# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

DEPARTMENT OF EARTH SCIENCE

SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 93106-9630

September 23, 2020

Dr. Klaus Mezger Editor, Geochronology EGU Publications

Dear Dr. Mezger,

Thank you for editing the manuscript "Expanding the Limits of Laser-Ablation U-Pb Calcite Geochronology." I much appreciated the fruitful discussion with the reviewers and am hopeful that this paper will provide many readers with a reference with which to review when considering geochronometers with low radiogenic/common Pb ratios. I am submitting a final version with only one last correction – Nuriel et al., in review, has been changed to Nuriel et al., 2020. The only other suggested correction was to move the reported ages of the samples to the results section. Though I agree that would be standard practice for a paper in which the ages had geologic significance, but in this case, the significance of the ages is unimportant, but rather the U, Pb, concentrations and uncertainties (and how those relate to the ages). I thus believe it is easier to follow if the ages are reported in the sample descriptions, rather than in the results; after all, they were chosen as examples for this work because I knew beforehand that they had different ages. If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Andrew Kylander-Clark

# 1 Expanding the Limits of Laser-Ablation U-Pb Calcite Geochronology

2 Andrew R.C. Kylander-Clark

**3** Department of Earth Science, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA.

4 Correspondence to: Andrew R. C. Kylander-Clark (akylander@ucsb.edu)

5 Abstract. U-Pb geochronology of calcite by laser-ablation inductively-coupled mass spectrometry (LA-6 ICMPS) is an emerging field with potential to solve a vast array of geologic problems. Because of low 7 levels of U and Pb, measurement by more sensitive instruments, such as those with multiple collectors 8 (MC), is advantageous. However, whereas measurement of traditional geochronometers (e.g., zircon) by 9 MC-ICPMS has been limited by detection of the daughter isotope, U-Pb dating of calcite can be limited 10 by detection of the parent isotope, if measured on a Faraday detector. The Nu P3D MC-ICPMS employs a 11 new detector array to measure all isotopes of interest on Daly detectors. A new method, described herein, 12 utilizes the low detection limit and high dynamic range of the Nu P3D for calcite U-Pb geochronology, and compares it with traditional methods. Data from natural samples indicates that measurement of <sup>238</sup>U 13 14 by Daly is advantageous at count rates <30,000; this includes samples low in U or those necessitating smaller spots. Age precision for samples run in this mode are limited by <sup>207</sup>Pb counts and the maximum 15 U/Pbc. To explore these limits-i.e., the minimum U, Pb, and U/Pb ratios that can be measured by LA-16 17 ICPMS—a model is created and discussed; these models are meant to serve as a guide to evaluate potential candidate materials for geochronology. As an example, for samples necessitating a <1 Ma 18 19 uncertainty, a minimum of  $\sim 10$  ppb U is needed at a spot size of 100  $\mu$ m and rep rate of 10 Hz; absolute 20 uncertainty scales roughly with U concentration.

## 21 **1. Introduction**

Calcite U-Pb geochronology by laser-ablation inductively-coupled mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS) is a
relatively new technique with untapped potential for solving numerous geochronologic problems from the
timing of faulting (e.g., Roberts and Walker, 2016; Nuriel et al., 2017; Goodfellow et al., 2017), age of
ore deposits (Burisch et al., 2017) to paleoclimate, sedimentation, and diagenesis (e.g., Mangenot et al.,
2018; Rasbury et al., 1997; Hoff et al., 1995; Winter and Johnson, 1995; Wang et al., 1998; Rasbury et

27 al., 1998). Early studies focused on carbonates more likely to contain high concentrations of U, such as speleothems (e.g., Richards et al., 1998) because the method employed-thermal ionization mass 28 29 spectrometry (TIMS)—required weeks to produce reliable ratios; samples with a low likelihood of 30 success, that is, those with potentially low U contents, were ignored. With the advent of LA-ICPMS, 31 however, sample throughput and analytical costs have been greatly reduced, such that hundreds of 32 geoanalytical facilities can, at the very least, screen a large number of samples and choose those suitable 33 for geochronology in a relatively short period of time and for little cost; sample preparation is minimal, several samples can be analyzed in a day, and dozens of labs worldwide have the capability to perform 34 35 such analyses. LA-ICPMS also has the advantage of sampling smaller volumes of material; it can thus 36 take advantage of the heterogenous nature of calcite with respect to U and Pb, using larger datasets to better constrain both the initial <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb compositions and the common Pb-corrected concordia ages. 37 38 These isochron ages are calculated with ease on a Tera-Wasserburg diagram similar to other common-Pb-39 bearing mineral chronometers like titanite and apatite (e.g., Chew et al., 2014; Spencer et al., 2013), but calcite also lends itself to a <sup>208</sup>Pb-based correction, given that it usually contains low levels of Th (Parrish 40 et al., 2018). 41

42 For typical LA-ICPMS analyses, a 193 nm excimer laser is employed in conjunction with either a singlecollector (SC-ICPMS; either a quadrupole or sector-field instrument), or multi-collector (MC-ICPMS) 43 sector-field instrument. Traditionally, an MC-ICPMS uses a series of Faraday detectors on the high-mass 44 side of the detector array to measure <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th, and either Faraday cups or secondary electron 45 multipliers (SEMs) on the low-mass side of the array to concurrently measure Pb isotopes; SC-ICMPS 46 47 instruments measure isotope count rates sequentially with a single SEM. The SC and MC instruments have distinct advantages. Because there is only one SEM on a SC-ICPMS instruments, there is no need to 48 49 cross calibrate multiple detectors, yielding simpler data reduction and the possibility for making 204- or 50 208-based common-Pb corrections (e.g., Parrish et al., 2018). An MC-ICPMS, on the other hand, is 2-3 times more sensitive than the top SC-ICPMS instruments. This allows precise measurements of samples 51

52 with low levels of Pb (i.e., young and/or low common-Pb). Furthermore, its *equivalent* sensitivity is even higher because it measures all masses at the same time. For example, a SC-ICPMS running only masses 53 54 238, 207, and 206 (232, 208, and 204 are also typically measured) at equal dwell times measures 1/3 the 55 counts over a given cycle than the count rate might suggest because only one mass can be measured at a 56 time; given that it is also 2-3x less sensitive than an MC-ICPMS, a laser spot must be  $\sim$ 6-9 times bigger to 57 achieve the same precision on a SC-ICPMS. However, expected count rates for each isotope are different, 58 and a SC-ICPMS can be configured to count longer on lower-concentration elements, thus reducing the 59 precision offset between the two instruments. A further advantage of an MC-ICPMS is that transient signals from changes in U and Pb concentration during ablation affect uncertainties in the measured 60 <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb and <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U less because all measurements are made concurrently; similarly, it also 61 62 eliminates transient signals due to ICP flicker. Finally, the smaller dynamic range of the SEM can limit 63 samples to a specific range of U concentrations; samples or reference materials with high U contents can 64 cause the detector to trip to a different measurement mode (or trip off), yielding spurious results. Low U concentrations in calcite can also be a problem for an MC-ICPMS measuring <sup>238</sup>U with a Faraday cup 65 because limits of detection are on the order of  $10^4$  cps. Conversely, a SC-ICPMS can precisely measure 66 67 count rates of  $\sim 10^2$  cps by employing a secondary electron multiplier (SEM) for all masses. However, 68 because of an SC-ICPMS is 2-3 times less sensitive, the range of low-U samples that can only be measured by SC-ICPMS is rather limited. 69

Fortunately, a recently introduced MC-ICPMS—the *P3D*—by *Nu Instruments* (Wrexham, UK) can
overcome both of these limitations. The instrument features a Daly detector array that allows for ion
counting on <sup>238</sup>U and the Pb isotopes, and thus expands the range of calcite samples—those with lower U
concentrations—that can be precisely measured by LA-ICPMS. Similar to a standard SEM, the Daly
detector allows for increased sensitivity over a faraday cup, but it does so with a greater dynamic range
(approx. 10-fold over that of an SEM) and with a more linear response. Thus equipped, the instrument can
effectively analyze samples with a larger range of U concentrations; from 10<sup>2</sup>–10<sup>7</sup> cps. This contribution

describes the analytical setup for LA-ICPMS using the new *Nu P3D*, comparing the two modes with each
other and with that of a SC-ICPMS, and thereby demonstrating the increased capability of this new
instrumentation to measure calcite U-Pb dates. Further, by presenting data from three different instrument
setups, and by comparing these results to those expected from theoretical models, the aim of this
contribution is also to serve as a guide for those interested in U-Pb calcite geochronology.

#### 82 2 Experimental Setup

83 The analytical setup is described in Table 1. The instrumentation used in the study consists of a *Photon* Machines Excite 193 nm excimer laser equipped with a HelEx cell, coupled to a Nu Instruments P3D for 84 85 standard LA-ICPMS analyses. The Nu Plasma 3D (P3D) contains an array with 6 Daly detectors, 5 on the 86 low-mass side of the array and 1 on the high-mass side. A 14-Faraday array lies between the Daly detectors, and allows for measurement of <sup>238</sup>U on either a Faraday or Daly detector, depending on the U 87 88 concentration in the sample. Daly detectors are used to measure masses 202, 204, 206, 207, and 208, and 89 <sup>232</sup>Th is measured on a Faraday cup. Faraday backgrounds yield a 1SD of 0.04 mV, which implies a limit of detection (LOD) of ~0.1 mV or ~8000 cps; Daly backgrounds yield 1SD of 10-20 cps for isotopes of 90 91 Hg and Pb and 1 cps for <sup>238</sup>U, corresponding to LODs of 30–60 and 3 cps, respectively. 92 In order to compare the difference between SC and MC analytical sensitivities and uncertainties, the laser 93 was used in conjunction with the P3D for two experiments, and an Agilent 7700 Q-ICPMS for one

94 experiment. These 3 experiments were run with different spot sizes: *Experiment F*) a 65 µm spot on the

95 *P3D* using a Faraday for masses 238 and 232, and Daly detectors for masses 208–204 and 202 (110 total

analyses); *Experiment D*) the same configuration, but with 238 measured on a Daly detector; and

97 *Experiment Q*) a 110 μm spot with the Q-ICPMS and cycle times of 0.06, 0.13, 0.1, and 0.1 s on masses

98 238, 207, 206, and 204 respectively. During each separate analytical run, each spot was located near the

99 corresponding spot from the other runs, to minimize uncertainty caused by grain homogeneity. For all

100 experiments, the laser was run at 10 Hz for 15 seconds and a fluence of approximately 1 J/cm<sup>2</sup>, yielding a

spot depth of 10–15 μm. Analyses were preceded by two pre-ablation pulses, and 20 seconds of baseline
measurement.

103

104 Three calcite samples—veins associated with faulting—from the Champlain Valley of western Vermont 105 (courtesy of W. Amidon of Middlebury College) were the main samples measured. These samples— 106 C258, C304, and C273—are ca. 440, 110, and 80 Ma, respectively, and range in U concentration between 107 a few ppb and a few ppm, with an average of 120 ppb and a mode of  $\sim$ 20 ppb. Three further samples 108 (C254, C283A, and C283B), were run in experiments F and D and provide more data for uncertainty 109 comparisons between the two instrumental configurations (see Figure 1), but the data are described in less 110 detail; they are ca. 440 Ma with variable Cretaceous (?) (re)crystallization. Calcite and NIST614 reference 111 materials (RMs) were interspersed every 10 analyses, and a two-stage reduction scheme was employed. *Iolite v.3.0* (Paton et al., 2011) was used first used to correct the <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb for mass bias, detector 112 efficiency, instrumental drift etc., and to correct the <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratio for instrumental drift, using NIST614 113 114 as the primary reference material. During this first data reduction, 2 seconds were removed from both the beginning and end of both the RMs and the unknowns, yielding a total count time of 11 s. The <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb 115 116 ratio was then corrected using a linear correction in *Excel* such that the primary calcite RM, WC-1, 117 yielded 254 Ma (Roberts et al., 2017) on a Tera-Wasserburg (TW) diagram, anchored to a <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb value of 0.85. Similar to that of the <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratio, this correction encompasses offset due to both mass 118 119 bias and detector efficiency differences (i.e., there is no prior gain calibration for the Daly detector array). 120 Using this method, we retrieved ages of  $3.01 \pm 0.15$  (MSWD = 1.3; n = 30) and  $65.9 \pm 1.1$  (MSWD = 1.2; 121 n = 40) for secondary RMs ASH15 (2.96 Ma; Nuriel et al., in review 2020) and Duff Brown Tank (64 Ma; 122 Hill et al., 2016), respectively. Because the purpose of this study is to gain a better understanding of the analytical equipment uncertainties associated with the standards (e.g., upper intercept of WC-1, 123 124 <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb value of NIST614, etc.) were not propagated into the uncertainties of unknown analyses. Analyses with large uncertainties (arbitrarily chosen as 50% for both <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb and <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb) were 125

discarded; removing these data has little influence on the final age. The data from the unknowns are all a
bit scattered for geological reasons, and were culled to yield single populations for ease of comparison
(Though beyond the scope of this manuscript, the Paleozoic samples are interpreted to have suffered
partial Pb loss or new crystal growth in the Cretaceous–Tertiary, and the older Cretaceous sample likely
(re)crystallized over an extended period.)

# 131 **3 Results**

- 132 Table 2 and Figure 2 shows the results for the 6 samples analyzed in the 3 experiments. *Experiment F*
- 133 ( $P3D 65 \ \mu m \ spot$ ; U on a Faraday) yielded ~170 kcps/ppm (2.7 mV/ppm) of mass 238 on NIST614
- and was relatively stable throughout the run. The sensitivity of *Experiment D* ( $P3D 65 \mu m$  spot; U on a
- 135 *Daly*) was similar to that of *Experiment F*, but dropped approximately 25% during the analytical session
- to ~125 kcps/ppm (2 mV/ppm) of mass 238 on NIST614. Experiment Q (Agilent Q-ICPMS 110 μm
- spot) yielded ~110 kcps/ppm of mass 238 on NIST614—equivalent to ~1.8 mV/ppm from a spot ~3 times
- 138 larger than the 65  $\mu$ m spot in experiments 1 and 2—and was stable throughout the run.

For every sample, Experiment F yielded fewer analyses with uncertainties of <50% for <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U, as well 139 140 as the fewest spots available to make an isochron; this is depicted graphically in Figure 1b as a steeper 141 negative slope for Experiment F vs Experiments D and Q. These results are consistent with a higher 142 average and median U ppb (Table 2); low U concentrations that were measured in Experiments D and Q went undetected or yielded large uncertainties in Experiment F. Though samples with median <sup>238</sup>U count 143 144 rates of >10,000 cps (C273C and C304A) returned fewer viable analyses and worse average <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb 145 uncertainties in Experiment F, the uncertainty of the final age was similar for the higher-U samples on 146 both configurations on the P3D; both yielded lower uncertainties than the Q-ICPMS, despite the 3-fold 147 volume increase in analyzed material on the Q-ICPMS (Figure 2).

148 When average count rates of  $^{238}$ U were below ~8000 cps (near the detection limit of the Faraday detector 149 on the *P3D*), however, the number of viable analyses and final age precision was significantly higher in 150 Experiment D (Table 2 and Figure 2). As an example, sample C258 yielded few viable data points (35% of the 110 analyses) in Experiment F, fewer than half the number of good analyses in Experiments D and 151 152 Q. In addition, the resulting uncertainty in the final age calculation ( $\sim 4\%$ ) is significantly larger than that 153 of Experiment D, and similar to the resulting uncertainty in Experiment Q (although the Q-ICPMS 154 yielded >2 times the number of viable spots). Samples C283A and C283C—which also contain low levels of U—yielded ~50% fewer viable data, necessitated double the average count rates of <sup>238</sup>U, and final 155 156 uncertainties that were significantly greater in Experiment F than those of Experiment D. A summary of the precision vs. U count rate is shown in Figure 1, which shows the precision of <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb 157

and <sup>238</sup>U on a single spot vs. the count rate of <sup>238</sup>U. While there is considerable overlap in the precision vs. <sup>238</sup>U cps of both <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb at count rates above approx. 30,000 cps, data collected in Experiment F yielded no better than a few kcps  $2\sigma$  uncertainty on <sup>238</sup>U (Fig. 1a); <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb uncertainties consequently show a similar deviation from the high-count-rate trend (Fig. 1b). Finally, though the Q-ICPMS shows similar gains in precision for low-U analyses, the lower sensitivity of the Q-ICPMS results in a smaller window of U concentrations for which analyses have lower uncertainties than those run on the *P3D* (vertical offset in symbols in Fig. 1b).

## 165 4 Discussion

166 While there is a clear advantage of using the new Daly-only detector setup on the P3D for LA-based 167 calcite geochronology for some samples, the extent to which this advantage obtains for all samples is still 168 somewhat ambiguous. The samples that benefit most from the new instrumentation are not only low in U, 169 but also older. For most measurements of long-lived-isotope geochronology, the analytical limit is 170 determined by the detection limit of the daughter, not the parent, isotope. However, because older 171 samples have more daughter product, they are—for samples with low U/Pbc ratios—more likely to be 172 limited by the count rate of the parent isotope. For samples run on a SC-ICPMS, this distinction is unimportant because the detection limit of <sup>238</sup>U is in all cases lower than that for Pb. However, because 173

the MC-ICPMS has a large sensitivity and precision advantage over the SC-ICPMS, it is important to
distinguish the limits of measurement between the Faraday–Daly and all-Daly configuration.

## 176 4.1 Theoretical uncertainty of Tera–Wasserburg data

177 To explore the limits of precision for each analytical configuration, a synthetic dataset was created (using an *MS Excel* spreadsheet; available on request) to represent different  $U/Pb_c$  and <sup>238</sup>U cps for samples of 178 179 different ages. Figure 3 shows samples with ages of 440, 80, and 15 Ma with error ellipses at U/Pbc ratios 180 of 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 100 and 200. The size of the ellipse is the maximum possible uncertainty (from counting statistics only) for a 10s analysis, given the limit of detection of the instrument. For the all-Daly 181 configuration, the limit of detection is determined by <sup>207</sup>Pb counts, the least abundant isotope of interest. 182 For this example, 30 cps is assumed (the best achieved LODs herein; Hansman et al., 2018), but it is 183 184 important to recognize that the LOD of Pb is based on the background, which varies from lab to lab, and 185 is also a function of the instrumental sensitivity. For the Faraday–Daly arrangement, the LOD is limited by <sup>238</sup>U counts for samples with lower U/Pbc and by <sup>207</sup>Pb for samples with high U/Pbc—and increasingly 186 so as the sample age decreases. In this case, a minimum of 30,000 cps of <sup>238</sup>U is considered—as opposed 187 188 to the actual ca. 8000 cps LOD—for the Faraday, because that is the count rate below which a distinct 189 benefit in precision is gained by using the all-Daly arrangement (see Figure 1 and discussion above). As 190 depicted in Figure 3, older samples yield the greatest range of U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratios that could yield an advantage 191 of measurement by <sup>238</sup>U on an ion counter, whereas the advantage of the Daly detector disappears at 192 U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratios greater than ca. 500 and 250 for samples that are 80 and 15 Ma, respectively. As an example of the benefit of <sup>238</sup>U measurement by Daly, an 80 Ma sample with a maximum U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratio of 10 yields 193 1400 cps of <sup>238</sup>U at the LOD of 30 cps <sup>207</sup>Pb. Given a limit of detection of 8000 cps for the Faraday 194 195 detector, the signal size would need to be 6 times higher before it could be measured by such means. 196 Furthermore, as discussed above, and shown in Figure 1, the benefit of the Daly extends to ca. 30,000 197 cps, or ~20 times the signal that can be measured by the Faraday–Daly configuration. The benefit extends to 200 times for a U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratio of 1; but some question arises as to the ability to measure ages at such low
U/Pb<sub>c</sub> values.

#### **4.2 Choosing Samples and Instruments**

One intention of this manuscript is to serve as a guide to determine whether any given calcite (or any other Pb<sub>c</sub>-bearing) sample is appropriate for U–Pb geochronology, and deciding which type of analytical equipment to use. As such, the model above is expanded below to explore the U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratios and count rates needed to produce a reliable age from a given number of analyses. These models are then compared with the natural results to determine best practices when selecting samples and instruments for analysis.

#### **4.2.1 U and Pbc distribution in calcite**

207 Calculating theoretical limits is complicated, however, because the uncertainty of an isochron depends on the distribution of U, Pb<sub>c</sub>, and thus the distribution of U/Pb and Pb/Pb ratios. For example, a sample with 208 a given maximum  $U/Pb_c$  will yield a final precision that increases with the number of analyses, but this 209 210 improvement depends on the distribution of the U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratios. The distribution of U and Pb, and thus <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb and <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb, in calcite has not been a particular subject of study (but see Roberts et al., 211 212 2020), but a cursory analysis of the reference materials and unknowns presented in this manuscript shows 213 that U and Pb concentrations follow normal distributions; RMs that contain sufficient U (WC-1 and Duff 214 Brown Tank) display a near- normal distribution of U, whereas the distribution of U concentration of 215 samples and RMs with lower U contents (ASH15 and unknowns) are log normal (Figure 4). Like U, Hgcorrected <sup>204</sup>Pb counts (a proxy for common Pb) are normally distributed in RMs and unknowns; <sup>208</sup>Pb 216 counts are similar. The resulting <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratios of RMs are normally distributed, but unknowns vary 217 218 and can be rather uniform (e.g., C273C). The manner by which the type of distribution affects the final 219 uncertainty is demonstrated in Figure 5. The precision of a T-W isochron is best defined by precisely defined end points with maximum spread; as such, except for samples with extreme U/Pb<sub>c</sub>, a uniform 220 distribution of <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratios results in better final age precision than does a normal distribution. For 221 222 example, a sample that is 440 Ma with normally distributed data (and ratios  $\pm 3\sigma$  from the mean) requires nearly 2 times as many points to achieve the same precision as a sample with uniformly distributed data
over the same U/Pb range (Figure 5d; though this also depends on the maximum U/Pb<sub>c</sub>). For normally
distributed data with the same maximum U/Pb<sub>c</sub>, but only 50% of the spread (i.e., more tightly clustered;
Figure 5b), the number of necessary data points increases further, excepting samples with extreme U/Pb<sub>c</sub>
(these data would be less dependent on the precision of the upper intercept).

**4.2.2 U and Pbc distribution in calcite** 

229 To compare theoretical data with that obtained from this study—i.e., in order to best represent a natural 230 dataset—we present and discuss models (using the same Excel sheet as that in Section 4.1) with 100 231 uniformly distributed <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb data points acquired for 10 s at 10 Hz, recognizing that, as stated above, this is likely a best-case scenario. We explore the implications of varying maximum  $U/Pb_c$  ratios rather 232 than <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratios because the former are independent of sample age. The results of the model are 233 234 shown in Figure 6. Because the precision of analyses in an ion-counter-only configuration is limited by the count rate of <sup>207</sup>Pb, we calculate the maximum U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratio that can be achieved for different 235 concentrations of U. For example, a 440 Ma sample with 10 ppb U run with a 65 µm spot size will yield 236 237 ~1500–2000 cps of U (star symbol in Figures 6a, 6b, 6c). The maximum U/Pbc that could be achieved with this count rate will be ~13, because any higher values will yield too few counts of <sup>207</sup>Pb to be 238 measured. Assuming constant U concentration and normally distributed <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratios, the best 239 240 precision on the age of this sample is 0.6%—considerably better than expected for LA-ICPMS (e.g., 241 Horstwood et al., 2016). As a comparison, sample C283A contains an average of 10 ppb U (and maximum of 40 ppb) and thus yields a similar average count rate of  $^{238}$ U. Its maximum U/Pb<sub>c</sub> of 26 is 242 243 considerably less than the maximum theoretical value based on the concentration of that particular 244 analysis because its Pb concentration is well above detection. It should be no surprise then, that the age 245 uncertainty is higher than the theoretical value at that count rate, but it is also higher than the theoretical 246 value for a U/Pb<sub>c</sub> of 26. Several factors may explain this: 1) though 100 analyses were measured, 32 were imprecise and rejected; 2) the distribution of  ${}^{238}U/{}^{206}Pb$  ratios is not uniform; 3) laser instability, detector 247

response time, laser-induced elemental fractionation (LIEF), signal instability, etc. add uncertainty
beyond that based on counting statistics; and 4) low U/Pb<sub>c</sub> values likely have less U and Pb than in the
model.

251 Although optimistic, this model serves as a guide for the limitation of analyses of calcite by LA-ICPMS, 252 given U concentration, maximum U/Pb<sub>c</sub>, and spot size. First, for all but the youngest samples (<<15 Ma), 253 measurement with the P3D can be advantageous for samples with lower U or those necessitating small 254 spot sizes (e.g., <150 ppb U and <70 µm, or <50 ppb U and <125 µm; symbols in Figure 6a); this is 255 shown as the light- and dark grey areas in Figure 6 (i.e., the area below the "no Daly benefit line" in 256 Figure 6e). However, if, for example, the sample contains concentrations >100 ppb U and the spot can be  $>100 \,\mu$ m, there is no advantage to using the all-Daly configuration, and if there is significant material 257 258 (i.e., spot size can be >200  $\mu$ m), any LA-ICPMS will provide the best possible results (that is, the 259 precision will be limited not by the count rate, but rather other factors such as differences in LIEF, matrix 260 effects etc.). Second, it is highly unlikely that even with extreme spot sizes and rep rates, that samples 261 with <<1 ppb U can be analyzed. Third, older samples—when run on the P3D—reach their best possible 262 uncertainty (ca. 2%) with U concentrations of 10–15 ppb; samples as young as 80 Ma require little more 263 than 30 ppb U, and samples as young as 15 Ma require up to 150 ppb U at moderate spot sizes. Though 2% final uncertainty requires greater concentrations of U for younger samples (>2500 cps<sup>238</sup>U are needed 264 for an 80 Ma sample, and >12,000 cps<sup>238</sup>U for a 15 Ma sample), it should be noted that—at a given 265 266 concentration, spot size and U/Pb<sub>c</sub>—absolute uncertainty is relatively independent of age; for example, a 267 sample with a 65  $\mu$ m spot and 10 ppb U yields an uncertainty of just over 2 Ma, whether the sample is 15, 268 80, or 440 Ma. Finally, though not depicted directly in Figure 6, precise ages can be obtained from data 269 with rather low U/Pbc values. For example, 100 spots with 2% uncertainty yields a final uncertainty of 5-270 15 Ma ( $2\sigma$ ) for samples with U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratios as low as 1–2. That said, data with such low U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratios should 271 be viewed with caution, as systematic uncertainties—such as those introduced by inconsistencies in RM

isotopic measurements—can lead to large errors when extrapolating data clustered near the upperintercept.

#### **4.3 More spots, deeper spots, or bigger spots?**

275 The theoretical models discussed above use a 10 sec integration time to compare the models to the 276 empirical data. As discussed above, precision can be improved by increasing the number of analytical 277 spots, but each spot can also be ablated for longer or at a higher rep rate (i.e., making deeper pits rather 278 than more pits). One might imagine that these methods might be equally effective, however, there are two 279 important points to consider. First, individual spot precision is limited to the long-term reproducibility of 280 down-hole measurements, and is generally no better than 2%; this precision is more difficult to assess in 281 calcite because most known reference materials exhibit moderate isotopic heterogeneity (e.g., Roberts et 282 al., 2017). Thus, if increasing the depth of the pit yields analytical uncertainties <2%, then the excess pit 283 depth is wasted and overall uncertainty fails to improve. Second, whereas increasing the number of spots leads to a linear increase in the total number of counts (and thus an increase in precision by  $\sqrt{n}$ ), an 284 285 increase in pit depth does not lead to a linear increase in counts because ablation yields decrease with pit 286 depth. Thus, if an increase in total counts could yield better precision, that increase should come from 287 more, shallower laser pits, rather than fewer, deeper pits.

288 It is also possible to increase precision by increasing the spot size. In fact, an argument could be made 289 that a SC-ICPMS that measures 250 µm spots is just as effective as a MC-ICPMS that measures 100 µm 290 spots. Though this argument has merit, the downside is twofold; 1) some regions of interest are simply 291 not large enough to permit a spot 2.5X as wide, and 2) U and/or Pb (i.e.,  $U/Pb_c$ ) may be heterogeneous at 292 scales smaller than the spot size, mixing calcite of different age or reducing the range of isotopic ratios 293 that are used to construct an isochron. Figure 7 demonstrates that even though larger spots can yield a 294 better per-spot precision, analyzing the same volume of material with smaller spots can yield better age 295 precision because it can take advantage of the heterogeneous U and Pb concentrations typical of calcite.

## 296 5 Conclusions

297 1) Unlike geochronometers with high U and little to no common Pb—such as zircon and monazite—U-Pb
298 dates of minerals with low U and significant common Pb can be limited by the count rates of the parent
299 U, rather than the daughter Pb.

2) Given a limit of detection of ~8000 cps for on a Faraday, and the sensitivity of the Nu P3D, samples

with as low as 20 ppb U can be analyzed with a 100  $\mu$ m spot at 10 Hz, and as low as 5 ppb for a 200  $\mu$ m spot. Even so, the Faraday is less precise than the Daly at count rates of <30,000 cps, corresponding to U concentrations of ca. 75 and 20 ppb, with the same respective spot sizes and rep rates.

304 3) When  $^{238}$ U is analyzed on a Daly, the limit of detection drops by a factor of >1000, and the analytical

305 capability is thus limited by the LOD of Pb—<sup>207</sup>Pb in almost all cases—and the ratio required for

optimum precision. The typical LOD of  $^{206}$ Pb and  $^{207}$ Pb is ca. 50 cps; it is greater for higher sensitivity

instruments, and those with a higher background of common Pb. For a desired U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratio of ca. 5–10 for

old and young samples, respectively, the required count rate of  $^{238}$ U would be 500–1000 cps or ca. 5–10

times smaller than can be analyzed on a Faraday detector. The analysis of <sup>238</sup>U on a Daly, therefore

310 increases the analytical capability to ca. 0.5–2 ppb U for a 100–200 µm spot, respectively.

4) Although the % uncertainty that can be achieved with limited concentrations of U is considerably

different among samples with different ages, the absolute uncertainty is approximately the same. For

example, samples with 1500 cps <sup>238</sup>U yield a maximum possible uncertainty of ca. 2 Ma, nearly

314 independent of age (older samples yield slightly higher absolute uncertainties). However, because most

315 LA-ICPMS facilities can achieve up to 2% precision on final age calculations, younger samples can yield

better absolute uncertainties; these can only be achieved at high U concentrations, which limits the

advantage of the *Nu P3D* for young samples.

5) Given enough material and analytical time, a SC-ICPMS, should, in theory, be capable of measuring

samples with concentrations of approximately 2–10 times (i.e., 1–20 ppb U) that of the *Nu P3D*.

320	However, because of their lower cycle times and inability to make concurrent measurements, SC-ICPMS
321	instruments likely require considerably higher concentrations of U to obtain comparable date precision.
322	Code Availability
323	The code described in this manuscript is available on request from the author
324	Data Availability
325	All data described herein is contained within the data supplement
326	Sample Availability
327	These samples were limited to 1 in. epoxy mounts. If necessary, the author can inquire with the provider
328	should one like access to the sample(s).
329	Competing Interests
330	The author declares that he has no conflicts of interest.
331	
332	Acknowledgements
333	This paper was greatly improved by the reviews of D. Chew and R. Parrish.

# **Figure Captions**

Figure 1. Relation between cps <sup>238</sup>U and uncertainty of <sup>238</sup>U (A), and <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U (B). Grey lines in A are 335 336 %2SE uncertainties of <sup>238</sup>U. The 3 experiments show the same trend in uncertainty vs. cps at count rates above ~30 kcps 238, but below that, uncertainty of measurements in Experiment F (238 on the Faraday) 337 increase significantly compared to Experiments D and Q. Although Experiments D and Q (red and blue 338 339 symbols) show the similar trends, the sensitivity gain using the P3D leads to significant improvements in spot uncertainty; large symbols represent expected uncertainties for a 100 um spot at 10 ppb U and the 340 341 vertical offset between them represents the gain or loss in precision for such an analyses depending on instrumentation used. 342

Figure 2. Tera–Wasserburg concordia diagrams of the 3 unknown samples in each of the 3 experiments.See text for discussion.

Figure 3. Uncertainty ellipses for each Tera–Wasserburg plot depict two end-member type of analyses in which the large ellipses represent the limit of detection of the all-Daly configuration, or any SC-ICPMS (limited by <sup>207</sup>Pb counts), and the smaller ellipses represent the uncertainty at 30,000 cps <sup>238</sup>U, the point at which measurement of <sup>238</sup>U on the Daly is no advantageous. The ellipses are colored according to the <sup>238</sup>U count rate, and depict the counting uncertainty for a 10 s analysis at the given count rate and different U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratios of 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200. Example analyses are illustrated in each of the panels at different U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratios of 5 (440 Ma; 3A), 20 (80 Ma; 3C), and 50 (15 Ma; 3B).

Figure 4. Left-hand plots show the difference in distribution of <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratios in reference materials and

unknowns; ratios are nomalized to the  ${}^{238}U/{}^{206}Pb$  ratio of the age of the sample. Reference materials Duff

Brown and WC-1 have the smallest variation in <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratios, which correlates well with the

distribution of their U and Pb contents (left-hand plots). Reference material ASH15 and unknown sample

356 C283C still have a wider log-normal distribution, reflective of their larger distribution of U and Pb

357 contents relative to Duff Brown and WC-1. Unknown sample C273C has a more uniform distribution of

<sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratios, reflecting its largest distribution of U contents.

Figure 5. A-C shows an example of the differing randomly generated distributions of 100 analyses with the same maximum U/Pb<sub>c</sub>. 5A shows a normal distribution for the entire range of U/Pb<sub>c</sub>; 5B is a normal distribution over the upper 50% of the same range. The uniform distribution, shown in 5C, yields the lowest uncertainties because there are more analyses at both the upper and lower intercepts. D shows how the percent uncertainty decreases with number of analyses, depending on the type of  $^{238}$ U/ $^{206}$ Pb distribution depicted in A–C; data in D assumed the best case scenario of 2% uncertainty per data point

and a  $U/Pb_c$  ratio of 10 for samples of 440 Ma, 80 Ma, and 15 Ma. Best uncertainties are achieved with

uniform distributions and maximum spread. Although percent uncertainties are always better for oldersamples, younger samples yield better absolute uncertainties for well distributed data.

368 Figure 6. 6A shows the count rate expected with the Nu P3D given for a given spot size at a laser energy 369 of ~1 J/cm2 and 10 Hz. 6B, D, F show the maximum U/Pbc that can be achieved with the given U 370 concentration and spot size (colored contours); the star symbol in 6B illustrates an example that a 65 µm spot with 10 ppb U can yield a U/Pb<sub>c</sub> no better than ~13, otherwise  $^{207}$ Pb will be below detection (i.e., <30 371 cps; example explained in text). Colored circles indicate analyses of unknowns in Experiment F (<sup>238</sup>U on 372 373 the Faraday; Table 2; 65 µm, average U ppb); color represents the maximum U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratio—taken from 374 Table 2—and the size represents the final uncertainty. Note that the maximum U/Pbc correlates with U concentration. In all plots, the grey area (dark and light) represents the region in which measurement of 375 376 <sup>238</sup>U on a Daly is advantageous to that on a Faraday; open and filled diamonds in 6A represent examples 377 in text in which spots smaller or lower in U are favorably measured on the Daly detector. Dark grey 378 region represents spot sizes and U concentrations too low for measurement on a Faraday detector. 6C, E, 379 and G show the best possible uncertainty (colored contours) at the given count rates and spot sizes for 100 analyses, all with the same U concentration but a uniform distribution of <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb ratios. Star symbol in 380 381 6C explained in text.

Figure 7. Tera–Wasserburg diagram representing the analysis of a heterogeneous medium using different
spot sizes. Though the bigger spot sizes yield smaller individual uncertainties, the smaller spots take
advantage of the spread in U/Pb<sub>c</sub> ratios and thus yield a better overall uncertainty on the lower intercept
age.

386

Burisch, M., Gerdes, A., Walter, B. F., Neumann, U., Fettel, M., and Markl, G.: Methane and the origin of
five-element veins: Mineralogy, age, fluid inclusion chemistry and ore forming processes in the
Odenwald, SW Germany, Ore Geology Reviews, 81, 42-61, 10.1016/j.oregeorev.2016.10.033, 2017.
Chew, D. M., Petrus, J. A., and Kamber, B. S.: U-Pb LA-ICPMS dating using accessory mineral standards
with variable common Pb, Chemical Geology, 363, 185-199, 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.11.006, 2014.

- Goodfellow, B. W., Viola, G., Bingen, B., Nuriel, P., and Kylander-Clark, A. R.: Palaeocene faulting in SE
  Sweden from U-Pb dating of slickenfibre calcite, Terra Nova, 29, 321-328, 2017.
- Hill, C. A., Polyak, V. J., Asmerom, Y., and P. Provencio, P. C. T. C.: Constraints on a Late Cretaceous uplift,
- denudation, and incision of the Grand Canyon region, southwestern Colorado Plateau, USA, from U-Pb
- dating of lacustrine limestone, Tectonics, 35, 896-906, 10.1002/2016tc004166, 2016.
- Hoff, J. A., Jameson, J., and Hanson, G. N.: Application of Pb Isotopes to the Absolute Timing of Regional
- 398 Exposure Events in Carbonate Rocks an Example from U-Rich Dolostones from the Wahoo Formation
- 399 (Pennsylvanian), Prudhoe Bay, Alaska, Journal of Sedimentary Research Section a-Sedimentary Petrology
- 400 and Processes, 65, 225-233, 1995.
- 401 Horstwood, M. S. A., Košler, J., Gehrels, G., Jackson, S. E., McLean, N. M., Paton, C., Pearson, N. J.,
- 402 Sircombe, K., Sylvester, P., Vermeesch, P., Bowring, J. F., Condon, D. J., and Schoene, B.: Community-
- 403 Derived Standards for LA-ICP-MS U-(Th-)Pb Geochronology Uncertainty Propagation, Age
- Interpretation and Data Reporting, Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research, 10.1111/j.1751 908X.2016.00379.x, 2016.
- 406 Mangenot, X., Gasparrini, M., Gerdes, A., Bonifacie, M., and Rouchon, V.: An emerging
- 407 thermochronometer for carbonate-bearing rocks: Delta(47)/(U-Pb), Geology, 46, 1067-1070,
- 408 10.1130/G45196.1, 2018.
- 409 Nuriel, P., Weinberger, R., Kylander-Clark, A. R., Hacker, B., and Craddock, J.: The onset of the Dead Sea
- 410 transform based on calcite age-strain analyses, Geology, 45, 587-590, 2017.
- 411 Nuriel, P., Wotzlaw, J.-F., Stremtan, C., Vaks, A., and Kylander-Clark, A. R.: The use of ASH15 flowstone as
- 412 matrix-matched standard for laser-ablation geochronology of calcite, Geochronology, 2, in review
   413 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gchron-2020-22</u>.
- 414 Parrish, R. R., Parrish, C. M., and Lasalle, S.: Vein calcite dating reveals Pyrenean orogen as cause of
- 415 Paleogene deformation in southern England, Journal of the Geological Society, 175, 425-442,
- 416 10.1144/jgs2017-107, 2018.
- 417 Paton, C., Hellstrom, J., Paul, B., Woodhead, J., and Hergt, J.: Iolite: Freeware for the visualisation and
- 418 processing of mass spectrometric data, Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 26, 2508-2518,
- 419 10.1039/c1ja10172b, 2011.
- 420 Rasbury, E. T., Hanson, G. N., Meyers, W. J., and Saller, A. H.: Dating of the time of sedimentation using
- U-Pb ages for paleosol calcite, Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 61, 1525-1529, Doi 10.1016/S0016 7037(97)00043-4, 1997.
- 423 Rasbury, E. T., Hanson, G. N., Meyers, W. J., Holt, W. E., Goldstein, R. H., and Saller, A. H.: U-Pb dates of
- 424 paleosols: Constraints on late Paleozoic cycle durations and boundary ages, Geology, 26, 403-406, Doi
- 425 10.1130/0091-7613(1998)026<0403:Updopc>2.3.Co;2, 1998.
- 426 Richards, D. A., Bottrell, S. H., Cliff, R. A., Strohle, K., and Rowe, P. J.: U-Pb dating of a speleothem of
- 427 Quaternary age, Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 62, 3683-3688, Doi 10.1016/S0016-7037(98)00256-428 7, 1998.
- 429 Roberts, N. M. W., and Walker, R. J.: U-Pb geochronology of calcite-mineralized faults: Absolute timing
- 430 of rift-related fault events on the northeast Atlantic margin, Geology, 44, 531-534, 10.1130/G37868.1,
- 431 2016.
- 432 Roberts, N. M. W., Rasbury, E. T., Parrish, R. R., Smith, C. J., Horstwood, M. S. A., and Condon, D. J.: A
- 433 calcite reference material for LA-ICP-MS U-Pb geochronology, Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems,
- 434 18, 2807-2814, 10.1002/2016GC006784, 2017.
- 435 Spencer, K. J., Hacker, B. R., Kylander-Clark, A. R. C., Andersen, T. B., Cottle, J. M., Stearns, M. A., Poletti,
- 436 J. E., and Seward, G. G. E.: Campaign-style titanite U-Pb dating by laser-ablation ICP: Implications for
- 437 crustal flow, phase transformations and titanite closure, Chemical Geology, 341, 84-101,
- 438 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.11.012, 2013.

- 439 Wang, Z. S., Rasbury, E. T., Hanson, G. N., and Meyers, W. J.: Using the U-Pb system of calcretes to date
- 440 the time of sedimentation of elastic sedimentary rocks, Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 62, 2823-
- 441 2835, Doi 10.1016/S0016-7037(98)00201-4, 1998.
- 442 Winter, B. L., and Johnson, C. M.: U-Pb Dating of a Carbonate Subaerial Exposure Event, Earth and
- 443 Planetary Science Letters, 131, 177-187, Doi 10.1016/0012-821x(95)00026-9, 1995.

444

445