On the treatment of discordant detrital zircon U-Pb data

Pieter Vermeesch¹

¹Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT **Correspondence:** Pieter Vermeesch (p.vermeesch@ucl.ac.uk)

Abstract. Zircon U–Pb geochronology is a staple of crustal evolution studies and sedimentary provenance analysis. Constructing (detrital) U–Pb age spectra is straightforward for concordant 206 Pb/ 238 U- and 207 Pb/ 206 Pb-compositions. But unfortunately, many U–Pb datasets contain a significant proportion of discordant analyses. This paper investigates two decisions that must be made when analysing such discordant U–Pb data.

- 5 First, the analyst must choose whether to use the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U- or the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb-date. The ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U-method is more precise for young samples, whereas the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb-method is better suited for old samples. However there is no agreement which 'cutoff' should be used to switch between the two. This subjective decision can be avoided by using single grain concordia ages. These represent a kind of weighted mean between the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U- and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb-methods, which offers better precision than either of the latter two methods.
- 10 A second subjective decision is how to define the discordance cutoff between 'good' and 'bad' data. Discordance is usually defined as (1) the relative age difference between the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb dates. However, this paper shows that several other definitions are possible as well, including (2) the absolute age difference; (3) the common-Pb fraction according to the Stacey-Kramers mantle evolution model; (4) the p-value of concordance; (5) the perpendicular logratio (or 'Aitchison') distance to the concordia line; and (6) the logratio distance to the maximum likelihood composition on the concordia line.
- Applying these six discordance filters to a 70,869-grain dataset of zircon U–Pb compositions reveals that: (i) the relative age discordance filter tends to suppress the young age components in U–Pb age spectra, whilst inflating the older age components; (ii) the Stacey-Kramers discordance filter is more likely to reject old grains and less likely to reject young ones; (iii) the p-value based discordance filter has the undesirable effect of biasing the results towards the least precise measurements; (iv) the logratio-based discordance filters are most strict for Proterozoic grains, and more lenient for Phanerozoic and Archaean age
- 20 components; (v) of all the methods, the logratio distance to the concordia composition produces the best results, in the sense that it produces age spectra that most closely match those of the unfiltered data: it sharpens age spectra but does not change their shape. The popular relative age definition fares the worst according to this criterion. All the methods presented in this paper have been implemented in the IsoplotR toolbox for geochronology.

1 Introduction

25 The U–Pb method consists of two paired decay systems, in which two isotopes of the same radioactive parent (²³⁸U and ²³⁵U) decay to two isotopes of the same radiogenic daughter (²⁰⁶Pb and ²⁰⁷Pb, respectively). This paired decay system provides a

powerful internal consistency check for the method, which is absent from other chronometers. By 'double dating' samples with the ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{238}U$ and ${}^{207}Pb/{}^{235}U$ methods (or, equivalently, the ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{238}U$ and ${}^{207}Pb/{}^{206}Pb$ -methods) it is possible to verify whether the isotopic system is free of primary or secondary disturbances. The most reliable age constraints are obtained from samples whose ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U, ²⁰⁷Pb/²³⁵U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb ages are statistically indistinguishable from each other. U-Pb

compositions that fulfil this requirement are 'concordant'. Those that fail to meet it are 'discordant'.

30

40

45

50

Discordance can be caused by a number of mechanisms, including: (a) the presence of non-radiogenic ('common') lead; (b) initial disequilibrium between the short-lived nuclides of the $^{238}U^{-206}Pb$ and $^{235}U^{-207}Pb$ decay chains; (c) partial loss of radiogenic lead during high grade metamorphism; and (d) mixing of different age domains during micro-analysis (Schoene, 2014). These complicating effects can often be diagnosed and remediated when multiple cogenetic crystals are available from

35 the same sample. If the aliquots form an isochron (or 'discordia') line in U-Pb isotope space, then this line can be used to recover robust chronologies from discordant data (Ludwig, 1998).

Unfortunately, this procedure is rarely or never possible for detrital samples, in which crystals of datable minerals are not guaranteed to be cogenetic. Without a universal mechanism to identify the cause of U–Pb discordance and remove its effects, detrital geochronologists have no choice but to accept some discordant analyses and somehow incorporate them into their

- age spectra. There exists a lack of consensus among the detrital zircon geochronology community on how to do this. Two outstanding questions are:
 - 1. Which age estimate to use? It is widely recognised that 206 Pb/ 238 U age estimates offer the optimal accuracy and precision at the young end of the age spectrum, whereas the 207 Pb/ 206 Pb method is better suited for older samples. However the cutoff between the two clocks varies between studies, with values ranging from 800 Ma to 1.5 Ga (Gehrels, 2011; Spencer et al., 2016).
 - 2. How to quantify discordance? Most studies define discordance as the relative age difference between the 206 Pb/ 238 U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb ages, but some advocate the use of statistical hypothesis tests and p-values to quantify discordance (Spencer et al., 2016). And even when a discordance definition has been agreed upon, there are many ways to choose the discordance cutoff. For example, the relative age discordance threshold may vary between 10% and 30% (Gehrels, 2011).

This paper addresses both of these issues. Section 2 advocates the use of single-grain concordia ages (Ludwig, 1998) as a way to avoid the arbitrary cutoff between the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb methods. Although previous workers have argued for the use of single-grain concordia ages before (see Zimmermann et al., 2018, for a recent example), this study uses a semi-analytical model, rather than purely empirical arguments, to demonstrate the superior precision of this hybrid chronometer.

55

Section 3 compares and contrasts existing discordance filters based on age disparity and p-values. It shows that the relative age definition strongly favours older samples over young ones, and that the p-value definition, which has gained popularity in recent years, hurts both the accuracy and precision of detrital geochronology. The age disparity and p-value definitions are heuristic by nature and are not based on firm statistical or geological arguments. Although they are the two most popular definitions of discordance in use today, they are by no means the only two possible options.

60

2



Figure 1. Illustrative Tera-Wasserburg concordia diagram with a concordant and discordant measurement. t_{68} marks the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U age, t_{76} the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb age, and t_c the concordia age. Measurement 1 is concordant because its estimates for t_{68} , t_{76} and t_c are identical. Measurement 2 is discordant because the three estimates disagree. The concordia age is the most likely age given the analytical uncertainties. It falls between the other two age estimates, and offers the best analytical precision of the three.

Section 4 addresses the inherent biases of the existing discordance definitions by proposing three new definitions, which are based directly on U–Pb compositions rather than on the ages calculated therefrom. The first new definition assumes that the discordance is caused by the presence of common lead. The other two new definitions treat U–Pb discordance as a compositional data problem (*sensu* Aitchison, 1986). Isotope ratios are strictly positive quantities and log-contrasts are the 'natural' way to quantify 'distances' between them. Section 4 introduces two logratio definitions of discordance, ignoring and accounting for

65

analytical uncertainty, respectively.

Although the new definitions are arguably more attractive than the old ones from a theoretical point of view, this does not guarantee that they produce more sensible results. To test their performance on real data, Section 5 applies the discordance filters to a compilation of zircon U–Pb data. Although the true age distribution of this dataset is unknowable, the results

suggest that the logratio based discordance filters produce the most accurate, and most easily interpretable results. The relative

70

age definition fares the worst.

2 Which age to choose?

The U–Pb method is based on three separate chronometers: ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{238}U$, ${}^{207}Pb/{}^{235}U$ and ${}^{207}Pb/{}^{206}Pb$. The half-life of ${}^{235}U$ is more than six times shorter than that of ${}^{238}U$, and ${}^{235}U$ is more than 100 times less abundant than ${}^{238}U$. For these two reasons,

⁷⁵ little ²⁰⁷Pb has been produced during the last billion years of Earth history compared to ²⁰⁶Pb. Consequently, the ²⁰⁷Pb/²³⁵U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb methods are less precise than the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U method during the Phanerozoic and Neoproterozoic.

However, during earlier stages of Earth's history, ²³⁵U was significantly more abundant than it is today. The ²³⁸U/²³⁵U ratio was ~60 at 1Ga, ~26 at 2Ga, ~11 at 3Ga, and ~5 at 4Ga. Due to the greater abundance of ²³⁵U in this past, and because it decays much faster than ²³⁸U, the precision of the ²⁰⁷Pb/²³⁵U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb clocks exceeds that of the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U method

80 during the Palaeoproterozoic and Archaean. The gradual shift in sensitivity between the two chronometers is visible in the slope of a Tera-Wasserburg concordia line, which is steep at old ages (high ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb gradient w.r.t. time) and shallow at young ages (low ²³⁸U/²⁰⁶Pb gradient w.r.t. time).

Most published detrital zircon U–Pb studies switch from ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U to ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb at some point during the Proterozoic. Unfortunately there are two problems with such a switch. First, it requires the selection of a discrete discordance cutoff between the two methods. If this cutoff differs between two studies (which it often does), then this complicates the intercomparison of their respective age spectra. Second, the sudden switch between the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb clocks is often marked by a discrete step in the age spectrum (Puetz et al., 2018). This step is entirely artificial and obscures any geologically significant events that might occur around the same time.

Both of these problems can be solved by using 'hybrid' concordia ages instead of 'pure' ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb ages.
90 Concordia ages are defined by Ludwig (1998) as the 'most likely' (in a statistical sense) U–Pb age given the isotopic ratio composition and its analytical uncertainty (Figure 1). Let r₈₆ and r₇₆ be the measured ²³⁸U/²⁰⁶Pb and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb ratios, respectively; let σ[r₈₆]², σ[r₇₆]² and σ[r₈₆, r₇₆] be their (co)variances; and let R₆₈(t) = exp(λ₂₃₈t) - 1, R₇₅(t) = exp(λ₂₃₅t) - 1, and R₅₈ = ²³⁵U/²³⁸U. Then the concordia age t_c is obtained by numerically minimising the sum of squares S¹:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} r_{86} - 1/R_{68}(t_c) \\ r_{76} - R_{58}R_{75}(t_c)/R_{68}(t_c) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \sigma[r_{86}]^2 & \sigma[r_{86}, r_{76}] \\ \sigma[r_{86}, r_{76}] & \sigma[r_{76}]^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} r_{86} - 1/R_{68}(t_c) \\ r_{76} - R_{58}R_{75}(t_c)/R_{68}(t_c) \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

95 The single grain concordia age combines the chronometric power of the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb systems. For young (<1 Ga) samples, the concordia age is nearly identical to the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U age. For old samples (>2 Ga) it approaches the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb age. Using concordia ages removes the need for an arbitrary cutoff between the two chronometers. An additional advantage is that the concordia age offers better precision than the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U and the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb chronometer (or the ²⁰⁷Pb/²³⁵U for that matter). Figure 2 quantifies this effect using a semi-analytical mass spectrometry simulation whose algorithm is provided in Appendix A.

3 Discordance filters: old definitions

The most common definition of discordance uses the relative difference between the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb age estimate (Gehrels, 2011):

$$d_r = 1 - t_{68}/t_{76} \tag{2}$$

¹The same calculation can also be performed in Wetherill space, and is actually easier there.



Figure 2. Predicted uncertainties of the 206 Pb/ 238 U (t_{68}), 207 Pb/ 235 U (t_{75}), 207 Pb/ 206 Pb (t_{76}) and concordia (t_c) ages for a synthetic dataset with a constant uranium concentration. Dwell times and detector sensitivities were chosen so as to yield results that are similar to those obtained from real data. The concordia age (solid line) always offers the best precision. See Appendix A for further details about the calculations behind this figure.

105 However other definitions are possible as well. For example, one could also define discordance in terms of absolute age differences (Puetz et al., 2018):

$$d_t = t_{76} - t_{68} \tag{3}$$

A third option is to define discordance in terms of U–Pb compositions rather than ages. Spencer et al. (2016) advocate using p-values to assess concordance. In the context of single grain concordia ages, the p-value is the probability that the sum of squares S (Equation 1) exceeds the observed value under a chi-square distribution with two degrees of freedom:

110

115

$$d_p = \operatorname{Prob}\left(s > S | S \sim \chi_2^2\right) \tag{4}$$

Zircon U–Pb data can be filtered by removing all measurements whose discordance values exceed a certain threshold value. Typical cutoff values for d_r are 10–30% (Gehrels, 2011), whereas d_p is generally set to 5% (Spencer et al., 2016). Different discordance criteria produce different U–Pb age spectra. For example, a relative age cutoff will preferentially remove young grains whereas an absolute age cutoff is comparatively more likely to remove old grains (Figure 3).

The p-value definition affects grains differently depending on their analytical precision (Nemchin and Cawood, 2005). For example, consider a 1.5 Ga zircon that is $d_r = 1\%$ discordant. If this grain were analysed by LA-ICP-MS with an analytical precision of 2%, say, then it would pass the chi-square test and be accepted as being concordant. However, if that same grain were analysed by TIMS with a precision of 0.2%, then the p-value criterion would reject it as being discordant (Figure 4).

120 It seems fundamentally wrong that an imprecise analytical method would be favoured over a precise one. This is a pertinent



Figure 3. Discordance cutoffs for four of the six discordance definitions discussed in Sections 3 and 4. The d_p and d_c criteria are not shown because they depend on the analytical uncertainty of the measurements, which may vary between studies. The grey envelopes mark cutoff values of $d_r = 20\%$ (relative age filter), $d_t = 300$ Ma (absolute age filter), $d_{sk} = 2\%$ (Stacey–Kramers filter) and $d_a = 15\%$ (perpendicular Aitchison distance) on a Tera-Wasserburg concordia diagram, which is plotted in logarithmic space to provide a more balanced view of the old and young ends of the time scale. The d_{sk} and d_t envelopes are truncated where they cross over into physically impossible negative isotope ratio space.

problem because technical innovations are increasing the precision of all analytical approaches to U–Pb geochronology. As precision improves, so does the ability to detect ever small degrees of discordance. Using the p-value criterion, there may come a time when no zircon passes this filter.

125

A final argument against the p-value discordance criterion is that it biases against old U–Pb ages. This is because old zircon contains more radiogenic Pb than young zircon does. Therefore the analytical precision of the isotopic ratio measurements tends to be better for old grains than it is for young ones. Consequently, the chi-square test has greater power (*sensu* Cohen, 1992) to reject them. In conclusion, p-value based discordance filters are fundamentally flawed. Despite their appeal as 'objective' tools for statistical decision making, formalised hypothesis tests such as chi-square are rarely useful in geology. For the same reason, the widely used MSWD (Mean Square of the Weighted Deviates, McIntyre et al., 1966) statistic (which is just *S*/2 in



Figure 4. Application of the flawed p-value discordance criterion to two synthetic measurements by TIMS (left) and LA-ICP-MS (right). The precise TIMS measurement is labelled as discordant even though it plots closer to the concordia line than the imprecise LA-ICP-MS measurement, which is labelled as concordant.

130 this case) should be used with caution. This is because, like p-values, also MSWD cutoffs punish precise datasets in favour of imprecise ones. Note that this caveat also goes against the recommendations of Spencer et al. (2016).

4 Discordance filters: new definitions

Section 3 reviewed three existing discordance definitions. This Section will introduce three new ones. None of the definitions discussed thus far encode any information about the geological mechanisms behind the discordance. As explained in Section 1, common Pb is one of the most likely causes of discordance. Using a mantle evolution model (e.g. Stacey and Kramers, 1975) to approximate the isotopic composition of this common Pb, discordance can be defined as:

$$d_{sk} = 1 - r_{86} / r_{86}^* \tag{5}$$

where r_{86}^* is the ²³⁸U/²⁰⁶Pb-ratio of the intersection between concordia and a straight line connecting the ²³⁸U/²⁰⁶Pb-²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb measurement to the inferred mantle composition (Figure 5).

140

135

The common Pb definition of discordance is more forgiving for young grains than it is for old ones. Importantly, if the discordance is caused by common Pb, then the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U, ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb and concordia age estimates are all positively biased with respect to the true age. However this bias can be removed by applying a common-Pb correction *after* the data have been filtered.



Figure 5. Using the Stacey and Kramers (1975) common Pb model as a discordance criterion. This criterion assumes that the discordance is caused by linear mixing (hence, the linear scale of this Tera-Wasserburg plot) between radiogenic Pb (intersections of the mixing lines with concordia) and common Pb (intersection of the mixing lines with the vertical axis, see inset). The dashed line marks the 20% (= $\delta_1/[\delta_1+\delta_2]$) discordance cutoff. This discordance filter, which must be applied *before* making any actual common Pb correction, is more forgiving for young grains than it is for old grains. In this respect, it has the opposite effect of the relative age filter shown in Figure 3.

Even though Equation 5 is mathematically able to produce negative discordance values, such values cease to have a geologically meaningful interpretation, because it is impossible for minerals to inherit negative amounts of common Pb. Thus it may be sensible to set a minimum cutoff of $d_{sk} > 0$ when using the Stacey-Kramers filter.

Each discordia definition that we have studied thus far is expressed in different units. For the absolute age definition, degrees of discordance are expressed in units of time (ranging from 0 to 4.5 Ga). The relative age definition uses fractions of time (ranging from $-\infty$ to 1). The p-value definition expresses discordance in terms of probability (ranging from 0 to 1). And the Stacey and Kramers (1975) definition uses fractions of ratios (ranging from $-\infty$ to 1). None of these scales is particularly

intuitive or natural. They certainly do not match the usual definition of *distance* in the geographical sense of the word.

150

155

To address this issue, it is useful to subject the U–Pb isotopic ratio data to a logarithmic transformation. So instead of analysing the data on a conventional Tera-Wasserburg concordia diagram, all calculations can be done in $\ln(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb})$ vs. $\ln(^{238}\text{U}/^{206}\text{Pb})$ space. The advantage of this transformation is that it produces values that are free to range from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. Within this infinite dataspace, the Euclidean distance metric can be safely applied.

There exists a vast body of statistical literature detailing the theoretical and practical advantages of logratio analysis. A deeper discussion of this topic falls outside the scope of this paper, but the interested reader is referred to Aitchison (1986) and Pawlowsky-Glahn et al. (2015) for further information. The Euclidean distance between logratios is also known as the



Figure 6. Illustration of the two logratio distance definitions of discordance. d_a is the perpendicular Aitchison distance from the measured logratio to the concordia line. d_c is the Aitchison distance measured along a line connecting the measured value and the concordia composition.

'Aitchison distance'. Discordance can be redefined as the Aitchison distance from the measured logratios to the concordialine. We introduce two ways to do so here. A first option is to simply measure the distance along a perpendicular line to the concordia curve (Figure 6):

$$d_a = dx(t_{76}) \sin\left(\arctan\left[\frac{dy(t_{68})}{dx(t_{76})}\right]\right) \tag{6}$$

where

$$dx(t) = \ln[r_{86}] + \ln[R_{68}(t)]$$

and
$$dy(t) = \ln[r_{76}] - \ln\left[R_{58}\frac{R_{75}(t)}{R_{68}(t)}\right]$$

(7)

165

This definition produces a parallel band around the concordia line in logarithmic Tera-Wasserburg space. In contrast with d_r , d_t , d_{sk} , the d_a criterion is less strict at both the young and old extremes of the geological timescale, and more strict during the Proterozoic Eon, when the U–Pb method is most reliable.

The perpendicular Aitchison distance criterion does not take into account the analytical precision of the isotopic measurements. To address this issue, we can also measure the Aitchison distance along a line connecting the measured logratio and the 170 maximum likelihood composition on the concordia line:

$$d_c = \operatorname{sgn}[t_{76} - t_{68}]\sqrt{dx(t_c)^2 + dy(t_c)^2} \tag{8}$$



Figure 7. Four superimposed kernel density estimates (KDEs, using a 50 Myr bandwidth) for 70,869 unfiltered zircon U-Pb dates. The ²⁰⁷Pb/²³⁵U, ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U and concordia age spectra are similar. However the KDE of the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb data stands apart from the other three curves. It deviates both at the young end of the age spectrum (which it suppresses), and at the old end (which it inflates).

where sgn[*] stands for "the sign of *", which produces positive values for measurements that plot above the concordia line, and negative values for measurements that plot below it.

5 Application to a compilation of zircon U-Pb data

- It is difficult to ascertain the mechanism causing discordance in any particular zircon grain. Therefore, it is unclear which of the 175 definitions in Sections 3 and 4 is 'correct'. All we can do is apply the methods to real samples and investigate their outcomes. This Section will apply the discordance filters to a dataset of 70,869 zircon U–Pb analyses that were acquired by Sensitive High Resolution Ion Micro-Probe (SHRIMP) mass spectrometery and compiled by Dr. S. Bodorkos of Geoscience Australia. The dataset includes 1,665 sedimentary, igneous and metamorphic samples, mostly from Australia but including some other
- locations as well. The data were acquired by a variety of instruments (including SHRIMP-1, -2 and -RG) using a range 180 of different reference materials, and processed on a range of different data reduction software (including Squid-1, -2 and Prawn/Lead). The data were not subjected to any common Pb correction or other filters, and were saved in a Tera-Wasserburg format with zero error correlation between the ${}^{238}U/{}^{206}Pb$ and ${}^{207}Pb/{}^{206}Pb$ ratio uncertainties.

185

Figure 7 shows the frequency distribution of the complete, unfiltered dataset as a kernel density estimate. The ²⁰⁷Pb/²³⁵U, ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U and concordia age spectra all look similar. However, the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb age distribution deviates from the other three chronometers. It reduces the prominence of the young age components, and inflates the old end of the age spectrum.

Figure 8 applies five of the six discordance filters to this database (the p-value filter was omitted for reasons given in Section 3). In order to emphasise the difference between the discordance definitions whilst treating them on an equal footing,

each of the filters was adjusted until half of the data were removed. This was achieved by discordance cutoffs of $-18.6 < d_t < 190$ 46.0 Myr, $-1.4 < d_r < 3.66\%$, $-0.11 < d_{sk} < 0.27\%$, $-0.78 < d_a < 1.94\%$, and $-0.91 < d_c < 2.20\%$.

There are noticeable differences between the density estimates. As expected from the theoretical considerations laid out in Sections 3 and 4, the relative age filter greatly suppresses the younger age components (< 1.5 Ga) relative to the older parts of the age spectrum (> 1.5 Ga). The Stacey and Kramers (1975) filter has the opposite effect. It suppresses the Archaean age component by $\sim 50\%$ whilst further increasing the prominence of the Neoproterozoic and Phanerozoic modes.

The discordance definitions based on the absolute age difference and logratio distances have a comparatively minor effect on the shape of the age spectrum. The change in shape between the age spectrum of the full (unfiltered) dataset and the age spectra of the filtered datasets can be visually assessed on quantile-quantile plots and quantified using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistic (Vermeesch, 2013). If the KS-misfit is taken as a measure of success, then the concordia distance filter (d_c) is the most effective discordance criterion. It 'sharpens' the spectrum without changing the relative prominence of the modes at 400, 1200, 1800, and 2500 Ma.

Figure 8 removed 50% of the data, in order to emphasise the differences between the discordance filters. In real applications, less stringent discordance filters are usually applied. As mentioned in the introduction, most current detrital zircon studies apply a 10%–30% relative age cutoff. Using the test data, we can evaluate the equivalent values for the d_t , d_{sk} , d_a and d_c criteria (Table 1). For example, a relative age filter of 10% removes the same fraction of the test data as an absolute age filter with $d_t = 97$ Myr, a Stacey-Kramers filter with $d_{sk} = 0.62\%$, a perpendicular Aitchison filter with $d_a = 4.1\%$, or a concordia distance filter with $d_c = 4.6\%$.

205

The p-value discordance filter has been omitted from this comparison for two reasons. First, the use of this filter is discouraged for reasons given in Section 3. Second, the p-value cutoffs that are equivalent to any given relative age difference are highly laboratory dependent, with precise equipment requiring different d_p -cutoffs than imprecise instruments. The other five discordance filters are more universally applicable. So using a different set of test data should only make a relatively minor

210 discordance filters are more universally applicable. So using a different set of test data should only make a relatively minor difference to the values in Table 1.

6 Conclusions

This paper compared four U-Pb clocks and six discordance filters.

- 1. The 206 Pb/ 238 U clock is most precise at the young end of the geologic timescale.
- 215 2. The ${}^{207}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}$ method is more precise than the ${}^{206}\text{Pb}/{}^{238}\text{U}$ method before the Neoproterozoic.
 - 3. The ${}^{207}\text{Pb}/{}^{235}\text{U}$ clock offers no advantage over the other two methods.
 - 4. The single grain concordia age is applicable to the entire span of geologic time and always offers the best precision. It approaches the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U age as time approaches zero, and the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb age as time approaches infinity.

The six discordance filters include three existing ones and three new ones (Table 2).

d_r	d_t	d_{sk}	d_a	d_c
-10	-71	-0.55	-3	-3.5
-5	-48	-0.33	-2	-2.3
-4	-41	-0.29	-1.7	-2
-3	-34	-0.22	-1.5	-1.7
-2	-25	-0.16	-1.1	-1.2
-1	-14	-0.08	-0.58	-0.67
0	0	0	0	0
1	14	0.08	0.61	0.7
2	28	0.16	1.2	1.3
3	40	0.23	1.7	1.9
4	49	0.29	2.1	2.3
5	58	0.35	2.4	2.8
10	97	0.62	4.1	4.6
15	140	0.96	6	6.8
20	190	1.4	8.1	9.4
25	250	1.8	11	12
30	320	2.4	14	16
40	490	3.6	21	25
50	700	5.2	30	38

Table 1. Conversion table for the different discordance filters, constructed using the test data. All discordance values are expressed as %, except for d_t , which is expressed in Myr. This table allows the reader to select a discordance cutoff that removes the same fraction of their data as the relative age cutoff (d_r) that they may have applied in the past.

definition	description	comment		
$d_r = 1 - t_{68}/t_{76}$	relative age difference	biases against young samples		
$d_{sk} = 1 - r_{86}/r_{86}^*$	fraction of common Pb	biases against old samples		
$d_p = \operatorname{Prob}\left(s > S S \sim \chi_2^2\right)$	p-value of concordance	biases against precise measurements		
$d_t = t_{76} - t_{68}$	absolute age difference	allows negative ages		
$d_a = dx(t_{68}) \sin\left(\arctan\left[\frac{dy(t_{76})}{dx(t_{68})}\right]\right)$	Aitchison distance	most strict for 'middle aged' samples		
$d_c = \mathrm{sgn}[t_{76} - t_{68}]\sqrt{dx(t_c)^2 + dy(t_c)^2}$	concordia distance	least biased		
by side comparison of the different discondence filters				

Table 2. Side-by-side comparison of the different discordance filters.



Figure 8. Left: filtered U–Pb age spectra for the test data, removing the 50% most discordant grains according to five discordance filters reviewed in this paper, shown as a kernel density estimate with 50 Myr bandwidth. The complete (unfiltered) dataset is shown in grey. Right: quantile-quantile plots comparing the filtered and unfiltered datasets. KS = the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic. The relative age filter (d_r) introduces the greatest and the concordia distance (d_c) the smallest bias, respectively.

1. The relative age discordance d_r is the most widely used criterion today. It is more likely to remove young grains than old ones, and strongly skews the age distribution towards old age components as a result.

- 2. The absolute age discordance d_t is not widely used. But it illustrates the dramatic effect that the discordance definition can have on the filtered age distibutions. Compared with the relative age filter, it is more likely to reject old grains, and less likely to reject young ones. It even allows physically impossible negative ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb ages to pass through it.
- 3. The p-value based discordance filter d_p may have intuitive appeal as an 'objective' definition. But it has an undesirable negative effect on the precision and accuracy of the filtered results. It is best not to use this filter.
 - 4. The Stacey-Kramers discordance filter d_{sk} assumes that discordance is solely caused by common Pb contamination. If this assumption is correct, then the d_{sk} filter will produce the most accurate age distributions, provided that a Stacey and Kramers (1975) common Pb correction is applied to the filtered data afterwards.
- 5. The perpendicular Aitchison distance d_a is a useful vehicle to illustrate the application of logratio statistics to detrital zircon U–Pb geochronology. It produces a parallel acceptance zone around the (log-transformed) concordia line. This filter is most likely to reject 'middle aged' zircon grains, between 1000 and 2000 Ma, where the age resolving power of the U–Pb method is greatest. Above and below this interval, the d_a criterion is more forgiving. This behaviour is desirable because natural samples tend to exhibit more age discordance below 1000 Ma and above 2000 Ma than between these dates.
 - 6. The concordia distance d_c is a modified version of the d_a criterion that takes into account the uncertainties of the U–Pb isotopic composition. Its effects on the U–Pb age distributions are more difficult to visualise but are similar to those of the d_a criterion. Applying the d_c filter to the test data shows that it minimises the difference between the unfiltered and filtered age spectra. It results in a tightening of subpopulations without changing their position or relative size. We advocate that this criterion be used as a discordance filter.
- 240

All the discordance filters presented in this paper (both old and new) have been implemented in IsoplotR (Vermeesch, 2018), a geochronological toolbox written in the R language. Further details about this implementation are provided in Appendix B.

Code and data availability. IsoplotR is free software released under the GPL-3 license. The package and its source code are available
from https://cran.r-project.org/package=IsoplotR. The test data can be downloaded from https://github.com/
pvermees/discpaper/.

Appendix A: Comparing the precision of the ²⁰⁷Pb/²³⁵U, ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U, ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb and concordia clocks

The uncertainty of a U-Pb date depends on three factors:

1. the age and, hence, the true isotopic ratio;

- 250 2. the sensitivity of the ion detectors to U and Pb; and
 - 3. the dwell times used to measure the different isotopes.

These three factors vary between samples, and between labs. In order to explore their effects, let us first define the following parameters:

- t_{68} , t_{75} and t_{76} : the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U, ²⁰⁷Pb/²³⁵U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb ages (in Ma);
- 255 λ_{38} and λ_{35} : the decay constants of ²³⁸U and ²³⁵U (in Ma⁻¹);
 - R_{85} : the natural ²³⁸U/²³⁵U ratio;
 - R_{68} , R_{75} and R_{76} : the true ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U, ²⁰⁷Pb/²³⁵U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb atomic ratios;
 - r_{68} , r_{75} and r_{76} : the measured ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U, ²⁰⁷Pb/²³⁵U and ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb signal ratios;
 - f_{II}^{Pb} : the fractionation factor between Pb and U;

260 –
$$d_{38}^{06}$$
: the dwell time ratio of ²⁰⁶Pb and ²³⁸U;

- d_{06}^{07} : the dwell time ratio of ²⁰⁷Pb and ²⁰⁶Pb;
- n_{06} , n_{07} and n_{38} : the number of ²⁰⁶Pb, ²⁰⁷Pb and ²³⁸U ions counted during a measurement.

Then the true isotope ratios are given by:

$$R_{68} = \exp(\lambda_{38} t_{68}) - 1 \tag{A1}$$

265

$$R_{75} = \exp(\lambda_{35} t_{75}) - 1 \tag{A2}$$

$$R_{76} = \frac{1}{R_{85}} \frac{R_{75}}{R_{68}} \tag{A3}$$

and the measured ratios by:

270	$r_{68} = d_{38}^{06} f_U^{Pb} R_{68}$	(A	4)
-----	--	----	----

$$r_{75} = d_{06}^{07} d_{38}^{06} f_U^{Pb} R_{75} \tag{A5}$$

$$r_{76} = d_{06}^{07} R_{76} \tag{A6}$$

so that the predicted ²⁰⁶Pb and ²⁰⁷Pb ion counts can be written as:

$$n_{06} = n_{38} d_{38}^{06} f_U^{Pb} R_{68} \tag{A7}$$

$$n_{07} = n_{06} d_{06}^{07} R_{76} \tag{A8}$$

Assuming that all the ions are measured by Secondary Electron Multiplier (SEM), with analytical uncertainties that are governed by Poissonian shot noise:

$$\left(\frac{\sigma[r_{68}]}{r_{68}}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{n_{38}} + \frac{1}{n_{06}} \tag{A9}$$

$$\left(\frac{\sigma[r_{75}]}{r_{75}}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{n_{38}} + \frac{1}{n_{07}} \tag{A10}$$

285
$$\left(\frac{\sigma[r_{76}]}{r_{76}}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{n_{06}} + \frac{1}{n_{07}}$$
 (A11)

then the standard errors of the signal ratios ratios are given by:

$$\sigma[r_{68}] = \frac{n_{06}}{n_{38}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{38}} + \frac{1}{n_{06}}} \tag{A12}$$

$$\sigma[r_{75}] = R_{85} \frac{n_{07}}{n_{38}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{38}} + \frac{1}{n_{07}}} \tag{A13}$$

290

$$\sigma[r_{76}] = \frac{n_{07}}{n_{06}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{06}} + \frac{1}{n_{07}}} \tag{A14}$$

Finally, the uncertainties of the age estimates are given by standard error propagation:

$$\sigma[t_{68}] = \frac{\partial t_{68}}{\partial r_{68}} \sigma[r_{68}] \tag{A15}$$

295
$$\sigma[t_{75}] = \frac{\partial t_{75}}{\partial r_{75}} \sigma[r_{75}]$$
 (A16)

U-Pb - KDE - C	ptions Help English -	IsoplotR
H I J K L	Type of U-Pb age: 5: concordia age ~ Discordance filter: before common Pb correction (if any) ~ Minimum discordance: -1 Maximum discordance: 5 Minimum value: auto	Type: concordia distance absolute age difference relative age difference Stacey-Kramers cutoff perpendicular Aitchison distance concordia distance

Figure B1. The new discordance filters can be accessed from IsoplotR's graphical user interface, shown here under its kernel density estimation function.

$$\sigma[t_{76}] = \frac{\partial t_{76}}{\partial r_{76}} \sigma[r_{76}] \tag{A17}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial t_{68}}{\partial r_{68}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{38}(1+R_{68})} \frac{1}{d_{38}^{06} f_U^{Pb}} \tag{A18}$$

300

$$\frac{\partial t_{75}}{\partial r_{75}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{35}(1+R_{75})} \frac{1}{d_{06}^{07} f_U^{Pb}} \tag{A19}$$

$$\frac{\partial t_{76}}{\partial r_{76}} = \frac{R_{85}R_{68}^2}{(\partial R_{75}/\partial t_{75})R_{68} - R_{75}(\partial R_{68}/\partial t_{68})} \frac{1}{d_{06}^{07}} \tag{A20}$$

Figure 2 shows the result of these calculations using realistic values of n_{38} , f_U^{Pb} and d_{06}^{07} , which yield an outcome that is similar to the test data, and to the empirical results of Zimmermann et al. (2018).

Appendix B: Implementation in IsoplotR

IsoplotR can be accessed either from the command line, or via a graphical user interface (GUI), either offline or online (http://isoplotr.london-geochron.com). The discordance filters are accessible via both methods. In the GUI, the discordance can be tabulated via the Age function, and has also been incorporated in IsoplotR's other functions, including

310 its concordia, weighted mean and kernel density estimation algorithms. Further details are provided under the Options menu (Figure B1).

To access the same functionality from the command line requires installation of IsoplotR from the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN):

```
install.packages('IsoplotR')
```

315 Once installed, the package must be added to the working environment:

library(IsoplotR)

Loading the test data into memory:

UPb <- read.data('data.csv',method='U-Pb',format=2)</pre>

The discordance can then be calculated using IsoplotR's discfilter function. For example, to compute the relative age discordance (d_r) :

tr <- age(UPb,discordance=discfilter(option='r'))</pre>

which produces a 70,869 × 9 table whose first eight columns list the ${}^{207}Pb/{}^{235}U$, ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{238}U$, ${}^{207}Pb/{}^{206}Pb$ and concordia ages and their uncertainties, and whose ninth column lists the relative age discordance as percentages. Similarly, to compute the concordia distance (d_c):

```
325 tc <- age(UPb,discordance=discfilter(option='c'))</pre>
```

Plotting a KDE of the single grain concordia ages that pass the perpendicular Aitchison filter with $-2 < d_a < 6$:

```
df <- discfilter(option='c',cutoff=c(-2,6))
kde(UPb,type=5,cutoff.disc=df)</pre>
```

Apply a Stacey-Kramers common Pb-correction to the data after applying a Stacey-Kramers discordance filter with $0 < d_{sk} < 330$ 0.96:

```
df <- discfilter(option='sk',cutoff=c(0,0.96))
kde(UPb,common.Pb=3,cutoff.disc=df)</pre>
```

If the dataset includes ²⁰⁴Pb (which is not the case for the test data), then we can also apply a discordance filter *after* the common Pb correction. For example:

335 df <- discfilter(option='r',before=FALSE,cutoff=c(-5,15))
kde(UPb,common.Pb=3,type=4,cutoff.76=1200,cutoff.disc=df)</pre>

where option='r' triggers the relative age filter (d_r), common.Pb=3 applies a Stacey-Kramers type common Pb correction, type=4 uses the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U-age for young grains and the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb-age for old ones, and cutoff.76 marks the age (in Ma) at which to switch from the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U to the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb method. Further information about these functions can be obtained from the built-in documentation:

?IsoplotR ?discfilter ?kde

340

Note that the examples shown here may take a few minutes to complete due to the large size of the test dataset.

345 Author contributions. This is a single author publication.

350

Competing interests. The author has no competing interests.

Acknowledgements. The writing of this paper was triggered by a stimulating email conversation with Chris Spencer and Steve Puetz. The test data were compiled by Simon Bodorkos of Geoscience Australia, and the paper benefitted from careful reviews by Ping Wang and Keith Sircombe, with additional feedback from Chuck Magee. This research was supported by NERC standard grant #NE/T001518/1 ('Beyond Isoplot').

References

Aitchison, J.: The statistical analysis of compositional data, London, Chapman and Hall, 1986.

Cohen, J.: A power primer., Psychological bulletin, 112, 155, 1992.

- Gehrels, G.: Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology: Current methods and new opportunities, in: Tectonics of sedimentary basins: Recent
- advances, edited by Busby, C. and Azor, A., chap. 2, pp. 45–62, Wiley Online Library, 2011.
- Ludwig, K. R.: On the treatment of concordant uranium-lead ages, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 62, 665–676, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(98)00059-3, 1998.

McIntyre, G. A., Brooks, C., Compston, W., and Turek, A.: The Statistical Assessment of Rb-Sr Isochrons, Journal of Geophysical Research, 71, 5459–5468, 1966.

360 Nemchin, A. A. and Cawood, P. A.: Discordance of the U–Pb system in detrital zircons: Implication for provenance studies of sedimentary rocks, Sedimentary Geology, 182, 143–162, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2005.07.011, 2005.

Pawlowsky-Glahn, V., Egozcue, J. J., and Tolosana-Delgado, R.: Modeling and analysis of compositional data, John Wiley & Sons, 2015.

- Puetz, S. J., Ganade, C. E., Zimmermann, U., and Borchardt, G.: Statistical analyses of global U-Pb database 2017, Geoscience Frontiers, 9, 121–145, 2018.
- 365 Schoene, B.: U–Th–Pb Geochronology, Treatise on geochemistry, 4, 341–378, 2014.

Spencer, C. J., Kirkland, C. L., and Taylor, R. J.: Strategies towards statistically robust interpretations of in situ U–Pb zircon geochronology, Geoscience Frontiers, 7, 581–589, 2016.

Stacey, J. and Kramers, J.: Approximation of terrestrial lead isotope evolution by a two-stage model, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 26, 207–221, 1975.

 Vermeesch, P.: Multi-sample comparison of detrital age distributions, Chemical Geology, 341, 140–146, 2013.
 Vermeesch, P.: IsoplotR: a free and open toolbox for geochronology, Geoscience Frontiers, 9, 1479–1493, 2018.
 Zimmermann, S., Mark, C., Chew, D., and Voice, P. J.: Maximising data and precision from detrital zircon U-Pb analysis by LA-ICPMS: The use of core-rim ages and the single-analysis concordia age, Sedimentary geology, 375, 5–13, 2018.