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Abstract. Conventional Re–Os isochrons are based on mass spectrometric estimates of $^{187}\text{Re}/^{188}\text{Os}$ and $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$. $^{188}\text{Os}$ is usually far less abundant, and is therefore measured less precisely, than $^{187}\text{Os}$ and $^{187}\text{Re}$. This causes strong error correlations between the two isochron ratios, which may obscure potentially important geological complexity. Using an approach that is widely accepted in $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ and U–Pb geochronology, we here show that these error correlations are greatly reduced by applying a simple change of variables, using $^{187}\text{Os}$ as a common denominator. Plotting $^{188}\text{Os}/^{187}\text{Os}$ vs. $^{187}\text{Re}/^{187}\text{Os}$ produces an ‘inverse isochron’, defining a binary mixing line between an inherited Os-component whose $^{188}\text{Os}/^{187}\text{Os}$-ratio is given by the vertical intercept, and the radiogenic $^{187}\text{Re}/^{187}\text{Os}$-ratio, which corresponds to the horizontal intercept. Inverse isochrons facilitate the identification of outliers and other sources of data dispersion. They can also be applied to other geochronometers such as the K–Ca method and (with less dramatic results) the Rb–Sr, Sm–Nd and Lu–Hf methods. The generalised inverse isochron method has been added to the IsoplotR toolbox for geochronology, which automatically converts conventional isochron ratios into inverse ratios and vice versa.

1 Introduction: the conventional Re–Os isochron

The Re–Os method is based on the $\beta$-decay of $^{187}\text{Re}$ to $^{187}\text{Os}$:

$$^{187}\text{Os} = ^{187}\text{Os}_i + ^{187}\text{Re} (\exp[^{187}\lambda t] - 1)$$

where $^{187}\lambda$ is the decay constant of $^{187}\text{Re}$ ($= 0.01666 \times 0.00017 \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$, Smoliar et al., 1996), $t$ is the time elapsed since isotopic closure, and $^{187}\text{Os}_i$ is the inherited (non-radiogenic) $^{187}\text{Os}$-component. Because both $t$ and $^{187}\text{Os}_i$ are generally unknown, Equation 1 is underdetermined. This problem can be solved by analysing multiple cogenetic aliquots and normalising both sides of Equation 1 to non-radiogenic $^{188}\text{Os}$:

$$\left[\frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{188}\text{Os}}\right] = \left[\frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{188}\text{Os}}\right]_i + \left[\frac{^{187}\text{Re}}{^{188}\text{Os}}\right] (\exp[^{187}\lambda t] - 1)$$

Equation 2 forms the equation of a line:

$$y = a + bx$$
where \( x = \begin{bmatrix} 187 \text{Re} / 188 \text{Os} \end{bmatrix} \) \( y = \begin{bmatrix} 187 \text{Os} / 188 \text{Os} \end{bmatrix} \), \( a = \begin{bmatrix} 187 \text{Os} / 188 \text{Os} \end{bmatrix}_i \), and \( b = (\exp[\lambda_{187}t] - 1) \). Both the independent variable \( (x) \) and the dependent variable \( (y) \) are associated with analytical uncertainty. Therefore, linear regression of the isochron line is typically done by weighted least squares regression with uncertainty in both variables (York et al., 2004).

One problem with the conventional isochron definition of Equation 2 is that the rarest isotope, \(^{188}\text{Os}\), which is associated with the largest mass spectrometer uncertainties, appears in the denominator of both \( x \) and \( y \). This has the potential to produce strong spurious error correlations (Pearson, 1896). For example, consider the following hypothetical (independent) abundance estimates:

\[
X \equiv 187 \text{Os} = 2,000 \pm 10 \text{ fmol}; \quad Y \equiv 187 \text{Re} = 30,000 \pm 50 \text{ fmol} \quad \text{and} \quad Z \equiv 188 \text{Os} = 10 \pm 2 \text{ fmol}
\]

then the \((^{187}\text{Os} / ^{188}\text{Os})\) and \((^{187}\text{Re} / ^{188}\text{Os})\) isotope ratio estimates exhibit a correlation coefficient of

\[
\rho_{X'Y'} \approx \frac{\left( \frac{s[Z]}{Z} \right)^2}{\sqrt{\left( \frac{s[Y]}{Y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{s[Z]}{Z} \right)^2}} = \frac{\left( \frac{2}{10} \right)^2}{\sqrt{\left( \frac{50}{30,000} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2}{10} \right)^2}} = 0.9997
\]

The strong error correlation between the two variables on the isochron diagram are manifested as narrow and steeply inclined error ellipses, which may graphically obscure any geologically significant trend.

As an example, consider the Re–Os dataset of Morelli et al. (2007) (Figure 1a). At first glance, this dataset appears to define an excellent isochron with a clear slope corresponding to an isochron age of 287 Ma. However upon closer inspection, the interpretation of this fit is not so simple:

1. The isochron fit exhibits an MSWD of 2.5, which indicates the presence of a moderate amount of overdispersion of the data with respect to the formal analytical uncertainties. It is not immediately clear which aliquots are responsible for the poor goodness-of-fit.
2. The error ellipses exhibit a tremendous range of sizes. The plot is dominated by the least precise measurement (i.e. aliquot 14), and the remaining aliquots are barely visible.
3. The error ellipses are nearly perfectly aligned with the isochron, which makes it difficult to distinguish between geochronologically significant and statistically spurious sources of correlation.

2 The inverse Re–Os isochron

All three of these problems can be solved by a simple change of variables:

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 188 \text{Os} \\ 187 \text{Os} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 188 \text{Os} \\ 187 \text{Os} \end{bmatrix} \left[ 1 - \begin{bmatrix} 187 \text{Re} \\ 187 \text{Os} \end{bmatrix} \left( \exp[\lambda_{187}t] - 1 \right) \right]
\]

which defines an ‘inverse’ isochron line:

\[
y' = a' + b' x'
\]
Figure 1. a) conventional isochron of the Re–Os data of Morelli et al. (2007), with uncertainties shown as 95% confidence intervals without and with √MSWD overdispersion multiplier. b) and c) the inverse isochron diagram of the same data represents a mixing line between inherited and radiogenic components. The sample is highly radiogenic, allowing precise age estimation despite the presence of significant overdispersion, which is masked by the error correlations in the conventional isochron diagram. All error ellipses are shown at 95% confidence. The grey confidence envelopes represent the standard error of the fit ignoring the overdispersion.
where \( x' = \frac{^{187}\text{Re}/^{187}\text{Os}}{} \), \( y' = \frac{^{188}\text{Os}/^{187}\text{Os}}{} \), \( a' = \frac{^{188}\text{Os}/^{187}\text{Os}}{} \), and \( b' = -\frac{^{188}\text{Os}/^{187}\text{Os}}{} (\exp[\lambda_{187}t] - 1) \).

Equation 5 defines a mixing line between the non-radiogenic \( \frac{^{188}\text{Os}/^{187}\text{Os}}{} \)-ratio (which marks the vertical intercept) and the radiogenic \( \frac{^{187}\text{Re}/^{187}\text{Os}}{} \)-ratio (which marks the horizontal intercept). By moving the least abundant nuclide to the numerator of the dependent variable, instead of the denominator of both the dependent and the independent variables, the inverse isochron reduces the error correlations. Revisiting the earlier hypothetical example yields an error correlation of:

\[
\rho_{\text{YX}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{(s[X])^2}{s[X]^2} + \frac{(s[Y])^2}{s[Y]^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{10^{2,000}}{10^{2,000}} + \frac{50^{2,000}}{10^{2,000}}} = 0.024
\]

Plotting the dataset of Morelli et al. (2007) on an inverse isochron diagram provides a much clearer picture of it (Figure 1b and c):

1. The overdispersion is clearly visible and can be attributed to aliquots 1, 12 and 14. Most of the geochronologically valuable information is contained in the highly radiogenic aliquots 7–11, which tightly cluster near the \( \frac{^{187}\text{Re}/^{187}\text{Os}}{} \)-intercept. Even though the data are overdispersed, the overall composition is very radiogenic and can therefore be used to obtain precise age constraints. The initial \( \frac{^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}}{} \)-ratio, however, is poorly constrained.

2. Although the error ellipses still exhibit a range of sizes, reflecting the heteroscedasticity of the data, the imprecise measurements do no longer dominate the plot to the extent where they obscure the precise ones.

3. The error ellipses are no longer aligned parallel to the isochron line, but are oriented at an angle to it. This makes it easier to see the difference between the geochronological and statistical sources of correlation.

### 3 Application to other chronometers

Inverse isochron ratios, in which the radiogenic daughter isotope is used as a common denominator, are frequently used in \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) (Turner, 1971) and \(\text{U–Pb}\) (Tera and Wasserburg, 1972) geochronology. They are equally applicable to other dating methods, such as \(\text{Rb–Sr}\) (\(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) vs. \(^{87}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr}\)), \(\text{Sm–Nd}\) (\(^{144}\text{Nd}/^{143}\text{Nd}\) vs. \(^{147}\text{Sm}/^{143}\text{Nd}\)), \(\text{Lu–Hf}\) (\(^{177}\text{Hf}/^{176}\text{Hf}\) vs. \(^{176}\text{Lu}/^{176}\text{Hf}\)) and \(\text{K–Ca}\) (\(^{44}\text{Ca}/^{40}\text{Ca}\) vs. \(^{40}\text{K}/^{40}\text{Ca}\)). In the case of \(\text{K–Ca}\) dating, the inverse approach offers similar benefits as for the \(\text{Re–Os}\) method because \(^{44}\text{Ca}\) is typically 100 times less abundant than \(^{40}\text{Ca}\), thus making the conventional isochron plot prone to strong error correlations (Figure 2). For other chronometers such as \(\text{Rb–Sr}\), \(\text{Sm–Nd}\) and \(\text{Lu–Hf}\), whose non-radiogenic isotopes are at least as abundant as the radiogenic daughter isotopes, the benefits of the inverse isochron approach are less obvious.
Figure 2. a) conventional and b) inverse isochron of the K–Ca data for spot 5 of Harrison et al. (2010)’s sample 140025h, which forms a well defined isochron without overdispersion.

Given a data table of conventional isochron ratios \( x \) and \( y \) in Equation 3, it is possible to calculate the inverse ratios \( x' \) and \( y' \) in Equation 6, their uncertainties \( s[x'] \) and \( s[y'] \) and error correlations \( \rho_{x'y'} \) using the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{x'}{y'} &= \frac{x}{y} \\
\frac{s[x']}{x'} &= \left( \frac{s[x]}{x} \right)^2 - 2 \rho_{x'y} \left( \frac{s[x]}{x} \right) \left( \frac{s[y]}{y} \right) + \left( \frac{s[y]}{y} \right)^2 \\
\rho_{x'y'} &= \frac{\left( \frac{y}{s[y]} \right) - \rho_{xy} \left( \frac{x}{s[x]} \right)}{s[x']}
\end{align*}
\]

(8)
This transformation is perfectly symmetric in the sense that it can also be used to convert inverse isochron ratios to conventional ones. To do this, it suffices to swap $x'$ and $y'$ for $x$ and $y$ and vice versa.

4 Implementation in IsoplotR

Inverse isochrons have been added to all the relevant chronometers in the IsoplotR toolbox for radiometric geochronology (Vermeech, 2018). This functionality can be used either from the graphical user interface (which can be accessed both online and offline, Figure 3a), or from the command line, using the R programming language and application programming interface (Figure 3b and c). IsoplotR automatically executes the ratio conversion of Equation 8 in the background, so the user can supply their data as conventional ratios and still plot them on an inverse isochron diagram.

5 Conclusions

Conventional isochrons are straight line regressions between two ratios $D/d$ and $D/P$, where $P$ and $D$ are the parent and daughter nuclides, and $d$ is a non-radiogenic isotope of the daughter element. This paper reviewed the phenomenon whereby strong error correlations arise when $d$ is less abundant than $D$, and is therefore measured less precisely than $D$. This is the case...
in Re–Os and K–Ca geochronology, which use $^{188}$Os and $^{44}$Ca as normalising isotopes, respectively. These isotopes are tens to hundreds of times less abundant than the radiogenic $^{187}$Os and $^{40}$Ca. Note that some K–Ca studies use $^{42}$Ca as a normalising isotope, which is even less abundant $^{44}$Ca, and therefore further aggravates the problem.

The spurious correlation between the isochron ratio measurements can be so strong ($r > 0.99$) that it outweighs and obscures the geochronological correlation. This is not only inconvenient from an aesthetic point of view, but may also cause numerical problems. It is not uncommon for data tables to either not report error correlations at all, or to report them to only one significant digit. However, the difference between error correlations of $r = 0.991$ and $r = 0.999$, say, may have a large effect on the isochron age. All these problems can be solved by recasting the isochron regression into a new form, by plotting $d/D$ vs. $P/D$. This produces a different type of linear trend, in which the vertical intercept yields the reciprocal daughter ratio, and the age is not proportional to the slope of the isochron line, but inversely proportional to its horizontal intercept. The two formulations are mathematically equivalent (Dalrymple et al., 1988) provided that the relative uncertainties of the ratio measurements are reasonably small ($< 10\%$, say).
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