaar and Preusser, 2012), corrected F ages are in agreement with Q ages while uncorrected ages are suspect to significant age underestimate. However, obtained Q ages remain under the reliable quartz luminescence age limit of ca. 250 ka (Anselmetti et al., 2010; Dehnert et al., 2012; Lowick et al., 2015; Buechi et al., 2017).

With only minimum age estimates of the two lowest samples (RIN8 fQ >163 ka, RIN13 Q >183 ka, RIN13 fQ >224 ka) it remains unclear whether the transition from glacial/proglacial influenced environments to lacustrine environment was of rapid nature or occurred much earlier than the deposition of the entire lacustrine sequence. For the lacustrine sequence, ages of 169 ka (RIN6 PM) and 138 ka (RIN5 PM) were obtained. The sandy top of the lacustrine unit is with ca. 160 ka (RIN4 F, Q) statistically consistent with ages from the sandy diamicton (RIN1 to RIN3; 160 to 180 ka F and Q). This indicates a deposition of at least 16.6 m during Marine Isotope Stage 6 (MIS 6) with a rapid transition from lacustrine to colluvial-dominated, periglacial environments.
9 Conclusions

Luminescence properties of coarse- and fine-grained quartz, feldspar and polymineral fractions of eight samples from a palaeovalley in northern Switzerland were assessed. Quartz components have been found to be stable and the occurrence of a second exponential function in the dose response curves is missing. Noteworthy is the presence of positively skewed De distributions for coarse-grained quartz, but the lack of a comparable pattern for the slower bleaching feldspar suggests that partial bleaching is unlikely to influence these distributions. However, an age discrepancy between fine- and coarse-grained quartz is present. Different scenarios for dose rate components (a-value, water content) are assessed, but do not explain the age discrepancy. From the two applied fading corrections, the ‘Kars’ fading corrected feldspar ages are in good agreement with each other, with the quartz ages and with one fading corrected polymineral age from the unit below. Noteworthy is the presence of positively skewed De distributions for coarse-grained quartz while the slower bleaching feldspar lacks of a comparable pattern suggesting that partial bleaching is unlikely to influence these distributions. Not all minerals, fractions or samples are suitable to be dated using the here presented luminescence techniques, nonetheless, this systematic investigation of different luminescence signals allows for a decisive chronology to be established. For the study site, Rinikerfeld, a deposition of at least 16.6 m during MIS 6 with a rapid transition from lacustrine to colluvial-dominated, periglacial environments is reconstructed. For the two lowest samples, only minimum age estimates can be derived from the chrono-stratigraphic context indicating that those glacial to proglacial diamictons are of similar age or older than the top units.
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Figures and Tables

Fig. 1 A. Location of the study area within Switzerland. B. Overview of the study area and drill site.

Fig. 2 Core log and age-depth model of the investigated site. Ages for all minerals and fractions are presented with 1 σ uncertainty. Fading corrected ages are calculated following Kars et al. (2008). Grain size fractions are subdivided into clay (C), silt (Si), sand (Sa), gravel (Gr) and a combined fraction of cobbles and boulders (Co, Bo).

Fig. 3 Preheat plateau, dose recovery and thermal transfer test results for Q and F of RIN2 and fQ and PM of RIN5. Presented are CAM $D_e$ values with 1 σ uncertainties for 3 (F, M) or 5 (Q, fQ) aliquots per preheat temperature. Dose recovery results are presented as measured-to-given-dose-ratios (M/G-ratio).

Fig. 4 To determine thermal quenching, repeated short shine measurements of Lx/Tx for a given dose of 90 Gy after preheats at different temperatures were conducted on one aliquot of RIN2 F.

Fig. 5 Short shine pulse annealing experiment on naturally and laboratory induced doses of two aliquots from RIN2 F. The IRSL signal is normalised to a test dose and re-normalised to the first measurement.

Fig. 6 $D_e$ determination of the natural signal using different stimulation temperatures for each three aliquots of RIN2 F.

Fig. 7 $D_e(t)$-plots of the natural dose determination and dose recovery (DR) tests for Q and F of RIN2 and fQ and PM of RIN5. $D_e(t)$-plots were calculated for 0.4 s intervals (Q, fQ) and 1.5 s (F, PM). The normalised luminescence signals of the natural or first given (DR) dose are presented.

Fig. 8 Component deconvolution of the natural and regenerative OSL response of RIN2 Q and RIN5 fQ.

Fig. 9 Extended dose response curves for RIN2 Q and F and RIN5 fQ and PM.

Fig. 10 Coloured EMCCD image obtained from the natural IRSL signal of 100 F grains of RIN2. The grains were placed on a Risø single grain disc with a 10*10 grid of holes (300 μm in diameter). A. Holes containing F grains emitting luminescence are presented with dashed green circles while those without emission are shown in white. B. The 3D surface plot emphasises that some grains inherit very bright signals while others are rather dim.
**Fig. 11** Dose recovery test (RIN2 F, 130 Gy given dose) at different preheat temperatures with and without a mounted cardboard barrier to reduce photon passage.

**Fig. 12** $D_e$ distributions of all measured samples and minerals. CAM $D_e$ values are given with 1 $\sigma$ uncertainty. F and PM $D_e$ values are uncorrected.

**Fig. 13** Ages of A. $Q$ and $fQ$ as well as B. F and PM (fading corrected after Kars et al., 2008) are shown for water contents between 10% and 50% and plotted against depth (line signature). C. Ages of $fQ$ are shown for alpha efficiency values between 0.01 and 0.05 and plotted against depth (line signature). No alpha component was considered for age determination of HF etched $Q$, but accepted ages are presented for completeness. D. F and PM (fading corrected after Kars et al., 2008) ages for alpha efficiency values between 0.01 and 0.11. Accepted ages are presented with 1 $\sigma$ uncertainties as point signature. The upper part of the core log is given on the right for comparison (see Fig. 2 for full log).

**Table 1.** $D_e$ values and derived ages for all samples, 1 $\sigma$ uncertainties are given. The number of accepted/measured aliquots is provided by n. Ages to be considered for chrono-stratigraphic interpretation are bold.

**Table 2.** Dosimetric data and total dose rates as used for age determination (all values are given with 1 $\sigma$ uncertainties).
Fig. 1 A. Location of the study area within Switzerland. B. Overview of the study area and drill site (data source: Swisstopo, 2013).
Fig. 2 Core log and age-depth model of the investigated site. Ages for all minerals and fractions are presented with 1σ uncertainty. Fading corrected ages are calculated following Kars et al. (2008). Grain size fractions are subdivided into clay (C), silt (Si), sand (Sa), gravel (Gr) and a combined fraction of cobbles and boulders (Co, Bo).
Fig. 3 Preheat plateau, dose recovery and thermal transfer test results for Q and F of RIN2 and fQ and PM of RIN5. Presented are CAM D_e values with 1σ uncertainties for 3 (F, M) or 5 (Q, fQ) aliquots per preheat temperature. Dose recovery results are presented as measured-to-given-dose-ratios (M/G-ratio).
Fig. 4 To determine thermal quenching, repeated short shine measurements of Lx/Tx for a given dose of 90 Gy after preheats at different temperatures were conducted on one aliquot of RIN2.

Fig. 5 Short shine pulse annealing experiment on naturally and laboratory induced doses of two aliquots from RIN2. The IRSL signal is normalised to a test dose and re-normalised to the first measurement.

Fig. 6 Determination of the natural signal using different stimulation temperatures for each three aliquots of RIN2.
Fig. 7: $D_n(t)$-plots of the natural dose determination and dose recovery (DR) tests for Q and F of RIN2 and fQ and PM of RIN5. $D_n(t)$ plots were calculated for 0.4 s intervals (Q, fQ) and 1.5 s (F, PM). The normalised luminescence signals of the natural or first given (DR) dose are presented.
Fig. 8 Component deconvolution of the natural and regenerative OSL response of RIN2 Q and RIN5 fQ.
Fig. 9 Extended dose response curves for RIN2 Q and F and RIN5 fQ and PM.
Fig. 10 Coloured EMCCD image obtained from the natural IRSL signal of 100 F grains of RIN2. The grains were placed on a Risø single grain disc with a 10*10 grid of holes (300 µm in diameter). A. Holes containing F grains emitting luminescence are presented with dashed green circles while those without emission are shown in white. B. The 3D surface plot emphasises that some grains inherit very bright signals while others are rather dim.
Fig. 11 Dose recovery test (RIN2 F, 130 Gy given dose) at different preheat temperatures with and without a mounted cardboard barrier to reduce photon passage.
Fig. 12 D_e distributions of all measured samples and minerals. CAM D_e values are given with 1 σ uncertainty. F and PM D_e values are uncorrected.
Fig. 13 Ages of A. Q and fQ as well as B. F and PM (fading corrected after Kars et al., 2008) are shown for water contents between 10 % and 50 % and plotted against depth (line signature). C. Ages of fQ are shown for alpha efficiency values between 0.01 and 0.05 and plotted against depth (line signature). No alpha component was considered for age determination of HF etched Q, but accepted ages are presented for completeness. D. F and PM (fading corrected after Kars et al., 2008) ages for alpha efficiency values between 0.01 and 0.11. Accepted ages are presented with 1 σ uncertainties as point signature. The upper part of the core log is given on the right for comparison (see Fig. 2 for full log).
Table 1. D<sub>c</sub> values and derived ages for all samples, 1 σ uncertainties are given. The number of accepted/Measured aliquots is provided by n. Under M, the measured mineral is given. Ages to be considered for chrono-stratigraphic interpretation are bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Grain size (µm)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>OD&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
<th>2D&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;_CAM (Gy)</th>
<th>D&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;_CAM (Gy)</th>
<th>D&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;_Lamothe&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt; (Gy)</th>
<th>D&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;_Kars&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; (Gy)</th>
<th>Age_CAM&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt; (ka)</th>
<th>Age_Lamothe&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt; (ka)</th>
<th>Age_Kars&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt; (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIN1</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>200-250</td>
<td>30/31</td>
<td>24 ± 3</td>
<td>316 ± 17</td>
<td>150 ± 7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>177 ± 11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>150-200</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>577 ± 20</td>
<td>141 ± 3</td>
<td>215 ± 5</td>
<td>247 ± 7</td>
<td>94 ± 4</td>
<td>143 ± 6</td>
<td>165 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN2</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>200-250</td>
<td>30/31</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
<td>343 ± 13</td>
<td>144 ± 7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>169 ± 10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>200-250</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
<td>626 ± 22</td>
<td>158 ± 4</td>
<td>242 ± 8</td>
<td>280 ± 9</td>
<td>95 ± 4</td>
<td>145 ± 7</td>
<td>167 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN3</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>200-250</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
<td>317 ± 15</td>
<td>146 ± 7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>180 ± 11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>200-250</td>
<td>30/31</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
<td>609 ± 18</td>
<td>151 ± 4</td>
<td>228 ± 7</td>
<td>265 ± 8</td>
<td>92 ± 4</td>
<td>139 ± 7</td>
<td>162 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN4</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>200-250</td>
<td>30/33</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
<td>365 ± 16</td>
<td>195 ± 8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>164 ± 9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>150-200</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
<td>641 ± 26</td>
<td>167 ± 2</td>
<td>256 ± 4</td>
<td>297 ± 6</td>
<td>90 ± 4</td>
<td>137 ± 6</td>
<td>160 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN5</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>431 ± 28</td>
<td>195 ± 4&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;113 ± 9&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
<td>445 ± 19</td>
<td>148 ± 2</td>
<td>209 ± 2</td>
<td>252 ± 3</td>
<td>82 ± 4</td>
<td>115 ± 6</td>
<td>138 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN6</td>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>459 ± 31</td>
<td>227 ± 5&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;117 ± 9&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>458 ± 44</td>
<td>188 ± 4</td>
<td>272 ± 6</td>
<td>343 ± 9</td>
<td>92 ± 5</td>
<td>134 ± 7</td>
<td>169 ± 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN8</td>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>577 ± 27</td>
<td>365 ± 10&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;163 ± 13&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>480 ± 40</td>
<td>314 ± 8</td>
<td>560 ± 19</td>
<td>1101 ± 97</td>
<td>134 ± 7&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>240 ± 14&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>471 ± 47&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN13</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>200-250</td>
<td>19/26</td>
<td>56 ± 10</td>
<td>274 ± 16</td>
<td>255 ± 34&lt;sup&gt;G&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;183 ± 26&lt;sup&gt;G&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>545 ± 28</td>
<td>388 ± 12&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;224 ± 18&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>515 ± 39</td>
<td>333 ± 8</td>
<td>542 ± 17</td>
<td>955 ± 86&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>183 ± 10</td>
<td>&gt;31&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;437&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Overdispersion was calculated using the CAM (Galbraith et al., 1999).
B A g-value (normalised to 2 days) of 3.1 ± 0.6 was used for F of RIN1 to RIN4 and RIN13 and of 2.4 ± 0.6 for PM of RIN5 to RIN13.
C A ρ<sup>-</sup>-value of 2.21*10<sup>-6</sup> was used for F of RIN1 to RIN4 and RIN13 and of 1.89*10<sup>-6</sup> for PM of RIN5 to RIN13.
D Ages were calculated using the weighted mean of the uncorrected D<sub>c</sub> values derived with the CAM (Galbraith et al., 1999).
E Out of 30 measured aliquots, 12 (‘Lamothe’) and 19 (‘Kars’) aliquots are in saturation following fading correction and, therefore, the values shown are derived using a CAM on a truncated distribution.
F Regarded to be minimum estimates due to chrono-stratigraphic reason.
G Derived CAM is based on a truncated distribution and results should be considered as minimums.
H Based on D<sub>c</sub> values beyond 2*D<sub>0</sub>.  
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### Table 2. Dosimetric data and total dose rates as used for age determination (all values are given with 1 σ uncertainties).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Core depth (m)</th>
<th>WC field (%)</th>
<th>WC max (%)</th>
<th>WC used (%)</th>
<th>Radionuclide concentrations</th>
<th>DR&lt;sub&gt;cosmic&lt;/sub&gt; (Gy ka&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>DR&lt;sub&gt;total&lt;/sub&gt; Q and fQ&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt; (Gy ka&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>DR&lt;sub&gt;total&lt;/sub&gt; F and PM&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; (Gy ka&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIN1</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.17, 3.52 ± 0.24, 0.44 ± 0.03, 0.135 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN2</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.15, 3.31 ± 0.22, 0.53 ± 0.04, 0.108 ± 0.011</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN3</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.18, 3.20 ± 0.22, 0.49 ± 0.04, 0.105 ± 0.011</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN4</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.26, 4.90 ± 0.30, 0.77 ± 0.05, 0.081 ± 0.008</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN5</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>25 ± 5</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.27, 6.00 ± 0.40, 0.96 ± 0.07, 0.073 ± 0.007</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN6</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>25 ± 5</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.29, 6.70 ± 0.40, 1.18 ± 0.08, 0.044 ± 0.004</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.15</td>
<td>2.03 ± 0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN7</td>
<td>29.63</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>2.26 ± 0.35, 6.80 ± 0.50, 1.28 ± 0.09, 0.020 ± 0.002</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.18</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN13</td>
<td>35.37</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.24, 5.50 ± 0.40, 0.99 ± 0.06, 0.015 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.06 (Q)</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.11 (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.14 (fQ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.10 (PM)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A** Water content as measured from the samples (field), maximum absorption capacity as measured in laboratory tests (max) and as used for D<sub>e</sub> determination (used).

**B** Alpha efficiency of 0.04 ± 0.02 was assumed for fQ.

**C** Alpha efficiency of 0.05 ± 0.01 and internal potassium content of 12.5 % ± 0.5 % were assumed.