Cosmogenic ³He paleothermometry on post-LGM glacial bedrock within the central European Alps

Natacha Gribenski^{1,2}, Marissa M. Tremblay³, Pierre G. Valla⁴, Greg Balco⁵, Benny Guralnik⁶, David L. Shuster^{5,7}

- ⁵ ¹Institute of Geological Sciences, University of Bern, <u>3012</u>, Bern, <u>3012</u>, Switzerland
 ²Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, <u>Bern</u>, 3012, <u>Bern</u>, Switzerland
 ³Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Purdue University, <u>47901</u>, West Lafayette, IN-<u>47901</u>, USA
 ⁴University Grenoble Alpes, University Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IRD, IFSTTAR, ISTerre, <u>38000</u>, Grenoble, <u>38000</u>, France
 ⁵Berkeley Geochronology Center, <u>94709</u>, Berkeley, CA-<u>94709</u>, USA
- ⁶Technical University of Denmark, <u>2800, Kgs. Lyngby</u>, 2800, Denmark
 ⁷Department of Earth and Planetary Science, University of California, <u>94709</u>, Berkeley, CA-<u>94709</u>, USA

Correspondence to: Natacha Gribenski (natacha.gribenski@geo.unibe.ch)

Abstract. Diffusion properties of cosmogenic ³He in quartz at Earth²sEarth surface temperatures offer the potential to reconstruct the evolution of past *in-_situ* temperatures directly from formerly glaciated areas, which is important information
 15 important for improving our understanding of glacier-climate interactions. In this study, we apply cosmogenic ³He paleothermometry on rock surfaces gradually exposed since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) to the Holocene period along two deglaciation profiles in the European Alps (Mont Blanc and Aar massifs). Laboratory experiments conducted on one representative sample per site indicate significant variabilitydifferences in ³He diffusion kinetics between the two sites, with quasi linear Arrhenius behavior observed in quartz from the Mont Blanc site and complex Arrhenius behavior observed in

- 20 <u>quartz</u> from the Aar site, which we interpret to indicate the presence of multiple diffusion domains (MDD). Assuming <u>that the</u> same diffusion kinetics apply to all quartz samples along each profile, <u>predictive forward model</u> simulations indicate that <u>the cosmogenic</u> ³He abundance in all the investigated samples should be at equilibrium with present-day temperature conditions. However, measured <u>natural cosmogenic</u> ³He concentrations in samples exposed since before the Holocene indicate an apparent ³He thermal signal significantly colder than today. This observed ³He thermal signal cannot be explained with a realistic post-
- 25 LGM mean annual temperature evolution in the European Alps at the study sites. One hypothesis is that the diffusion kinetics and MDD model applied may not provide sufficiently accurate, quantitative paleo-temperature estimates in these samples; thus, whereas <u>a</u> pre-Holocene ³He thermal signal is indeed preserved in the quartz, the helium diffusivity would be lower at Alpine surface temperatures than our diffusion models predict. Alternatively, if the modeled helium diffusion kinetics is accurate, the observed ³He abundances may reflect <u>a</u> complex geomorphic/paleoclimatic evolution with much more recent

30 ground temperature changes associated with the degradation of alpine permafrost.

1 Introduction

This study applies cosmogenic noble gas paleothermometry (Tremblay et al., $\frac{20142014a}{2014a}$) to attempt to reconstruct temperature changes associated with gradual ice lowering following the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM₂ ca. 27-19 ka; Clark et al., 2009) in two sites of the high European Alps. Because glaciers are sensitive to both temperature and precipitation, obtaining information

- 35 about *in situ* temperature conditions from an independent proxy is critical to disentangling the role of either variable in recorded glaciersglacier fluctuations, and to adequately use these records for paleoclimate reconstructions. In particular, paleoglacier records can then be used as direct site-specific paleo-precipitation indicators (e.g., Kerschner et al., 2000; Kerschner and Ivy-Ochs, 2008; Martin et al., 2020) to trace changes in regional atmospheric circulation systems (Kuhlemann et al., 2008; Becker et al., 2016; Gribenski et al., 2021). More detailed information about paleoclimate conditions would moreover improve our
- 40 understanding of glacier response(5) to current climate change as well as our ability to anticipate glacier evolutions for proposed future climate scenarios (Zemp et al., 2006; Haeberli et al., 2020). Furthermore, direct temperature constraints associated with paleoglacier variations are also critical to our understanding of glacier erosion processes (Hallet, 1979)), which have profoundly shaped high-latitude and mountain landscapes over 10³ to 10⁶ yr timescales (Herman et al., 2021), and which seemsseem to relate, among other factors, to climatic conditions (Koppes et al., 2015; Cook et al., 2020).
- 45 Available data on the relationship between glacier geometry and climate, as well as between glacial erosion and climate, are largely biased toward present-day and historical time periods, therefore obliging us to rely on the assumption that modern to centennial records are representative of the range of variation and mechanistic trends between climate/glacier variation and erosion operating on geological time scales (Jaeger and Koppes, 2016). While combined records of paleoglacier geometry and erosion rates on Late-Pleistocene timescales are growing thanks<u>due</u> to the recent development of analytical and numerical
- 50 techniques (e.g., Kapannusch et al., 2020; Mariotti et al., 2021), obtaining direct quantitative paleoclimate constraints from formerly glaciated areas remains challenging, even for regions with relatively well known paleoglacial histories. In the European Alps, the most detailed paleoglacier record goes back to the Late-Pleistocene ice maximum advance, dated around ~26-24 ka in the northern and central Alps (Monegato et al., 2017), in line with the global LGM. During the LGM, ice spread to within several tens of kilometers of the piedmonts and reached more than 1000-1500 m thickthickness in the main valleys
- 55 (Ivy-Ochs, 2015; Wirsig et al., 2016a; Serra et al., 2022). More restricted stages (i.e., Gschnitz, Daun, Egesen stadials; Ivy-Ochs, 2015) marking the gradual retreat (and thinning) of the ice into the upper catchments followed between the LGM and the Younger Dryas cooling event (YD, 12.8-11.7 ka; Heiri et al., 2014a). During the early Holocene (i.e., the last 11 ka; Heiri et al., 2014a), glaciers retreated quickly behind the position where the Little Ice Age moraines are located today, and remained within these limits for the rest of the Holocene period (Heiri et al., 2014a).
- 60 The recorded Alpine glacial sequence istiming and pattern of paleoglacier variations in the European Alps are consistent with polar ice oxygen isotope (δ¹⁸O) records from the Northnorthern hemisphere (NGRIP, 2004), which indicate that maximum Greenland temperature minimaanomalies of around -20 °C were reached at 25-20 ka (around a -20 °C anomaly in central Greenland compared to present mean annual temperatures), followed by a gradual increase warming until ca. 10 ka with the

last pronounced isotopic excursion marked by a -15 °C temperature anomaly occurring at ca. 12 ka (in association with the

65 YD event, around -15°C anomaly; (Buizert et al., 2018). After the YD, temperatures stabilized around values similar to today with only minor fluctuations (less than 2°C) throughout the remaining Holocene period (Buizert et al., 2018). High-resolution δ¹⁸O in Alpine-δ¹⁸O speleothems similarly support a coupling between the northern European Alps and Greenland records (Moseley et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021).

While there is evidence for a temporal coupling, a direct-(, scaled) translation of polar ice records over the Alps to obtain

- 70 quantitative temperature/precipitation constraints is inappropriate.not valid. Indeed, major climate forcing components (i.e., such as ice sheet extent, atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations, and changes in ocean circulation), also underwent large-scale changes between the LGM and the Holocene transition (Clark et al., 2012), which). This resulted in a-variable pre-Holoceneatmospheric circulation patterns (Eynaud et al., 2009) and variable latitudinal temperature gradientgradients (Heiri et al., 2014b) and in the North Atlantic atmospheric patterns (Eynaud et al., 2009). Hemisphere during this period. Existing past
- 75 climate information from Alpine paleoenvironmental proxies is mainly qualitative with only a few scarce and fragmented quantitative temperature/precipitation records available for the pre-Holocene period (Heiri et al., 2014a). These are mostly from proxiespollen and chironomid proxy records located on the outer rim of the Alpine range from lake and peat archives (e.g., pollen, chironomids; Heiri et al., 2014a) and), noble gas proxy records from groundwater and speleothems (i.e., noble gas; Beyerle et al., 1998; Ghadiri et al., 2018) or tentatively derived from), and tentative inverse glacial modellingmodeling
- 80 (Kerschner and Ivy-Ochs, 2008; Becker et al., 2016; Seguinot et al., 2018), with some noticeable variability in derived paleoclimate information between and within proxiesproxy records. Proposed reconstructed mean temperature anomalies during the LGM hence vary from -11 to -14°C based on pollen reconstructions (Wu et al., 2007; Bartlein et al., 20142011), -5 to -9°C based on noble-gas groundwater records (Beyerle et al., 1998, Seltzer et al., 2021), and -8 to -15 °C using glacial modelling modeling studies calibrated on reconstructed ice limits and paleo ELA estimates (of paleo-Equilibrium Line Altitude
- 85 (ELA; i.e., the elevation at which annual net ice budget in a glacier equals zero; Allen et al., 2008; Becker et al., 2016; Seguinot et al., 2018; VisnjevieVišnjević et al., 2020). LGM precipitation conditions are even more uncertain, with estimates for precipitation anomalies varying widely (aroundbetween -20 toand -60%; % (e.g., Peyron et al., 1998; Luetscher et al., 2015; Becker et al., 2016), and for which a differential north-south distribution pattern (Florineth and SchluchterSchlüchter, 2000; Becker at al., 2016; Luetscher et al., 2015; Becker at al., 2016) is still debated (Seguinot et al., 2018; VisnjevieVišnjević et al., 2015; Peyron et al., 2018; VisnjevieVišnjević et al., 2016).
- 90 2020). Similarly, little is known regarding climatic conditions <u>during the Late Glacial period</u> between the LGM and the YD, besides that significantly lower <u>summer temperatures</u> (>6°C negative anomalies) <u>summer temperatures</u> were still persisting before ca. 15 ka, based on chironomid and treeline proxies (Heiri et al., 2014a). During the short--lived (~1 kyr) YD cooling event, temperatures dropped, with mean annual anomalies varying between 2-3 toand 5-9 °C below present--day values, depending on the considered proxy between paleoglacial reconstructions (e.g., Protin et al., 2019; Baroni et al., 2021), lacustrine pollen assemblages (Magny et al., 2001) and noble gas speleothem records (Ghadiri et al., 2018; Affolter et al., 2011)
- 2019). On another<u>the other</u> hand, for the Holocene period, all the available records are in general agreement to indicate that

temperatures<u>temperature</u> conditions relatively similar to today prevailed, with only minor (less than 2°C) deviations (e.g., Davis et al., 2003; <u>Heiri et al., 2014a;</u> Ghadiri et al., 2018; Affolter et al., 2019; <u>Heiri et al., 2014a</u>). Today, there).

There is hence a crucial lack of direct and quantitative *in situ* temperature constraints from within the Alpine massifs during the different reconstructed glacial stages since the LGM.

- In this study, we attempt to reconstruct paleotemperatures in the high Alps between<u>during</u> the LGM and YD (i.e., Late Glacial) by applying cosmogenic noble gas paleothermometry (Tremblay et al., 2014a). This method exploits the open system<u>diffusive</u> behavior of cosmogenic ³He in quartz minerals at Earth surface temperatures (Brook et al., 1993; Shuster and Farley, 2005). Using predictiveforward models of cosmogenic ³He production and diffusionthermally-activated diffusive loss through time
- 105 and temperature, quantitative constraints on the thermal history of an exposed rock surface can thus be inferred from the difference between surface-exposure ages derived from the "leaky"<u>diffusive</u> ³He system and from a cosmogenic nuclide that does not express open system behavior (e.g., ¹⁰Be), which records a surface exposure duration assuming non complex historyexperience diffusive loss (Tremblay et al., 2014a, b; 2018). Cosmogenic ³He paleothermometry provides a unique opportunity to obtain quantitative information about past temperaturetemperatures from *in situ* rock surfaces located in the
- 110 formerly glaciated Alps. Here we explore the applicability of cosmogenic ³He paleothermometry along two deglaciation profiles in the northern and western Alps. The advantages of such sampling targets are (1) *a priori* relatively simple exposure history of rock surfaces revealed between the LGM and YD (Wirsig et al., 2016b; Lehmann et al., 2020) with limited shadowing effect (e.g., steep surface, limited vegetation or postglacial sediment cover) and (2) the access to sequences of gradually exposed but lithologically similar samples, enabling a semi-continuous record of a temperature-change history.
- 115 Based on ³He analytical measurements and forward <u>simulation experimentsmodel simulations</u>, we aim to investigate the sensitivity of the *in situ* quartz–³He system in two different high Alpine areas and its suitability for the preservation of a ³He thermal signal on Late-Pleistocene timescales. We also compare our results to previous studies applying cosmogenic ³He paleothermometry elsewhere in the Alps to gain a further understanding of ³He diffusion behavior in quartz at Earth surface temperatures.

120 2 Study sites and sample measurements

2.1 Settings and sample collection

Two sites located in major Alpine massifs were selected for this study and have been previously investigated for their deglaciation history: the Mont Blanc Trelaporte (MBTP) profile (Mont Blanc massif, France; Lehmann et al., 2020), located in the western Alps along the western flank of the Mer de Glace valley (NNE exposure); and the SW exposed Gelmersee

125 (GELM) ridge (Aar massif, Switzerland; Wirsig et al., 2016b), formed by a hanging valley on the east wall of the Haslital valley, in the northern central Alps (Fig. 1, inset). Both sites have steep valley sides that are several hundred meters high with ~30-35° slopes, and are characterized by smoothly-abraded rock surfaces and "roche mountonnéemoutonnée"-like features molded by flowing glaciers. Homogeneous lithologies are exposed along the valley walls, with phenocrystalline granite of the

Mont Blanc (Dobmeier, 1998) at the MBTP site, and Aare granite (Labhart, 1977; Abrecht, 1994) as part of the Helvetic crystalline basement at the GELM site. InAt both sites, the upper parts of the valley sides are characterized by jagged rock surfaces resulting from active periglacial processes. The trimline, which is the transition between smooth and rough bedrock surfaces (i.e., the trimline), is located at ~2600 m-a.s.l. at the MBTP site and ~2450 m-a.s.l. at the GELM site, This trimline either marks the upper limit of the LGM ice surface, or of the active (warm based) eroding glacier layer (i.e., was a subglacial boundary; marking the limit between warm-based eroding ice and cold-based ice (Wirsig et al., 2016a). The Mer de Glace

135 valley is still occupied by ice today, with the ice limit at ~2000 m a.s.l. near our profile site, while the Haslital valley is fully deglaciated. Continuous permafrost is expected above ~3000 m a.s.l in the north faces of the Mont Blanc massif (permafrost index ≥0.9; Magnin et al., 2015a) but can be found more discontinuously down to 2300 m a.s.l. (permafrost index ≥0.5) and as low as 1900 m a.s.l. in especially favorable conditions (permafrost index ≥0.1). Along the Gelmersee ridge on the western side of the Haslital Valley, continuous permafrost is expected above ~2700 m a.s.l., with sporadic patches down to ~2150 m a.s.l. (Boeckli et al., 2012b).



Figure 1: Mont Blanc Trelaporte (MBTP, left) and Gelmersee (GELM, right) deglaciation profiles since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), with the spatial distribution of samples collected for quartz ¹⁰Be (Lehmann et al., 2020; Wirsig et al., 2016b) and ³He (this study) analyses. Samples with an asterisk have been exposed for ~10-11 kyr (i.e., the entire Holocene period). The inset map indicates the location of the two study sites within the European Alps and the extent of ice cover during the LGM (in blue; Ehlers et al., 2011).

Ice-surface lowering of around 400 (MBTP) to >500 (GELM) meters between the LGM toand the YD has been recorded using *in situ* ¹⁰Be cosmogenic exposure dating on bedrock surfaces collected at regular intervals along each profile, starting from just below the trimline (Figs. 1-2, Table 1; Lehmann et al., 2020; Wirsig et al., 2016b). In this study, <u>new</u> samples were

150 just below the trimline (Figs. 1-2, Table 1; Lehmann et al., 2020; Wirsig et al., 2016b). In this study, <u>new</u> samples were collected again for ³He experiments from the exact same <u>rock surfaces and</u> locations as the sampling sites previously collected for ¹⁰Be dating by Lehmann et al. (2020; MBTP profile, samples MBTP18 -1, -2, -11 and -9, n=4) and Wirsig et al. (2016b;

GELM profile, samples GELM18 -12, -1, -5, -11, -6 and - 9, n=6; Fig. 1, Table 1). All samples are from glacially scoured bedrock surfaces, except GELM18-11, which comes from the top of <u>aan</u> ~5-m high boulder of similar lithology deposited during the post-LGM ice-surface lowering (Wirsig et al., 2016b).





Figure 2: Apparent <u>quartz</u>³He (this study) and ¹⁰Be (Lehmann et al., 2020; Wirsig et al., <u>20162016b</u>) exposure ages (a-, c), and ³He/¹⁰Be exposure age ratios or retention (b-, d) as a function of elevation along the two deglaciation profiles (MBTP: a-, b; GELM: c-, d).

Profile	Sample	Lat./Long.	Alt.	10 Be age	³ He age		Modern	Paleo IsoEDT
		(N/ E)	(m . ası) <u>a.s.l.)</u>	(Ka) -	(ка) –	(() -	$(^{\circ}C)^{4\underline{d}}$	(())
MBTP	MBTP18-1	45.9083/6.9311	2545	18.1±1. <mark>57</mark>	8.1±1.0	1.2	5.8	3±1.5
	MBTP18-2	45.9086/6.9319	2460	16.5±1. <u>35</u>	8.7±1.0	1.7	6.2	0.5±2
	MBTP18-	45.9124/6.933			4.6±0.9	2.4	8.0	8±2.5
	9¹9 ª		2133	11.6±1. <mark>02</mark>				
	MBTP18-11	45.9108/6.9315	2310	15.7±1. <u>35</u>	9.2±1.1	3.3	7.0	-1.5±2.5
GELM	GELM18-			17.8±1. 5 7	7.4±0.9	3.1	7.9	-5.5±3
	$\frac{1^{4}1^{a}}{1}$	46.6218/8.3257	2387					
	GELM18-5	46.6185/8.3215	2155	13.8±1. <u>+2</u>	7.0±1.0	4.3	9.1	-11±3
	GELM18-6	46.6151/8.3212	1888	10.2± <u>1.</u> 0 .8	2.6±0.5	5.7	10.6	9.5±3

Table 1: MBTP and GELM sample information.

160

	GELM18-9	46.6136/8.3071	1418	11.2± <mark>0.9</mark> 1.2	1.8±0.7	8.1	13.1	14.5±4
	GELM18-11	46.618/8.3217	2154	14.3±1. 2 3	7.2 ± 0.9	4.3	9.1	-11±3
	GELM18-12	46.6221/8.3258	2402	23.3± 1.9 2.2	8.8±1.2	3.0	7.8	-4.5±3

165

⁴Samples^aSamples used for ³He diffusion experiments. ²-Re^bRe-calculated ¹⁰Be <u>exposure ages</u> (after Wirsig et al., 20162016b and Lehmann et al., 20192020) and calculated ³He <u>apparent</u> exposure ages using the non-time dependent scaling scheme of Stone (2000; Balco et al., 2008), using SLHL production rates of 4.01 at.g⁻¹.yr⁻¹ (¹⁰Be; Borchers et al., 2016) and of 116 at.g⁻¹.yr⁻¹ (³He; Vermeesch et al., 2009) and assuming a rock density of 2.65 g.cm⁻³. See the supplementary material for the details of ¹⁰Be and ³He concentrations (Table S3).-³EstimatedS1). ^cEstimated modern Mean Annual Rock Surface Temperature (MARST) at ~3 cm depth. ⁴Modern EDTs^dModern Effective Diffusion Temperature (EDT) calculated using E_a of 93.5 (MBTP) or 98.5 (GELM) kJ.mol⁻¹, samples specific MARST estimates and using 10°C annual and 5°C diurnal amplitudes. See section 3.5 for the detailed explanation regarding modern MARST and EDT estimates.

170

2.2 Samples preparation and measurement experiments

Aside from 3 Methods

<u>In addition to measurements of cosmogenic ¹⁰Be, to determine</u> the exposure time of a rock surface determined using independent chronometers (in our case *in situ* ¹⁰Be surface exposure dating)₅, cosmogenic ³He paleothermometry requires at

- 175 least two additional pieces of information. First, predictive models of thermally activated ³He diffusion rely on quartztypes of measurement. First, we need measurements of the cosmogenic ³He concentration in the quartz, which permits us to estimate the amount of cosmogenic ³He loss by diffusion during the exposure of the rock surface. Second, we need to measure sample-specific ³He diffusivities for varying temperatures in our quartz samples by conducting stepwise-heating experiments. We also need several different types of models to obtain paleotemperature estimates from these datasets. First, we need models
- 180 <u>to obtain diffusion kinetics parameters (i.e., activation energy</u> E_{a_2} and the <u>diffusionlength scale-normalized diffusivity</u> at infinite temperature-scaled to, also known as the <u>diffusion length scale (pre-exponential factor</u>), D_0/a^2 ; Tremblay et al., 2014a, b), which need to be experimentally determined. Second, <u>)</u> from our stepwise-heating experiments. Some quartz samples, including the samples we study here, show complex ³He diffusion behavior that prevent us from using a simple linear model to extract diffusion kinetics parameters. In these cases, we model the diffusion kinetics parameters using the multiple diffusion
- 185 domain (MDD) model framework (Lovera et al., 1989, 1991). Second, we forward model the production and diffusion of cosmogenic ³He over the duration of each sample's surface exposure for different thermal histories to compare the predicted ³He concentrations with the cosmogenic ³He concentrations observed in our samples. In order to account for diurnal and seasonal temperature oscillations, effective diffusion temperatures (EDTs, Tremblay et al., 2014a) are used as temperature inputs in the forward models.
- 190 <u>We describe the measurement of the total natural cosmogenie</u> ³He accumulated in the quartz sample permits us to estimate the loss by diffusion which occurred throughout the exposure time of the rock surface.and modeling methods we used to apply cosmogenic noble gas paleothermometry to our samples, as well as how EDTs were derived, in greater detail below.

3.1 Sample preparation

200 um) is centered around 850 um diameter (Fig. S1).

200

Rock samples were disaggregated using a high-voltage pulse-based system (SELFRAG equipment, Institute of Geological

- Sciences, University of Bern) to optimize the breaking of the rock along crystal grain boundaries. After rinsing, quartz mineral grains were separated from the other minerals (heavy minerals and feldspar) by magnetic separation and froth flotation (e.g., Nichols and Goehring, 2019) and). The quartz separates were additionally etched in 1% HF for 3 weeks at room temperature to ensure the removal of any adhesiveadhering micro-mineral particles which may contaminate the ³He measurements. For both sites, the grain-size distribution is centered around a diameter of 850 μm, after removal of the finer-fraction (<finer than</p>
- For cosmogenic ³He measurements, the 800-1000 μm grain size fraction (i.e., 400-500 μm radii) from each quartz separate was selected. We chose this large grain size fraction because we anticipated it would have best preservation potential of a measurable ³He signal, given the expected range of thermal histories experienced by the MBTP and GELM samples (Brook and Kurz, 1993; Tremblay et al., 2014a). Three replicates per sample consisting of ~100 mg of quartz were prepared for analysis of natural ³He concentrations.
 - One representative sample per profile was selected for <u>stepwise-heating experiments to determine</u> diffusion kinetics <u>experiments (parameters:</u> MBTP18-9 and GELM18-1).. For these samples, 200 to 300 mg of quartz grains were visually selected under a binocular microscope to avoid obvious mineral inclusions and <u>fractures</u>. The selected grains were sent to the Francis H. Burr Proton Therapy Center (at the Massachusetts General Hospital) for proton beam irradiation (Shuster et al.,
- 210 2004; Shuster and Farley, 2005) in February 2019. After several months of rest to lower the level of radioactivity, one individual coarse quartz grain (~700 μm diameter for MBTP18 9 and ~900 μm diameter for GELM18 1, based on calibrated petrographic microscope measurements) with no obvious fractures and no, mineral inclusions, or fluid inclusioninclusions was selected from each irradiated sample to conduct step-degassingstepwise-heating experiments. For natural ³He measurements, the 800-1000 μm quartz The grain fraction (i.e., 400-500 μm radii)selected from each sample was isolated, as we anticipated
- 215 this fraction would have best preservation potential of <u>MBTP18-9 had</u> a measurable ³He signal for the expected range of thermal histories experienced by the MBTP and GELM samples (Brook and Kurz, 1993; Tremblay et al., 2014a). Three replicates per sample~700 µm diameter, while the grain selected from GELM18-1 had a ~900 µm. Grain diameters were prepared in tantalum packets containing ~100 mg of quartz grains for analysis of natural ³He concentrations.estimated using calibrated petrographic microscope measurements.

220 Both stepwise heating experiments to characterize the ³He diffusion kinetics in the proton irradiated quartz grains and 3.2 Helium measurements

Both bulk degassing measurements to determine the natural cosmogenic ³He abundances in the ~100 mg quartz grain replicates and the stepwise-heating experiments on proton-irradiated quartz grains to characterize ³He diffusion kinetics were carried out at the BGC Noble Gas Thermochronometry Lab (Berkeley, USA). The measurements were conducted with an MAD 215 50 at a 5 Heating to a full structure in the state of the structure in the structure

225 MAP 215-50 sector field mass spectrometer following <u>a</u> similar procedure to Tremblay et al. (2014b). For diffusion kinetics

experiments, bulk degassing measurements, the samples were packaged into tantalum packets and heated in two, 15-minute long heating steps at 800 and 1100 °C with a diode laser, with temperature of the tantalum packet measured by pyrometry. The amount of ³He and ⁴He released from each heating step were measured (Tables S1, S2, S3). Hot blanks on empty tantalum packets were also analyzed, from which an averaged ³He blank correction of 7.7 x 10³ atoms was obtained. No ³He above

- 230 <u>blank levels was observed in any of the 1100 °C heating steps. For stepwise-heating experiments on proton-irradiated grains, the selected grains were placed in contact with the tip of a bare wire K-type thermocouple inside small platinum-iridium (PtIr) packets. The PtIr packets were heated with a diode laser in a feedback control loop with the thermocouple. Each experiment included over thirty to forty heating steps lasting 0.5 to 4 hoursof varying durations, with heating step temperatures increased from 100 up tobetween 70 and 550°C and including at least one retrograde heating cycle (Tables S1 S2S4, S5). Blank</u>
- 235 measurements at room temperature were regularly conducted throughout the experiments for background subtraction from the measured raw signals, with averaged ³He blank corrections of 2.1 x10⁴ atoms (MBTP18-9) and 4.9 x10⁴ atoms (GELM18-1).
 For natural cosmogenic ³He measurements (Tables S3 S4), each tantalum packet was heated in two, 15 minute long heating steps at 800 and 1100 °C, with no gas release observed in the second step. Hot blanks on empty tantalum packets were measured, from which an averaged ³He blank correction of 7.7 x 10³ atoms was applied.

240 **3 Analytics approach: constraining diffusion kinetics and Effective Diffusion Temperature**

245

In this study, we used Matlab codes initially developed by Tremblay et al. (2014a, b; 2018; 2021, code available on Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5808021) to (1) determine ³He diffusion kinetics from step heating experiment data applying a multi-diffusion domain (MDD; Lovera et al., 1989, 1991) model framework, and (2) numerically simulate ³He loss for different thermal histories using the sample-specific diffusion kinetics information. The predictive model of ³He diffusion with time also includes3.3 Diffusion kinetics determination.

To obtain diffusion kinetics parameters (E_a and $\ln(D_0/a^2)$) from the stepwise-heating experiments on proton-irradiated grains, we followed a multi-step procedure. For each proton-irradiated sample (one per site), we first produced an Arrhenius plot displaying the natural log of diffusivity *D* (scaled to the diffusion length scale *a*) as a function of inverse temperature (Fig. 3), calculated from the observed ³He release fractions using the equation of Fechtig and Kalbitzer (1966; in Tremblay al., 2014b).

250 The Arrhenius plots for both samples are shown in Fig. 3. In the case of simple diffusion behavior that follows an Arrhenius relationship, all data points would form a single linear array in this plotting space, and single values of E_a and $\ln(D_0/a^2)$ could be obtained from the slope and intercept of a linear fit, respectively. However, the Arrhenius plots for both samples show deviations from linearity (Fig. 3).

Given the observation of nonlinear Arrhenius behavior, we follow the approach of Tremblay et al. (2014b) and use a multi-

255 diffusion domain (MDD; Lovera et al., 1989, 1991) model framework to determine quartz ³He diffusion kinetics parameters for each study site. In the MDD framework, ³He diffusion is modeled as occurring in several non-interacting domains with different effective diffusion length scales within the quartz grain (e.g., sub-grain fragments). Such a model can reproduce an observed nonlinear Arrhenius behavior, and has been shown to be consistent with cosmogenic ³He observations in a geologic case study (Tremblay et al., 2014b). We use the code from Tremblay et al. (2021) to perform the MDD analysis on our stepwise-

- 260 <u>heating experiment data.</u>
 - Preliminary MDD calculations were carried out to determine the E_a that best fit the Arrhenius data points in the lower temperature range (~70 to 100°C) assuming a single diffusion domain, as well as the (minimum) number of diffusion domains to explain the entire data set (i.e., all heating steps). Additional iterative runs using the MDD model with the minimum number of domains inferred from the preliminary tests were then conducted for a range of increasing E_a up to 100 kJ/mol (with a 0.5
- 265 <u>kJ/mol increment; Fig. 3</u>). This range of E_a values is based on existing E_a estimates reported for quartz in the literature (Shuster and Farley, 2005; Tremblay et al., 2014b; Tremblay et al., 2018; Domingos et al., 2020). In each run, E_a was kept common to each domain (Lovera et al., 1991; Baxter, 2010) while $\ln(D_0/a^2)$ and gas fraction for each different domain were allowed to vary until the misfit coefficient was minimized between the simulated and observed $\ln(D/a^2)$ values for all the heating steps. Stepwise-heating experiments conducted in laboratory do not permit us to observe ³He diffusion behavior at Earth surface
- 270 temperature range (i.e., from around -30 to 30°C). Considering this fact, we introduced an extra calibration step that uses the measured natural ³He concentration from the samples with Holocene-only exposure (from both GELM and MBTP sites) to constrain the diffusion kinetics previously inferred from MDD models. The rational for this is as follows: based on independent global and regional paleoclimate proxy records, samples exposed during the Holocene have experienced relatively stable average temperature conditions with only minor variations (i.e., less than 2°C; cf. Sect. 1). Assuming no complex exposure
- 275 history, the ³He signal recorded in these samples should therefore be representative of ³He diffusion occurring at a constant temperature equivalent to the modern effective diffusion temperature (EDT) at each sample site (see Sect. 3.4 for full definition of EDT). We thus tested whether the sets of diffusion kinetic parameters from the MDD models could explain the natural ³He concentrations recorded in the Holocene samples from our two study sites (MBTP18-9, ¹⁰Be exposure age of ca. <u>11.6 ka</u>; GELM18-9 and 6, ¹⁰Be exposure ages of ca. 10.2 and 11.2 ka). For each set of MDD diffusion kinetics parameters that
- 280 minimized the misfit with the stepwise-heating experiment data, we used a forward model of ³He production and diffusion (Tremblay et al., 2021) to predict the concentration of ³He that would be expected for an exposure duration equivalent to the recalculated ¹⁰Be exposure age and for a constant temperature equivalent to the modern EDT of the Holocene sample(s) (insets Fig. 3). Diffusion kinetics parameters for which the modeled ³He concentration matched the observed cosmogenic ³He concentration in the Holocene calibration sample within error were retained. We considered the diffusion kinetics parameters
- 285 that yielded the best match as the final calibrated diffusion kinetics parameters (Fig. 3). We assume the Holocene-calibrated diffusion kinetics parameters apply to all the samples collected along each profile, and use these diffusion kinetics parameters in subsequent calculations. We justify the assumption of common diffusion kinetics for samples from a same valley profile by the homogenous lithology observed between those samples.

3.4 Forward models of ³He production and diffusion

290 <u>To explore what thermal histories could explain the observed cosmogenic ³He abundances in our quartz samples, we forward model the production and diffusion of cosmogenic ³He over the duration of each sample's surface exposure for different time-</u>

<u>EDT scenarios, using the approach of Tremblay et al. (2021). We model</u> ³He production by cosmic ray incidence using a ³He production rate in quartz at sea level and high latitude (SLHL) of 116 at.g⁻¹.yr⁻¹ (Vermeesch et al., 2009), scaled to the sample geographic location and elevation according to the non-time dependent scaling scheme of Stone (2000; Balco et al., 2008).

- 295 The duration of ³He production and diffusion in the simulations is constrained by each sample's ¹⁰Be exposure age. For consistency, apparent the SLHL production rate of ¹⁰Be (4.01 at.g⁻¹.yr⁻¹ by neutron spallation; Borchers et al., 2016) is also scaled with the Stone (2000) scaling scheme. Apparent ³He and ¹⁰Be exposure ages along the deglaciation profiles investigated in this study are (re-)_calculated following the same approach (with SLHL-⁴⁰Be production rate of 4.01 at.g⁻¹.yr⁻¹; Borchers et al., 2016), using the measured ³He (this study) and literature ¹⁰Be concentrations (previous studies, Wirsig et al., 2016b;
- 300 Lehmann et al., 2020), assuming negligible erosion (Fig. 2; Tables 1 and S3). Recalculated ⁴⁰Be exposure ages define the exposure time of sampled rock surfaces during which we simulate ³He production and diffusion as a function of ambient temperature. In order to account for periodic temperature oscillations (e.g., diurnal, seasonal, geological), effective diffusion temperatures (EDTs, Tremblay et al., 2014a) are used as temperature inputs in the predictive ³He diffusion model as detailed below.S1).

305 3.45 Effective Diffusion Temperature estimates

Rock surfaces experience temperature fluctuations at the diurnal, seasonal and longer (<u>1 to 10⁵ yr.</u>) timescales, which will all activate thermal diffusion of ³He in quartz (Tremblay et al., 2014a). Because ³He diffusivity increases exponentially with temperature, a constant model temperature required to explain a total ³He loss (i.e., corresponding to the mean diffusivity through time) from a geological sample will equal or exceed the actual mean temperature experienced at the rock surface. This

310 temperature is called Effective Diffusion Temperature (EDT; Christodoulides et al., 1971; Tremblay et al., 2014a), and is <u>a</u> function of the ³He diffusion activation energy E_a , the long-term mean (rock surface) temperature and the diurnal and seasonaldifferent frequency temperature amplitudes.

In our approach, temperature variables used for <u>We estimated</u> the <u>modern</u> EDT <u>calculation</u> at the different sampling sites (which are subsequently used for ³He diffusion simulations; cf. Sect. 3) were estimated as follows. Mean annual air

- 315 temperatures (MAATs) at each sampling sitessite along the MBTP and the GELM profiles were calculated by linear interpolation assuming a lapse rate of 5°C/km (GramigerGrämiger et al., 2018) based on mean annual temperatures recorded by nearby reference weather stations at Chamonix (1042 m_ra.s.l., ~5 km west; period 1993-2012; Magnin et al., 2015a) and Grimsel-Hospiz (1980 m_ra.s.l.;... ~5 km south; period 2010-2020, data MeteoSwiss), respectively. Mean Annual Rock Surface Temperatures (MARSTs) are typically higher than MAATs, with the difference amplified between south- and north-exposed
- 320 slopes (Gruber et al., 2003). Boeckli et al. (2012a), based on 57 sensor measurements on snow-free rock slopes >55°, showed that the measured difference between MAAT and MARST increased linearly from <1°C to up to 10°C depending on potential incoming solar radiation (PISR), which is largely controlled by rock surface aspect and angle, in addition to elevation. For moderately inclined surfaces, the difference between MARST and MARST and MAAT is expected to be reduced by ~1-3°C due to micro-topography and snow-insolating effects (Hasler et al., 2011). To estimate MARSTs, we calculated the PISR at each sampling</p>

325 site using the Area Solar Radiation tool (ArcGIS software, version 10.3.1) applied to a 30 m resolution Digital Elevation Model (SRTM 1 Arc-Second data) at the study sites. The calculation was performed at hourly resolution using data from one year (2000), assuming no nebulosity and using a sky size of 512 cells (Magnin et al., 2015a; Mair et al., 2020). Based on the linear relationship between MAAT-MARST and PISR from Boeckli et al. (2012a), we estimated the average MARST-MAAT difference assuming snow-free conditions at each site, to from which we then subtracted 2-°C to take into account snow-330 insulating and micro-topographic effects in moderately steep terrain (Hasler et al., 2011). Final differences between MAAT and MARST of +1°C and +2.5°C were thus obtained for the north-exposed MBTP and the southwest-exposed GELM sites, respectively. These estimates are consistent with in situ MAAT and MARST measurements available in nearby areas with similar orientations, elevations and slope inclinations (e.g., Gruber et al., 2004; Magnin et al., 2015a, b; Haberkorn et al., 2017; Gramiger Grämiger et al., 2018; Guralnik et al., 2018), and were thus used to estimate the MARSTs at each sampling sites site. 335 A mean annual temperature amplitude of 10°C and diurnal amplitude of 5°C were adopted for the two sites, based on longterm (i.e., several years) temperature records from the Chamonix and Grimsel-Hospiz weather stations, and from direct *in situ* rock surface measurements available in the Alps (Gruber et al., 2004; Magnin et al., 2015b; Gramiger Grämiger et al., 2018; Mair et al., 2020; Guralnik et al., 2018; Mair et al., 2020). These estimates are consistent with the annual/diurnal amplitudes obtained from the spatially-interpolated land surface climate data set WorldClim 2.0, based on gridded time series of 340 meteorological data from available weather stations (target temporal range 1970-2000; 1- km resolution; Fick and Hijmans,

3.2 Diffusion kinetics determination

2017).

Diffusion kinetics parameters (*E_a* and ln(*D_a/a²*)) were determined following a multi-step procedure. For each proton-irradiated sample (one per site), we first produced an Arrhenius plot displaying the natural log of diffusivity *D* (sealed to the diffusion
 length scale *a*) as a function of inverse temperature (Fig. 3), calculated from each ³He degassing step experiment using the equation of Fechtig and Kalbitzer (1966; Past colder EDTs input in Tremblay al., 2014b).

Preliminary tests using the MDD model framework described by Tremblay et al. (2014b) were carried out to determine the E_{a} required to best fit the Arrhenius data points in the lower forward ³He modelling for varying thermal history are based on temperature range (~70 to 100°C) assuming a single diffusion array, as well as the (minimum) number of diffusion domains

- 350 to explain the entire data set (i.e., all heating steps). Iterative experiments using the MDD model with the minimum number of domains inferred from the preliminary tests were then conducted for a range of increasing E_a up to 100 kJ/mol (with 0.5 increment; Fig. 3), based on existing E_a estimates reported for quartz in the literature (Tremblay et al., 2014b; Tremblay et al., 2018). In each experiment, E_a was kept common to each domain (Lovera et al., 1991; Baxter et al., 2010) while $\ln(D_{a}/a^2)$ and gas fraction were allowed to vary between the different domains until the misfit coefficient was minimized between the
- 355 simulated and observed $\ln(D/a^2)$ values for all the heating steps.

Because heating step degassing experiments conducted in laboratory do not permit to capture ³He diffusion behavior at Earth's surface temperature range (i.e., from around 30 to 30°C), we next introduced an extra calibration step using the measured

natural ³He concentration from the samples with Holocene-only exposure (from both GELM and MBTP sites) to constrain diffusion kinetics which might be more representative in the range of temperature conditions experienced by Alpine rock

- 360 surfaces. Based on independent global and regional paleoclimate proxy records, samples exposed during the Holocene have experienced relatively stable averaged temperature conditions with only minor variations (i.e., less than 2°C; ef. Sect. 1). Assuming no complex exposure history, the ³He signal recorded in these samples can therefore be considered representative of³He diffusion occurring at a constant temperature equivalent to the EDT calculated at each sample site. We thus conducted another series of numerical experiments to test sets of diffusion kinetic parameters determined from our laboratory experiments
- that explain the natural ³He concentrations recorded in the Holocene samples available in our two study sites (MBTP18-9, 365 ¹⁰Be exposure age of ca. 11.6 ka; GELM18-9 and 6, ¹⁰Be exposure ages of ca. 10.2 and 11.2 ka). For each study site, the corresponding set of E_{a} and associated $\ln(D_{a}/a^{2})$ per domain (with gas fraction) was thus implemented in the forward simulation model of ³He evolution with time and temperature (Tremblay et al., 2014a), which was run over a time period equivalent to the recalculated ¹⁰Be exposure age and for a constant temperature equivalent to the anomalies from modern EDT (recalculated 370 accordingly for each E_{a} , based on sample specific temperature variables, cf. Sect. 3.1.) of the Holocene sample(s). Diffusion kinetics parameters for which resulting modeled ³He concentration matched within error the observed natural one (i.e., from the Holocene sample considered) were retained, with the solution producing the best match considered as the final calibrated
- diffusion kinetics parameters (Fig. EDTs³). We assume the Holocene calibrated parameters apply to all the samples (i.e., including with longer exposure durations) collected along each profile (MBTP or GELM), given the homogenous lithology between samples, and were used as the default diffusion kinetics parameters for the numerical experiments conducted in the 375 next sections.





Figure 3: Arrhenius plots of ³He step-degassing experiments (greyconducted on one representative sample per study site (MBTP18-380 9 (a) and GELM18-1 (b)). Gray circles) conducted on one representative sample per study site. Final diffusion kinetics parameters were determined using a multi-step procedure, including the determination of MDD diffusion kinetics show $\ln(D/a^2)$ values calculated from the laboratory experiments after Fechtig and Kalbitzer (1966). Diffusion kinetics (DK) parameters that (1) bestwere calculated using a multi-step procedure. First, we fit the laboratory data for assuming a range of increasing E_a values (79 (a) and 86 (b) to 100 kJ/mol; grey lines;-) for a three-domain MDD model. The red lines show final laboratory parameters providing the best 385 match in the lower temperature range), and (2) match within uncertainties the natural ³He concentration recorded in the Holocene ealibration samples (light blue lines). The dark blue line indicates the final ealibrated three-domain DK parameters best matching the step-degassing experiments and the natural Holocene-³He concentration (dark dots represent the corresponding that minimize the misfit between the observed and predicted diffusivities (Ea is proportional to the slope of each line, and $\ln(D_0/a^2)$ is given by the intercept). Second, we assessed whether these three-domain DK parameters could predict the cosmogenic ³He concentrations 390 recorded in the Holocene calibration samples (MBTP18-9 (c), GELM18-6 (d) and GELM18-9 (e)). The three-domain DK parameters that could reproduce the Holocene calibration data are represented with light blue lines, with the dark blue line indicating the final calibrated DK parameters producing the best match with the natural Holocene ³He concentration(s). The small black circles in (a) and (b) represent the $\ln(D/a^2)$ values modelled along the heating experiment schedule). For the MBTP site (a), sample MBTP18-9 was used for both the laboratory step-degassing experiment as well as the Holocene calibrating sample. For the GELM site (b),

395 sample GELM18-1 was used for the laboratory step-degassing experiment, while sample GELM18-6 and -9 were used for Holocene

ealibration. The light for this best-fit set of three-domain DK parameters. The vertical green line in (a) and (b) indicates the modern effective diffusion temperature (EDT-range) associated with the Holocene calibration sample(s). The gas fraction assigned to each domain for both laboratory-only (grey-font) and Holocene-calibrated (blue-font) DK parameters is also indicated along the model lines-in (a) and (b).

400 4 Results

First, we examine the characteristics of the ³He diffusion kinetics parameters we modeled for our quartz samples and explore the sensitivity of the ³He signal in those samples to Earth surface EDTs. We then present forward model results for the evolution of the cosmogenic ³He concentrations recorded along each deglaciation profile for two different sets of thermal histories. The first set of thermal histories we investigate assumes a constant EDT since the exposure of the sampled rock surfaces following

405 <u>ice retreat. We then investigate a set of more climatologically-interesting thermal histories</u>, wherein a change in EDT occurs at some point during the exposure time of each sample.

4.1 Diffusion kinetics and sensitivity tests

We present in-Figure 3 shows the range of diffusion kinetics parameters (E_a and $\ln(D_0/a^2)$) fitting that fit the laboratory degassingstepwise-heating experiments (one representative sample for each site; Figs. 3a and 3b), and which in addition predict permit us to reproduce the observed natural ³He concentrations from the Holocene calibration samples for a constant EDT equivalent to the modern EDT. Degassing (Figs. 3c-e). Stepwise-heating experiment data indicate relatively first-order Arrhenius behavior for quartz ³He diffusion of MBTP18-9, with one dominant linear array accounting for ~85% of ³He release (Fig. 3a, Table 2). The remaining ~15% gas fraction is distributed within two additional minor diffusion domains, one of higher retentivity and one of lower retentivity (Tremblay et al., 2014b). GELM18-1 exhibits more complex quartz ³He diffusion 415 behavior, with gas release distributed more equally (gas fraction between 20 to 50%) within three linear arrays (Fig. 3b, Table

415

2), which can be interpreted as three or more distinct diffusion domains with each domain contributing significantly to 3 He retention over geological times.

Table 2: Diffusion kinetics parameters for MBTP and GELM sites.

	² Range ^b Range of Holocene-calibrated parameters				³ Fina calib	H <mark>°Final</mark> Holo rated paran	ocene- neters	^₄ Final ^d Final laboratory parameters		
Profile	Ea n InD₀a² Gas				Ea	InD ₀ a ²	Gas	Ea	InD ₀ a ²	Gas
	(kJ/mol)	domain	$\ln(D_0/a^2)$	fraction	(kJ/mol)	<u>ln(D₀/a²)</u>	fraction	(kJ/mol)	$\ln(D_0/a^2)$	fraction
			$(\ln(s^{-1}))$	(%)		_(ln(s ⁻¹))	(%)		$(\ln(s^{-1}))$	(%)
¹ MBTP	91.5 to	d1	11.11 to	81 to 89	93.5	11.78	85	85.9	9.67	93
	96		12.56							
IVIDIP		d2	16.11 to	8 to 10		16.78	9		14.67	6
			17.77							

		d3	8.67	2 to 9		9.33	6		6.89	1
			to10 to							
			<u>10.00</u>							
¹ GELM	96.5 to	d1	12.22 to	47 to 50	98.5	12.89	50	79.5	7.44	43
aGEI M	100		13.33							
<u>UELWI</u>		d2	16.33 to	29 to 31		17.11	29		10.33	36
			17.56							
		d3	22.11 to	21 to 22		22.67	21		16.67	21
			23.11							

⁴Diffusion^aDiffusion kinetics measurements made on one representative sample per profile: MBTP18-9 (350 µm spherical equivalent radius) and GELM18-1 (450 µm spherical equivalent radius). ²Range^bRange of MDD diffusion kinetics parameters obtained by fitting laboratory experimental data and matching ³He concentrations (within 1σ error) from Holocene calibration samples. ³Best^cBest-fitting MDD diffusion kinetics parameters obtained by fitting laboratory experimental data matching ³He concentrations from Holocene calibration samples. ⁴MDD^dMDD diffusion kinetics parameters based only on laboratory experimental data, and providing the best match in the lower temperature range of the heating schedule (~70-100 °C).

In order to explore the theoretical sensitivity (and potential variability) of the MBTP and GELM quartz, we numerically evaluated the time required for the concentration of 3 He in each sample to reach steady-state (i.e., thermal loss balanced with cosmic-ray induced production gain) as function of constant EDT. Forward simulations using theof ³He production and diffusion predictive model of (Tremblay et al. (2014a, b) and., 2021) using the final Holocene-calibrated diffusion kinetic 430 parameters were thus run for a range of isotherms representative of Earth surface EDTs (hereafter referred to as isoEDTs; tested range from -30 to 30°C), assuming a 450µm radiiradius and no initial ³He concentration. Equilibrium conditions were assumed to be reached once no significant change in 3 He concentration was recorded (<1% per kyr). While we observe some variability in ³He diffusion behavior and derived diffusion kinetics parameters between MBTP and GELM quartz (Fig. 3, Table 2), results from sensitivity tests in terms of steady-state times are relatively similar. For isoEDTs between -10 and 10°C, 435 bracketing approximately potential EDTsEDT values experienced along both deglaciation profiles between the LGM and today, the time predicted for ³He diffusion to reach equilibrium varies between ~ 10 kyr (isoEDT of 10°C) and ~ 20 kyr (isoEDT of -10°C; Fig. 4). Interestingly, while steady-state time estimates remain relatively constant for quartz from both sites at ca. 20 kyr for colder isoEDTs (-10 to -30°C), we observe a pronounced non-linear dependence for EDTs above 0°C, resulting in much shorter equilibrium times in the high EDTs range (less than 5 kyr for EDT above 20 °C, Fig. 4).



Figure 4: Theoretical ³He steady-state time estimates for isoEDTs varying between -30 toand 30 °C, using the final Holocenecalibrated diffusion kinetics parameters determined for each study site, and assuming 450 µm450µm grain radius.

4.2 ³He exposure ages and PaleoIsoEDTs

For each site, apparent ³He exposure ages are systematically lower (from 20 to 75%) than apparent ¹⁰Be exposure ages (Table 1, Fig. 2). While The ¹⁰Be ages show a general decrease with <u>decreasing</u> elevation, in agreement with progressive ice thinning along a deglaciation profile in the high Alps during the Late Glacial, this. This trend is less evident for the apparent ³He ages

which overlap significantly within uncertainties above ~2200 m a.s.l. (Fig. 2). The evolution of ³He retention (3 He/ 10 Be exposure ages age ratio) shows a clear decrease with decreasing elevation differs between the two sites, with an apparent

450 decrease in retention for low elevation/younger samples along the GELM profile (~1500 to 2500 m a.s.l.), which is not visible along the MBTP profile (similar retention between MBTP samples), which is also more restricted in elevation range (~2100 to 2600 m a.s.l.; Fig. 2).

To determine the apparent constant EDT (that we refer to as paleoIsoEDT) from the natural ³He signal recorded in each sample, forward models of ³He production and diffusion-predictive models (implemented with the final Holocene-calibrated diffusion

kinetics) were run for a time period equal to the sample's ¹⁰Be exposure age and for a range of isoEDTs (isothermal 455 holding between -10 toand 15 °C for MBTP; -25 to 20°C for GELM, 1°C increment). The isoEDT leading to best-matching synthetic ³He concentration with the observed natural ³He concentration was retained as the paleoIsoEDT (Fig. 5, Table 1). As Holocene samples were used to calibrate the diffusion kinetics (cf. Sect. 4.1), it is expected that 3 He derived paleoIsoEDTs from theses these samples are equivalent to their respective modern EDTs. On the other hand, all pre-Holocene samples at both sites have paleoIsoEDTs that are lower than their corresponding modern EDTs (Fig. 5a, d; Table 1). For the MBTP profile, 460 the difference between modern EDTs and paleoIsoEDTs varies from around 3 to 9°C. This difference is even greater for the GELM profile, where paleoIsoEDTs are around 12 to 20°C lower than their associated modern EDTs. Pre-Holocene samples are located well above (200 to 500 meters) Holocene samples, and all above 2000 m a.s.l. While paleoIsoEDTs derived from the high-elevation/pre-Holocene samples agree within error for each site, they clearly depart from EDTspaleoIsoEDTs obtained from the low-elevation/Holocene sample(s), by ~6° (MBTP site) and ~18°C (GELM site) based on the peak values 465 from the obtained bimodal probability distributions (Fig. 5b, e). After correcting for temperature decrease with elevation (assuming a lapse rate of 5°C/km), the difference between pre- and Holocene samples paleoIsoEDTs is still significant for GELM (~10 to 20°C, Fig. 5f). For MBTP, although elevation-corrected paleoIsoEDTs from two high-elevation/pre-Holocene samples (MBTP18-2 and -11) are still clearly distinguishable from the low-elevation/Holocene sample (MBTP18-9; Fig. e5c), 470 the probability distribution appears closer to unimodal since the paleoIsoEDT from the highest sample (MBTP18-1) partially

overlap withoverlaps that from MBTP18-9 within uncertainty.





Figure 5: Distribution of ³He derived paleoIsoEDTs along the MBTP (a-c, top) and GELM (d-f, bottom) deglaciation profiles.
 Holocene samples used for calibration are marked by an asteriskasterisks. (a, d) Paleo-IsoEDTsPaleoIsoEDTs relative to modern EDTs (black dashed line is 1:1); (b, e) relationship between paleoIsoEDT and elevation, and (c, f) relationship between paleoIsoEDT and ¹⁰Be exposure age, after correction for lapse rate (marked by red arrows, relatively to <u>the</u> Holocene sample marked with a black asterisk). Green (solid and dashed) lines are modern EDTs for Holocene samples, with the solid line indicating the modern EDT taken as reference for the lapse rate correction. The thin lines represent the sumsums (yellow/orange) of the individual (greygray) probability distributiondistributions of paleoIsoEDTs.

4.3 Forward simulations with time-varying EDT

Based on global and regional paleoenvironmental records, we can expect that pre-Holocene samples collected at <u>the MBTP</u> and GELM sites have experienced at least one main significant temperature change, marking the transition from (colder) Late Glacial to warmer and more stable Holocene conditions (cf. Sect. 1 for details).

Following this observation, we first investigate the theoretical time needed for the MBTP and GELM ³He quartz systems to re-adjust to a change in temperature infor a warming scenario, assuming that these systems were already at steady-state conditions. Forward model simulations (450µm radii assumed) were run for different time-EDT scenarios involving an initial EDT (ranging from -30 to 30°C; initial ³He concentration at steady-state with initial EDT) followed by a step warming event (+2, +5, +10 +15, +20, +25, +30°C; over 0.1 to 1 kyr depending of the sensitivity of the quartz system for the considered EDT scenario), after which the resulting warmer EDT was maintained until full re-adjustment of the ³He-quartz system. We

495

500

505

considered full re-adjustment to have occurred when modelledmodeled ³He concentrations following the step warming event matchmatched within 10% the ³He concentrations expected for an isoEDT equivalent to the final (warmer) EDT (Fig. 6a). We present simulation results in Figures 6b-c. For past EDTs <0°C followed by a step warming up to 20°C, readjustment times are all longer than 10 kyr, either considering MBTP or GELM diffusion kinetics. Estimates of LGM-temperature anomalies suggested for the European Alps are equivalent to an apparent warming of 5 to 15 °C (cf. Sect. 1). When considering EDT scenarios with <u>a</u> similar warming range applied to our study sites, with modern EDTs around 5 to 10°C (at pre-Holocene sampling sites; i.e., equivalent to initial past EDT <u>betweenof</u> 0 to 5°C and -10 to -5°C for 5 and 15°C warming step, respectively), our simulation outcomes show relatively long re-adjustment times from around 20 to 45 kyr (Fig. 6). We should note, however, that these times are maximum estimates since we considered ³He quartz systems at steady-state conditions with initial cold EDTs before the warming event.

a) b) c) MBTP 0 GELM C 0 C 0 8 C 0 Modern EDT 0 õ EDT Re-adjustment time (yr) Re-adjsutement time (yr) 0 ΔEDT 0 0 0 0 Past EDT 0 0 0 0 C C 0 C 0 0 C Past IsoEDT 10 0 0 0 0 ³He] re-adiust Past EDT Past EDT 0 0 0 0°C C ● -30°C ● 10°C ● -30°C ● 10°C 0 Modern IsoEDT ● -20°C ● 20°C ● -20°C ● 20°C -10°C O 30°C ● -10°C ● 30°C Time 102 10² 10 15 20 25 30 20 25 ึก 5 0 10 15 30 ∆EDT_{Past-Modern} (°C) ΔEDT_{Past-Modern} (°C)

Figure 6: Conceptual approach (a) and output results for MBTP (b) and GELM (c) of ³He re-adjustment time ($t_{re-adjust}$) for one-step EDT change scenarios (temperature warming from 2 to 30°C), using the final diffusion kinetics parameters determined for each study site, and assuming 450 µm grain radius. Calculations assume that ³He concentrations were already at steady-state for past EDT conditions (i.e., as would be expected for infinite exposure time) prior to imposing the temperature change.

In a second set of experiments<u>forward model runs</u>, we explore the thermal memory of ³He in quartz for a step warming EDT scenario fixed in time that is more representative of the post-LGM paleoclimate history in the Alps, including: (1) an initial cold period starting at 24 ka with an imposed EDT set 15°C lower compared to modern EDT (maximum LGM temperaturestemperature anomalies, cf. Sect. 1); (2) a warming step to modern EDT that is either progressive from 24 to 10 ka <u>or abrupt between 11 and 10 ka</u> (i.e., consistent with a Younger Dryas-Holocene transition) or abrupt between 11 and 10 ka (i.e., consistent with a Younger Dryas-Holocene (last 10 kyr, Fig. 7a). <u>SimulationsForward simulations</u> of ³He diffusion and concentration evolution were conducted for each pre-Holocene sample following this scenario, with the time period and the time-dependent EDT variable set accordingly to each sample ¹⁰Be exposure age and modern EDT, respectively. For all GELM and MBTP samples, these forward simulations result in synthetic ³He concentrations

significantly lower than their respective measured ³He concentrations. In Figure 7b we present the results for sample MBTP181, for which we observed the smallest difference between modern EDT and paleoIsoEDT (Fig. 5a; Table 1).



Figure 7: a) Simplified warming EDT scenario since the LGM (~24 ka), with progressive and abrupt EDT changes in light and dark blue lines, respectively; b) Synthetic evolution of ³He concentration (blue lines) compared with the natural ³He concentration recorded in MBTP18-1 (red circle). The ³He concentration evolution is also indicated for a constant-temperature scenario at the

modern EDT and paleoIsoEDT (set in Figure 5; black solid and dashed lines, respectively). We were unable to reproduce the observed natural ³He concentration for any samples with pre-Holocene exposure under this simplified LGM EDT scenario.

To further investigate potential effects of a larger EDT difference between modern and past conditions, and/or a more recent

- EDT change, we performed an additional set of <u>numerical</u> simulations using step warming EDT scenarios with more free parameters. Scenarios with an EDT change occurring from 10^4 to 10^2 years ago and with difference between past and modern EDTs (Δ EDT) up to 40°C were tested iteratively on each pre-Holocene sample, assuming no initial ³He concentration and with total exposure time and EDT variables adjusted accordingly, as described above. Scenarios for which we could reproduce the observed natural ³He concentration (within uncertainties) were accepted, resulting in a range of different possible scenarios
- 530 with varying Δ EDT and time of EDT change for each pre-Holocene sample (Fig. 8). For both sites, we observed a similar pattern between Δ EDT and time of EDT change: the further back in time the EDT change occurs, the greater the Δ EDT that is needed to reproduce observed natural ³He concentrations. In addition, for any given time of EDT change, Δ EDTs tend to be inversely correlated with sample elevation/¹⁰Be exposure age. Within these similarities, the two sites differ by the magnitude of the Δ EDT required to reproduce observed natural ³He concentrations. For example, along the MBTP site (Fig. 8a), Δ EDTs
- 535 of 5 °C occurring a few kyr ago are required to explain ³He concentrations<u>concentration</u> measured fromin the highest/oldest sample (MBTP18-1), while ΔEDTs of 35°C occurring a few kyr ago are required to explain ³He concentrations<u>concentration</u> measured fromin the lowest /youngest pre-Holocene sample (MBTP18-11). For the same sites, ΔEDTs of 3 and 15°C are required if the ΔEDT occurred within the last centuries. On another the other hand, for the GELM site, our simulations found no ΔEDT solution if the EDT change is applied prior to 1 ka (within our ΔEDT limit of 40°C; except for GELM18-12; Fig.
- 540 8b). In the case of EDT change occurring within the last centuries, ΔEDTs for the GELM samples are significantly larger than for MBTP samples, with ΔEDTs between 15 toand >30°C required for the highest/oldest samples (GELM18-12 and -1). For the intermediate samples (GELM18-11 and -5) which are also exhibiting the greatest ³He-¹⁰Be age differences, numerical solutions could only be recovered for very recent EDT changes (≤200 yr) and with ΔEDT >35°C.



545 Figure 8: One-step EDT change scenarios that reproduce the observed natural ³He concentration for each pre-Holocene MBTP (a) and GELM (b) samplessample, with AEDT solution as function of the time of EDT change. The error bars indicate all the possible **AEDT** solutions and the color circles indicate the best-matching scenario.

5 Discussion

5.1 Paleo-environmental ³He signal Paleoclimatic interpretation of ³He signals

- All studied samples indicate the preservation of a ³He concentration consistent with temperatures that are colder than present-550 day EDT conditions at both the MBTP and the GELM sites (paleoIsoEDTs ~3-9°C and ~12-20°C lower than modern EDTs, respectively, Fig. 5). However, for both sites, the recorded ³He concentrations are apparently not concordant with simple time-EDT scenarios describing a plausible post-LGM mean temperature evolution in the European Alps (i.e., LGM mean temperature anomaly up to 15°C, Fig. 7). Even when allowing for a larger EDT difference between LGM and present-day (up 555 to 40°C), modeled ³He concentrations remain significantly below the observed values at both sites. Such large EDT differences would furthermore not be supported by any set of mean temperature reconstructions for the European Alps since the LGM (e.g., Heiri et al., 2014a). Likewise, potential variation in seasonal temperature cannot contribute significantly to a larger pre-Holocene EDT anomaly. Indeed, global and regional paleoclimate studies rather suggest a larger seasonal temperature
- amplitude occurred before the Holocene (e.g., Davis et al., 2003; Buizert et al., 2018), which would have the effect of 560 increasing the paleoEDTs instead (Fig. 9).



Figure 9: Difference between EDT and mean annual temperature as a function of increasing seasonal temperature amplitude for different diurnal temperature amplitudes and assuming an E_a of 93.5 kJ/mol (MBTP site, Holocene-calibrated diffusion kinetics). The yellow star indicates the conditions used to estimate the modern EDT at the sampling sites. Decreasing the annual/diurnal amplitude can yield to up to a ~5°C decrease in the modern EDT. Similar results are obtained when using Ea =98.5kj5 kJ/mol (GELM site, Holocene-calibrated diffusion kinetics).

We attribute the resultsresult of modeled ³He concentrations remainingthat are significantly belowlower than the observed ones, despite significant lowering of temperature prior the Holocene (e.g., Fig. 7), to the damping effect of modeled exposure during the Holocene period (the last ~ 10 kyr), which is characterized by relatively stable mean temperature conditions similar to present-day. By damping effect, we mean that modeling ~10 kyr of exposure at temperatures similar to today results in a partial to total readjustment of the cosmogenic ³He thermal signal, with little to no inherited signal memory of the prior exposure to colder Late Glacial conditions. This hypothesis first appears to contradict our theoretical tests which indicate that the ³He thermal signal inherited from past EDTs 10 to 15°C colder than today should *a priori* be (partly) preserved for 30-45 kyr under modern EDT conditions (Fig. 6). However, this time range relies on the assumption that bedrock surfaces were exposed for long enough to past colder conditions before the temperature change occurred, in order to reach ³He steady-state

concentrations (i.e., estimated exposure. For both sample sites, the time required to reach steady state is around 20 kyr; (Fig. 4). AlongOn the other hand, along the MBTP and GELM profiles, bedrock surfaces have not been exposed for more than 5-8 kyr (MBTP) and 4-13 kyr (GELM) before the Late Glacial-Holocene transition, resultingrespectively. This results in ³He accumulation up to 35-55% (MBTP) and 30-85% (GELM) of ³He steady-state concentrations when considering paleoIsoEDTs

- 580 10-15°C lower than present-day EDTs. In such a case, ³He re-adjustment time estimates to modern EDTs are predicted to be reduced by ~90 to 80% for the MBTP site and by >90 to 60% for the GELM site, implying we should recover the dominance of Holocene temperature conditions in the ³He signal from the sampled bedrock surfaces.
 - Our observed ³He concentrations can be reproduced by forward simulations with <u>an</u>EDT change occurring on much more recent time scales (Fig. 8). For the MBTP site, a Δ EDT of 7 to 5°C within the last few thousand years to centuries predicts the
- 585 observed natural ³He concentrations for two pre-Holocene samples (: MBTP18-1 and 2; a. A ΔEDT of 12 to 8° is required for MBTP18-11). Such a ΔEDT estimate, considering mean temperature fluctuations up to 2°C for the Holocene period (Davis et al., 2003), would also require variations in diurnal/annual temperature amplitudes to account for an additional 5°C ΔEDT. However, this would imply the lowering of both diurnal and annual temperature amplitudes to null before modern conditions
- (Fig. 9), which contradicts global and regional records that indicate an increased seasonality (and thus larger ΔEDT) in the early Holocene compared to the present-day (Davis et al., 2003; Buizert et al., 2018) compared to the present day.), and which would result in a larger ΔEDT. Furthermore, the forward simulations discussed here (Fig. 8) used diffusion kinetics calibrated on Holocene samples. (Fig. 8). Therefore, allowing a significant EDT change over the last 10²-10³ years is in contradiction with our calibration approach (cf. Sect. 3). If instead we use diffusion kinetics solely derived from laboratory experiments without Holocene calibration (Fig. 3, Table 2), an even larger recenta ΔEDT of 15°C or greater is required to explain observed MBTP ³He concentrations (15 to 25°C or more than 30°C for changes over 10² or within the last 10³ yr, respectively, years (Fig. S2b). Such large ΔEDTs are significantly greater than expected EDT variations from changes in mean annual temperatures and/or in annual/diurnal temperature amplitudes during the Holocene. Even greater ΔEDTs are needed to explain the observed GELM ³He concentrations using either diffusion kinetics approach: from 15 to more than 35°C (explaining GELM18-1 and 12 only, for EDT change over 10² or 10³ yr, respectively) when using Holocene calibrated diffusion kinetics
- 600 (Fig. 8b), and to more than 40°C when using laboratory diffusion kinetics (no convergence found within the 40°C ΔEDT limit for pre Holocene samples; Fig. S3b), which are in both cases (Fig. S3b). Both cases are clearly incompatible with plausible Holocene paleoclimatic histories.

Finally, additional potential 5.2 Sources of uncertainty

Cosmogenic ³He paleothermometry is still in an early stage of development for application to Quaternary geology (Tremblay

605 et al., 2014a, b; 2018), and there are several aspects of our approach that are under-constrained which could contribute to our estimates of unrealistically cold Late Glacial temperatures. Below, we explore how uncertainties related to (1) estimating modern EDTs, (2) interpreting cosmogenic nuclide measurements, and (3) determining helium diffusion kinetics in quartz, could each have affected our production-diffusion modeling and therefore our paleotemperature estimates.

5.2.1 Modern EDT estimates

610 <u>Potential</u> uncertainties in modern EDT estimates, used to define the EDT of the recent and stable period in the step warming EDT scenarios (Sect. 4.3), cannot be ruled out. In particular, it is not known to what extent present-day conditions (based on

decadal direct air and ground temperature measurements; cf. Sect. 3.1) are representative over centennial to millennial time scales. Correcting for overestimated diurnal/annual temperature amplitudes and/or mean annual temperatures would result in lower modern (i.e., recent) EDTs (Fig. 9). Assuming an overestimate of 50% in modern diurnal and annual temperature

- 615 amplitudes, and up to 2°C overestimate in MARST based on recorded mean temperature fluctuations (Davis et al., 2003; Ghadiri et al., 2018, 2020) and applied corrections to MAAT (cf. Sect. 3.1), would lead to ~3.5°C lowering of modern EDTs for MBTP/GELM sites. Applying such an estimated correction to the recent period EDT potentially permits us to resolve observed ³He concentrations for two of the MBTP samples (MBTP18-1 and -2) with ΔEDT of 5 to 10°C for a change occurring at ca.10 ka (i.e., LGM scenario; Fig. S2c). It is also worth noting that natural ³He MBTP concentrations for those samples can
- be reproduced with minor ΔEDTs (≤1.5°C) over recent timescales (10²-10³ yr). When using laboratory-derived diffusion kinetics without Holocene calibration, *a priori* more appropriate to explore recent EDT changes, only scenarios with more than -10°C ΔEDT within the last thousand years are accepted (Fig. S2d), inconsistent with paleoclimatic records over this recent time period. For GELM samples, correcting modern/recent EDT doesis not permitsufficient to reproduce the observed ³He concentrations with plausible ΔEDTs for an EDT change occurring at the Late Glacial-Holocene transition (ca. 10 ka; no solution), nor on more recent timescales (Fig. S3c-d).

5.2 Potential geological uncertainties

5.2.2 Interpretation of cosmogenic nuclide measurements

Several sources of geological uncertainties may affect the results obtained in this study. At firstFirst, our approach relies on the assumption that bedrock surfaces have experienced a simple exposure history along the time period recorded by ¹⁰Be concentrations, without pre-exposure or episodic coverage. (i.e., non-erosive cold-based ice). Depth profiles of ¹⁰Be 630 measurements on glacially-polished bedrocks in the western Alps, with apparent exposure ages of 10-20 ka, indicate that an inherited ¹⁰Be concentration due to insufficient glacial erosion may persist and could lead to up to 9% age overestimates (Prud'HommePrud'homme et al., 2020). Similarly, Wirsig et al. (2016b) suggested potential but limited pre-LGM (less than a few ka overestimate) inheritance for some GELM samples. While previous bedrock surface exposure would also imply an 635 inherited ³He concentration, the latter would be subject to diffusion (partial or total) during glacier coverage (, even at subzero temperatures and EDTs (Fig. S4). On the contrary to, ¹⁰Be which would experience only minor radioactive decay over 10-100 kakyr timescales). Considering such a. This scenario (i.e., of inheritance/ and/or complex exposure history) would hence result in lower ³He concentrations recorded by bedrock surfaces regardless of the temperature history experienced by the rock surface during the total ¹⁰Be exposure period (i.e., lower ³He/¹⁰Be concentration ratio; Balco et al., 2016). This scenario is also valid 640 for post-LGM episodic coverage. Such effects are however expected to be minor considering the limited potential ¹⁰Be inheritance (<10%) from pre-LGM exposure, as well as the unlikelihood of prolonged coverage of the relatively steep (i.e., no loose sediments/thick snow accumulation) and high (i.e., above tree line) sampled bedrock surfaces. Moreover, attempting to correct for these processes would result in opposite effects than what we observed for MBTP and GELM samples, with even

lower paleoIsoEDT estimates and greater Δ EDTs required for warming EDT scenarios to recover observed natural ³He

645 concentrations.

An additional source of uncertainty is postglacial erosion of sampled bedrock surfaces, assumed to be negligible in this study. Based on a combined approach exploiting cosmogenic ¹⁰Be and Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) systems, Lehmann et al. (2020) suggested potential high postglacial erosion rates (above 3.5 mm/kyr) for low-elevation MBTP samples. Other regional estimates for crystalline bedrock commonly indicate Alpine postglacial erosion rates of 0.1 to 1 mm/kyr (Kelly et al.,

- 650 2006; Dielforder and Hetzel, 2014; Wirsig et al., 2016b), in line with estimates from other studies (André, 2002; Balco, 2011). Relatively low postglacial erosion rates are further supported along our study sites by the presence of still visible glacial striations (Wirsig et al., 2016b). Applying an erosion correction (0.1 to 1 mm/kyr) will only moderately affect apparent ¹⁰Be exposure ages (<1 ka change), and would result in lower predicted ³He concentrations compared to our observed ones.
- In summary, geological uncertainties related to exposure history and postglacial surface erosion are generally small and overall do not resolve the significant discrepancy between the natural ³He signal recorded in pre-Holocene MBTP and GELM samples and modelled modeled ³He concentrations from expected EDT histories.

On the other hand, some of the observed differences may relate to uncertainties regarding the ³He production rate (*P*_{3He}) in quartz. Directly estimating *P*_{3He} in quartz from geological calibration sites is challenging, as ³He diffuses from quartz at Earth surface temperatures over 10²-10⁴ yr time scale. Alternative approaches using artificial targets (e.g., Vermeesch et al., 2009)
or scaling *P*_{3He} measured in other-retentive minerals (i.e., feldspar; Masarikolivine; e.g., Cerling and Reedy, 1996Craig, 1994; Goehring et al., 2010) have hence been used. While in this study we adopted the Stone (2000)-scaled *P*_{3He} from Vermeesch et al.

al. (2009; i.e., 116 at.g⁻¹.yr⁻¹), a ~10% higher ³He production rate has also been proposed <u>from olivine ³He measurements</u> <u>scaled to quartz</u> (e.g., <u>Masarik and Reedy, 1995</u>; Ackert et al., 2011). Applying an increased *P*_{3He} (Stone-scaled *P*_{3He}= 128 at.g⁻¹.yr⁻¹) in general leads to smaller ΔEDTs in order to match the measured ³He concentrations, as well as an older range of possible times for the EDT change. For <u>the</u> MBTP site, however, we could not reproduce ³He concentrations for an EDT change at 10 ka (except for MBTP18-1; Fig. S2e, Holocene-calibrated diffusion kinetics). Likewise, for more recent changes (10²-10³ yr; laboratory-derived diffusion kinetics without Holocene calibration; Fig. S2f), the resulting ΔEDTs (10 to 25°C) are still not compatible with plausible Holocene temperature conditions. Similar results were obtained for the GELM Late

Glacial samples when adopting a 10% increase in P_{3He} (FigFigs. S3e, f).

- 670 In addition to a higher cosmogenic ³He production rate, another possibility that we have not accounted for is non-cosmogenic sources of ³He, specifically nucleogenic ³He produced by (n,α) reactions with ⁶Li. <u>UnaccountedUnaccounting</u> for nucleogenic ³He would result in lower true cosmogenic ³He concentrations, which would have the effect of reducing the Δ EDTs at our sample sites toward more realistic values. However, we think it is unlikely that there is significant nucleogenic ³He in our samples for several reasons. <u>BasedFirst, the ³He/⁴He ratios we measured during the 800°C heating step are on the order of 10⁻</u>
- 675 <u>⁶ to 10⁻⁷ (Table S3)</u>. This is more than an order of magnitude above the ³He/⁴He ratio of ~10⁻⁸ expected from U/Th decay and <u>⁶Li neutron capture (Niedermann, 2002)</u>. Second, no ³He above the detection limit was measured in the 1100°C heating step <u>despite nontrivial amounts of ⁴He being released in this step</u>. This indicates that retentive mineral and fluid inclusions, if

present in the samples, are not contributing a significant amount of non-cosmogenic ³He to the measured ³He amounts. Third, <u>based</u> on the diffusion kinetics of ³He in quartz, we anticipate that any nucleogenic ³He produced in our samples the quartz of the samples o

- 680 <u>itself</u> over geologic timescales will be diffusively lost before the <u>quartzsampled rock surface</u> is exhumed at near-surface temperatures. Furthermore, the production rate of nucleogenic ³He is low compared to the cosmogenic production rate of ³He. We do not have <u>direct data of major</u> and trace element<u>data</u> for the MBTP and GELM samples in order to calculate the nucleogenic ³He production rate directly. However, we can say that a rough maximum estimate for the production rate of nucleogenic ³He in the GELM samples is ~1 at/g/yr, which is based on a maximum Li concentration of 70 ppm for the Aare
- granite (Schaltegger and Krähenbühl, 1990) and the production rate estimate of Farley et al. (2006) for an 'average' granite. This is 0.3% of the local, scaled production rate of cosmogenic ³He for sample GELM18-9, which has the lowest cosmogenic ³He production rate of all of our samples. The combined low retentivity and smallGiven this maximum production rate estimate for nucleogenic ³He, and using the Holocene-calibrated diffusion kinetics for our samples, we estimate that the maximum steady-state concentration of nucleogenic ³He indicate that this does not contribute significantly to our-is 2.8 × 10⁴ atoms/g, which is two orders of magnitude smaller than the measured ³He concentrations, in our samples and well within the uncertainties of those measurements. It is therefore unlikely to affect that not correcting for nucleogenic ³He affected our

modeled - AEDTs in any significant way.

5.2.3 ³He diffusion kinetics and ³He thermal signal characterization

Cosmogenic ³He paleothermometry is still in its early stage of development for application to Quaternary geology (Tremblay et al., 2014a, b; 2018). At present, there are nontrivial uncertainties related to the interpretation of ³He diffusion kinetics in quartz, specifically regarding how to extrapolate diffusion kinetics data obtained in laboratory experiments down to Earth surface temperatures in order to interpret natural cosmogenic ³He concentrations.

Noble gas diffusion in minerals is generally assumed to have an Arrhenius-type dependence on temperature, where diffusivity increases exponentially with temperature, and inversely with the diffusion domain size (e.g., Baxter, 2010 and references therein). Interestingly, theoretical studies investigating the fundamentals of ³He diffusion in quartz predict considerably lower *E_a* (and much higher diffusivity) than expected when considering a perfect quartz crystal (~20 to 50 kJ/mol; Kalashnikov et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2016; Domingos et al., 2020; Liu et al[±], 2021), the latter suggesting that no ³He should be retained over geological timescales at Earth surface temperatures. These results are, however, in contradiction with common observations of ³He retention in natural rock surfaces (e.g., Brook et al., 1993; Brook and Kurz, 1993; Tremblay et al., 2018) and with typical *E_a* values empirically determined from laboratory-degassing stepwise-heating experiments (between 70 to and 100 kJ/mol; Shuster and Farley, 2005; Tremblay et al., 2014b). Furthermore, previous ³He-degassing stepwise-heating experiments conducted on quartz from various origins indicate a large variability in diffusion kinetics (i.e., *E_a* and *D₀*) and diffusion behavior, wherein some quartz samples exhibit complex ³He diffusion behavior while others exhibit a simple, linear Arrhenius dependence (Tremblay et al[±], 2014b). Both the observed variability and the discrepancy with theoretical predictions suggest

710 that ³He diffusion in natural quartz is largely governed by sample-specific crystal defects (e.g., structural defects, radiation

damages; Domingos et al., 2020), advocating for the use of sample-specific diffusion kinetics (Tremblay et al., 2014b). Complex, non-linear diffusion behavior has been previously observed for argon diffusion in feldspar (e.g., Berger and York, 1981; Harrison and McDougall, 1982) that is analogous to the complex ³He diffusion behavior observed in some quartz samples. Lovera et al. (1989; 1991) proposed a multi-diffusion domain (MDD) model to account for complex argon diffusion

715 behavior, which describes the simultaneous diffusion of discrete, non-interacting intracrystalline sub-domains (e.g., sub-grain fragments) characterized by different effective diffusion lengthscales. Tremblay et al. (2014b) applied the MDD model framework to ³He diffusion in quartz for samples that exhibited complex Arrhenius behavior, and we have adopted the same approach here.

However, it remains an open question as to whether MDD-type models are applicable to quartz ³He paleothermometry. In

- 720 Antarctica (Pensacola Mountains), both a single-diffusion domain model using diffusion kinetics from Shuster and Farley (2005) and a two-domain model using kinetics from four local erratics could successfully explain the ³He signal observed in a series of Holocene samples (Tremblay et al., 2014a; Balco et al., 2016), with a similar predicted ³He concentration evolution between the two approaches over this timescale (Balco et al., 2016). However, each approach could only partially explain the ³He signal recorded in samples with older ¹⁰Be exposure ages, with complex exposure history and/or significant inter-sample
- 725 variability in diffusion kinetics (e.g., different quartz sources for the sandstone lithology) likely acting as compounding factors (Balco et al., 2016). Additional quartz ³He analyses using a MDD model and sample-specific diffusion kinetics were recently conducted on moraine boulders from the Gesso Valley in the Italian Alps with LGM to Late Glacial chronologies (Tremblay et al., 2018). PaleoIsoEDTs within the range of their respective modern EDTs were obtained for two out of five samples, with no clear trend between paleoIsoEDTs and boulder (¹⁰Be) exposure ages/relative moraine age, in addition to significant intra-
- moraine variability. Tremblay et al. (2018) highlighted multiple sources of potential uncertainties related to local shading effects (i.e., vegetation, snow cover, topography), grain-size scaling, and complex boulder exposure histories, which could have contributed to the observed ³He signal inconsistencies.

735

In this study, bedrock-surface samples were purposefully collected along high-elevation valley profiles progressively deglaciated between the LGM and Holocene, with the aim to limit the potential for complex exposure (cf. Sect. 5.2). Diffusion kinetics parameters were measured on one representative sample per profile (MBTP18-9 and GELM18-1). Although inter-

- sample diffusion kinetics variability cannot be excluded, the apparent homogeneous igneous lithology along each profile supports the representativeness of our chosen sample per profile for diffusion kinetics experiments. Based on this first-order assumption, we noted different ³He diffusion trends between MBTP and GELM representative samples. MBTP quartz exhibits a nearly simple (i.e., linear; Fig. 3a) Arrhenius diffusion behavior, and measured ³He concentrations recorded along the MBTP
- profile can potentially be interpreted as at quasi-equilibrium with respect to modern EDTs (despite a slight trend towards <u>a</u> colder signal) when considering the potential sources of uncertainty (e.g., Holocene EDT, P_{3He} etc., Sect. 5.1 and 5.2; based on the Holocene-calibrated diffusion kinetics). On the contrary, GELM quartz is characterized by complex ³He diffusion behavior (Fig. 3b), and bedrock surfaces record a ³He thermal signal that is apparently well colder than their modern EDTs when using diffusion kinetics derived from a MDD framework (calibrated on Holocene samples). This apparent divergence

- 745 cannot be resolved within the multiple sources of geologic uncertainties, nor <u>it-can_it</u> be explained by plausible fluctuations in thermal variables (i.e., mean annual temperature and diurnal/annual amplitudes) during the Late Glacial and Holocene time periods. One possible interpretation of these results would be that the MDD model we applied to the GELM samples does not accurately represent ³He diffusion in quartz that occurred during exposure time. This could be because the MDD model does not adequately represent the physical process of ³He diffusion in quartz. From a mineralogical perspective, it is indeed unclear
- 750 if potential processes involved in the formation of sub(-grain) domains (e.g., cooling, alteration, deformation) are consistent with the assumed conditions of the MDD model, i.e., disconnected sub-domains with fixed volumes, Fickian and isotropic diffusion, and zero concentration boundary conditions (e.g., Lovera et al., 1991; Baxter-et al., 2010). While MDD models have been successfully applied in a number of thermochronology applications (Reiners et al., 2005 and references therein), deformation processes may also lead to interconnected sub-grain microstructures (e.g., Reddy et al., 1999), in which case the
- MDD model may be inappropriate for obtaining accurate thermal constraints, as already acknowledged in the literature (e.g., Lovera et al., 2002; Harrison and Lovera, 2013). On another the other hand, alternative diffusion models involving multi-path diffusion (e.g., Lee, 1995) are also sufferingsuffer from substantial theoretical and experimental gaps (Baxter, 2010; Harrison and Lovera, 2013; Baxter et al., 2010).

Alternatively, we cannot rule out that a MDD model for quartz ³He paleothermometry (Tremblay et al., 2014b) is applicable

- on both MBTP and GELM quartz, but that the diffusion kinetics and/or the predictive ³He diffusion model over Earth surface temperatures are is inaccurately constrained. The MDD models we implemented do not provide unique solutions to our laboratory-measured diffusion kinetics, which we then extrapolate down to Earth surface temperatures (<30°C). This is illustrated by the significant difference between modern EDTs and estimated paleoIsoEDTs observed for Holocene samples (both MBTP and GELM sites) when using laboratory-derived diffusion kinetics without Holocene calibration (Fig. <u>\$485</u>), which therefore supports the additional Holocene calibration step applied in this study. However, our chosen approach still
 - remains relatively crude considering all possible uncertainties related to samples thermal and exposure history (Sect. 5.2 and

5.3). As a consequence Potential role of permafrost processes

<u>At last</u>, we maymust consider that alternative environmental factors besides paleoclimate air temperature variation which may influence ground surface conditions and explain the apparently <u>³He</u> colder signals recorded by ³He analyses along both profiles

(although less pronounced in MBTP) are real, but that these cannot currently be well quantified in terms of surface paleoEDTs.our samples. We also compiled all quartz ³He paleoIsoEDTs available in the European Alps (Tremblay et al., 2018; Guralnik et al., 2018; this study; Fig. 10). Interestingly, while this This compilation, which includes samples with exposure ages ranging from the LGM to the Holocene, reveals no apparent relationship with between ³He paleoIsoEDT and ¹⁰Be exposure age (from LGM to Holocene; Fig. 10a);). However, we do observe an apparenta negative correlation between samplessample paleoIsoEDT and elevation (Fig. 10b). Furthermore, while samples at low to moderate elevations have paleoIsoEDTs that are relatively consistent with their estimated modern EDTs along an apparent linear lapse rate (around - 0.5°C/100m lapse rate), paleoIsoEDTs recorded in rock surfaces above ~2200 m a.s.l. clearly depart from modern EDTs/lapse

rate trend with significantly "colder" ³He signals. Although the compiled Alpine dataset is still limited, such an observed distribution raises the question of the influence of rock-surface elevation on ³He signal records. One hypothesis is that ³He 780 release from quartz minerals over geological timescales is less effective than predicted by current ³He diffusion models for the eolder temperatures ranges inherent to high-elevation settings. Alternatively, we can suggest<u>One hypothesis is</u> that the recorded "colder" ³He signals in high-elevation samples may reflect recent changes in Alpine permafrost ground conditions. Indeed, bedrock-surface samples around or above ~2200 m are located close to or in the lower range of sporadic to discontinuous permafrost distribution in the present-day Alps (Magnin et al., 2015a; Boeckli et al., 2012b; Magnin et al., 2015a). Recent 785 warming after the Little Ice Age is expected to have led to permafrost degradation and restriction of its spatial distribution towards higher elevations (Magnin et al., 2015a, 2017). We hence cannot exclude that those high-elevation bedrock surfaces may have experienced permanent permafrost conditions until recently (i.e., last tens to hundreds of years), where the past MARSTs were thus lower (sub-zero range) than modern MARST estimates scaled on mean annual air temperature (Table 1; Sect. 3.1.). In that case, the recent change in climate conditions over the last decades to centuries would have resulted in both 790 mean annual temperature increases and amplification of annual and diurnal temperature oscillations at the sampling sites greater than those constrained from air temperature records (Etzelmüller et al., 2020) due to the transition from a permafrost to non-permafrost zone. To test these hypotheses (i.e., ³He diffusion inaccurately constrained at Earth surface temperature and recent permafrost degradation effects) would a non-permafrost zone. This scenario would also be consistent with the apparent positive trend observed between ³He/¹⁰Be exposure age ratios and elevation especially for the GELM site (Fig. 2). This 795 apparent positive trend contrasts with the inverse relationship expected from rock surfaces with a temperature history dominantly controlled by post LGM ice lowering and general atmospheric warming (i.e., ³He/¹⁰Be exposure age ratio decreasing with elevation). To test the hypothesis about recent permafrost degradation effects would however require further quartz ³He measurements at high-elevations and in other Alpine/cold regions.



Figure 10: (a) Relationship between ³He paleoIsoEDT anomaly and ¹⁰Be exposure age from available data from moraine boulders and glacially-scoured bedrock surfaces in the Alps (results from this study, Tremblay et al., 2018 (GuessoGesso) and Guralnik et al., 2018 (Gotthard)). (b) Relationship between ³He paleoIsoEDT and elevation for same dataset as in (a).

6 Conclusion

- 805 Paleoglacier fluctuations in alpine settings lack direct constraints of associated past temperature and/or precipitation conditions. essential to improve our understanding of the response of glaciers and (para)glacial processes to past and future climate forcing changes. In this study, we applied quartz ³He cosmogenic paleothermometry to derive *in situ* paleo-temperature (EDT) estimates along two deglaciation sequences gradually exposed from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene in the western/northern European Alps (Mont Blanc and Aar massifs, MBTP and GELM respectively). Investigation of quartz ³He
- 810 diffusion kinetics indicates a clear difference between the two study sites, with quasi-linear vs. complex diffusion behaviorsfor MBTP and GELM sites, respectively. Based on the assumption that the same diffusion kinetics parameters apply to all samples at each site, forward numerical simulations of ³He production and diffusion suggest that no thermal signal from the Late Glacial period should be preserved in investigated rock surfaces with brief exposure durations (several kyr) before the transition to relatively stable Holocene climatic conditions like present-day. However, all our rock-surface samples exposed
- 815 prior to Holocene indicate an apparent ³He thermal signal significantly colder than present-day conditions. Our recorded ³He signals cannot be explained by realistic post-LGM mean annual temperature evolution in the European Alps (as recorded by other paleoclimatic proxies), neither by changes in annual and/or diurnal temperature oscillations at the study sites.

When accounting for potential uncertainties related to Holocene thermal conditions and the quartz ³He production rate, the ³He signals (Δ EDT) recorded along the MBTP site can potentially be interpreted to be close to equilibrium with present-820 day/Holocene conditions, with minor change in mean annual temperature or diurnal/annual temperature oscillations. However,

- ³He derived paleo-EDTs along the GELM site remain distinctively colder than present-day conditions. One hypothesis is that the multi-diffusion domain models applied to characterize the observed complex diffusion behavior in the GELM quartz does not accurately quantify quartz ³He diffusion infor the samples of this site throughout their exposure histories. Alternatively, if the general generally colder trend recorded along both profiles is possible, the assumed quartz ³He diffusion kinetics and 825 diffusion models may inaccurately extrapolate to Earth surface temperatures, precluding quantitative EDT constraints from the observed ³He abundances in these samples. Finally, considering the high elevations of the investigated rock-surface samples (>-2000 m), it is also possible that our ³He thermal signals result from much more recent changes in Alpine permafrost ground conditions during the past decades/centuries. While data presented in this study demonstrate the promising use of ³He cosmogenic paleothermometry to quantify past environmental changes, additional ³He analyses in high-alpine/cold settings would be necessary to clarify to which phenomena is-the ³He thermal signal is most responsive, i.e., between Late-Pleistocene 830

835

ambient temperature variations and recent changes in permafrost distribution.

Code availability

The source codes (with examples of input dataset) used to determine (1) ³He diffusion kinetics from a step-heating experiment applying a MDD model framework (example diffusion data from MBTP18-9) and to (2) conduct forward simulation of ³He production/ and diffusion along given for a prescribed time-EDT scenario (simplified LGM scenario for MBTP18 1 as example, Fig. 7) are available on Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5808021 (Tremblay, 2021). The MDD code includes example stepwise-heating data from the experiment on MBT18-9, while the forward simulation code includes as an example a simplified LGM to present thermal history scenario for MBTP18-1.

Data availability

840 No additional data are used in this paper that are not supplied in the Supplement.

Supplement link

The supplement related to this article is available online

Author contributions

NG and PGV designed the study. NG led fieldwork campaigns, with support of BG, and prepared samples for laboratory analysis. NG, GB, MMT and DLS conducted the measurements. NG performed the numerical experiments using the model developed by MMT and GB. NG led the manuscript preparation, with contributions from all co-authors to the analysis and interpretation of the data, manuscript writing and review.

Competing interests

Some authors are members of the editorial board of Geochronology. The peer-review process was guided by an independent editor. The authors declare no other conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

855

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant PP00P2_170559), with measurements at BGC in part supported by the Ann and Gordon Getty Foundation. PGV acknowledges support from the French ANR-PIA program (ANR-18-MPGA-0006). MMT acknowledges support from the U.S. National Science Foundation (OPP-1935945) and the AAAS Marion Milligan Mason Fund. We thank Benjamin and Fred Lehmann, and Guilhem A. Douillet for their help in the field to collect samples. We thank Dr. S. Niedermann and two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments which helped to improve this manuscript.

References

Abrecht, J.: Geologic units of the Aar massif and their pre-Alpine rock associations: a critical review, Schweizerische Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen, 74, 5–27199427, 1994.

Ackert, R.P., Mukhopadhyay, S., Pollard, D., DeConto, R.M., Putnam, A.E., and Borns, H.W.: West Antarctic Ice Sheet elevations in the Ohio Range: Geologic constraints and ice sheet modeling prior to the last highstand. Earth and Planetary Sc. Lett., 307, 1-2, 83–93, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.04.015, 2011.

865

Affolter, S., Häuselmann, A., Fleitmann, D., Lawrence Edwards, R., Cheng, H., and Leuenberger, M.: Central Europe temperature constrained by speleothem fluid inclusion water isotopes over the past 14,000 years, Science Advances, 5, eaav3809, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aav3809, 2019.

870 Allen, R, Siegert, M.J., and Payne, A: Reconstructing glacier-based climates of LGM Europe and Russia – Part 2: A dataset of LGM precipitation/temperature relations derived from degree-day modelling of palaeo glaciers, Clim. Past, 4, 249–263, doi:10.5194/cp-4-249-2008, 2008.

André, M-F.: Rates of postglacial rock weathering on glacially scoured outcrops (Abisko-Riksgränsen area, 68°N), Geogr. 875 Ann., 84, 139–150, doi:10.1111/j.0435-3676.2002.00168.x, 2002.

Balco, G.: Contributions and unrealized potential contributions of cosmogenic-nuclide exposure dating to glacier chronology, 1990-2010. Quaternary Sci. Rev., 30, 3–27, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.11.003, 2011.

Balco, G., Stone, J.O., Lifton, N.A., and Dunai, T.J.: A complete and easily accessible means of calculating surface exposure ages or erosion rates from ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al measurements, Quat. Geochronol., 3, 174–195, doi: 10.1016/j.quageo.2007.12.001, 2008.

Balco, G., Todd, C., Huybers, K., Campbell, S., Vermeulen, M., Hegland, M., Goehring, B.M., and Hillebrand, T.:
Cosmogenic-nuclide exposure ages from the Pensacola Mountains adjacent to the foundation ice stream, Antarctica, Am. J. Sci., 316, 542–577, doi:10.2475/06.2016.02, 2016.

Baroni, C., Gennaro, S., Cristina, M., Ivy-ochsOchs, S., Christl, M., Cerrato, R., and Orombelli, G.: Last Lateglacial glacier advance in the Gran Paradiso Group reveals relatively drier climatic conditions established in the Western Alps since at least
the Younger Dryas, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 255, 106815, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2021.106815, 2021.

Bartlein, P.J., Harrison, S.P., Brewer, S., Connor, S., Davis, B.A.S., Gajewski, K., Guiot, J., Harrison-Prentice, T.I., Henderson, A., Peyron, O., Prentice, I.C., <u>cholzeScholze</u>, M., Seppä, H., Shuman, B., Sugita, S., Thompson, R.S., Viau, E.A., Williams, J., and Wu, H.: Pollen-based continental climate reconstructions at 6 and 21 ka: a global synthesis, Clim. Dynam. 37, 775–802. doi:10.1007/s00382-010-0904-1, <u>20102011</u>.

895

Baxter, E.F.: Diffusion of noble gases in minerals, Rev. Mineral. Geochem., 72, 509-557, doi:-10.2138/rmg.2010.72.11, 2010.

Becker, P., Seguinot, J., Jouvet, G., and Funk, M.: Last Glacial Maximum precipitation pattern in the Alps inferred from glacier modelling, Geographica Helvetica 71, 173–187, <u>https://</u>doi.<u>org/:</u>10.5194/gh-71-173-2016, 2016.

Berger, G. W., and York, D., and Dunlop, D. J.: Calibration of Grenvillian palaeopoles by.: Geothermometry from ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dating, Nature 277, 46–48 experiments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 45, 795–811, doi:10.1038/277046a0, 19791016/0016-7037(81)90109-5, 1981.

905

Beyerle, U., Purtschert, R., Aeschbach-Hertig, W., Imboden, D.M., Loosli, H.H., Wieler, R., and Kipfer, R.: Climate and Groundwater Recharge During the Last Glaciation in an Ice Covered Region, Science 282, 731–734, doi: 10.1126/science.282.5389.731, 1998.

910 Boeckli L., Brenning A., Gruber S., and Noetzli J.: A statistical approach to modelling permafrost distribution in the European Alps or similar mountain ranges, The Cryosphere, 6, 125–140, doi:10.5194/tc-6-125-2012, 2012a.

Boeckli, L., Brenning, A., Gruber, S., and Noetzli, J.: Permafrost distribution in the European Alps: calculation and evaluation of an index map and summary statistics, The Cryosphere, 6, 807–820, doi:10.5194/tc-6-807-2012, 2012b.

915

Borchers, B., Marrero, S.M., Balco, G., Caffee, M., Goehring, B., Lifton, N., Nishiizumi, K., Phillips, F.M., Schaefer, J., and Stone, J.O.: Geological calibration of spallation production rates in the CRONUS-Earth project, Quat. Geochronol., 31, 188–198, doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2015.01.009, 20152016.

920 Brook, E.J., and Kurz, M.D.: Surface-exposure chronology using in situ cosmogenic ³He in antarctic quartz sandstone boulders, Quaternary Res., 39, 1–10, doi:10.1017/S0954102008001478, 1993. Brook, E.J., Kurz, M.D., Ackert Jr., R.P., Denton, G.H., Brown, E.T., Raisbeck, G.M., and Yiou, F.: Chronology of glacier advances in Arena Valley, Antarctica, using in situ cosmogenic ³He and ¹⁰Be, Quaternary Res., 39, 11–23, doi: 10.1006/gres.1993.1002, 1993.

925

Buizert, C., Keisling, B. A., Box, J. E., He, F., Carlson, A. E., Sinclair, G., and DeConto, R. M.: Greenland-wide seasonal temperatures during the last deglaciation, Geophys. Res. Lett. 45, 1905–1914, doi:10.1002/2017GL075601, 2018.

930 Cerling, T.E., and Craig, H.: Cosmogenic ³He production rates from 39°N to 46°N latitude, western USA and France, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 58, 249-255, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(94)90462-6, 1994.

Christodoulides, C., Ettinger, K.V., and Fremlin, J.H.: The use of TL glow peaks at equilibrium in the examination of the thermal and radiation history of materials, Mod. Geol. 2, 275-280, 1971.

935

Clark, P.U., Dyke, A.S., Shakun, J.D., Carlson, A.E., Clark, J., Wohlfarth, B., Mitrovica, J.X., Hostetler, S.W. and McCabe, A.M.: The Last Glacial Maximum, Science, 325, 710-714, doi:10.1126/science.1172873, 2009.

Clark, P.U., Shakun, J.D., Baker P.A., Bartlein, P.J., Brewer, S., Brook, E., Carlson, A.E., Cheng, H., Kaufman, D.S., Liu, Z.,

- Marchitto, T.M., Mix, A.C., Morrill, C., Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Pahnk, K., Russell, J.M., Whitlock, C., Adkins, J.F., Blois, J.L., 940 Clark, J., Colman, S.M., Curry, W.B., Flower, B.P., He, F., Johnson, T.C., Lynch-Stieglitz, J., Markgraf, V., McManus, J., Mitrovica, J.X., Moreno, P.I., and Williams, J.W.: Global climate evolution during the last deglaciation, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, May 109, 19, E1134-E1142, doi:10.1073/pnas.1116619109, 2012.
- Cook, S.J., Swift, D.A., Kirkbride, M.P., Knight, P.G., and Waller, R.I.: The empirical basis for modelling glacial erosion 945 rates, Nat. Commun., 11, 759, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14583-8, 2020.

Davis, B.A.S., Brewer, S., Stevenson, A.C., Guiot, J., and Data contributors: The temperature of Europe during the Holocene reconstructed from pollen data, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 22, 1701–1716, doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(03)00173-2, 2003.

950

Dielforder, A., and Hetzel, R.: The deglaciation history of the Simplon region (southern Swiss Alps) constrained by ¹⁰Be exposure dating of ice-molded bedrock surfaces, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 84, 26–38, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.11.008, 2014.

Dobmeier, C...: Variscan P-T deformation paths from the southwestern Aiguilles Rouges massif (External massif, western Alps) and their implication for its tectonic evolution, Geol. Rundsch. 87, 107–123, doi:10.1007/s005310050193, 1998. 955

Domingos, R, Tremblay, M.M., Shuster, D.L., and Militzer, B.: Simulations and Experiments Reveal Effect of Nanopores on Helium Diffusion in Quartz, ACS Earth Space Chem., 4, 1906–1912, doi:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.0c00187, 2020.

960 Ehlers, J., Gibbard, P.L., and Hughes, P.D., (Eds.): Quaternary Glaciations - Extent and Chronology: A Closer Look, Developments in Quaternary Science, v. 15. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2011.

Etzelmüller, B., Guglielmin, M., Hauck, C., Hilbich, C. Hoelzle, M., Isaksen, K., Noetzli, J., Oliva, M., and Ramos, M.: Twenty years of European mountain permafrost dynamics—the PACE legacy, Environ. Res. Lett. 15, 104070, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/abae9d, 2020.

Eynaud, F., de Abreu, L., Voelker, A., SchönfldSchönfeld, J., Salgueiro, E., Turon, J-L., Penaud, A., Toucanne, S., Naughton F., Sanchez Goñi, M.F., Malizé, B., and Cacho, I.: Position of the Polar Front along the western Iberian margin during key cold episodes of the last 45 ka, Geochem₅₂ Geophys. Geosyst., 10, Q07U05, doi:10.1029/2009GC002398, 2009.

970

965

Farley, K.A., Libarkin, J., Mukhopadhyay, S., and Amidon, W.: Cosmogenic and nucleogenic He-3 in apatite, titanite, and zircon, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 248, 451–461, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.06.008, 2006.

Fechtig H., and Kalbitzer S.: The diffusion of argon in potassium-bearing solids. In Potassium–Argon Dating (Eds. O. A.
Schaeffer and J. ZahringerZähringer), Springer, Heidelberg, 1966.

Fick, S.E., and Hijmans, R.J.: WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas, Int. J. Climatol., 37, 4302–4315, doi:10.1002/joc.5086, 2017.

980 Florineth, D., and Schlüchter, C.: Alpine evidence for atmospheric circulation patterns in Europe during the Last Glacial Maximum, Quaternary Res., 54, 295–308, doi:10.1006/qres.2000.2169, 2000.

Ghadiri, E., Vogel, N., Brennwald, M.S., Maden, C., Häuselmann, A.D., Fleitmann, D., Cheng, H., and Kipfer, R.: Noble gasbased temperature reconstruction on a Swiss stalagmite from the last glacial-interglacial transition and its comparison with other climate records, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 495, 192–201. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.05.019, 2018.

Ghadiri, E., Affolter, S., Brennwald, M.S., Fleitmann, D., Häuselmann, A.D., Cheng, H., Maden, C., Leuenberger, M., and Kipfer, R.: Estimation of temperature – altitude gradients during the Pleistocene–Holocene transition from Swiss stalagmites, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 544, 116387, doi:-10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116387, 2020.

990

985

Goehring, B.M., Kurz, M.D., Balco, G., Schaefer, J.M., Licciardi, J., and Lifton, N.: A reevaluation of in situ cosmogenic ³He production rates, Quat. Geochronol. 5, 410–418, doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2010.03.001, 2010.

Grämiger, L. M., Moore, J. R., Gischig, V. S., and Loew, S.: Thermomechanical stresses drive damage of Alpine valley rock
walls during repeat glacial cycles. J. Geophys. Res.-Earth, 123, 2620–2646, doi:10.1029/2018JF004626, 2018.

Gribenski, N., Valla, P.G., Preusser, F., Roattino, T., Crouzet, C., and Buoncristiani, J.-F.: Out-of-phase Late Pleistocene glacial maxima in the Western Alps reflect past changes in North Atlantic atmospheric circulation. Geology, 49, 1096–1101, doi:10.1130/G48688.1, 2021.

1000

Gruber, S., Peter, M., Hoelzle, M., Woodhatch, I., and Haeberli, W.: Surface temperatures in steep alpine rock faces: a strategy for regional-scale measurement and modelling, Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Permafrost (Eds. Arenson, L.), Zürich, 325–330, 2003.

1005 Gruber, S., Hoelzle, M., and Haeberli, W.: Rock-wall temperatures in the Alps: modelling their topographic distribution and regional differences, Permafrost Periglac., 15, 299–307, doi:10.1002/ppp.501, 2004.

Guralnik, B., Tremblay, M.M., Phillips, M., Gribenski, N., Valla, P., and Hippe, K.: Cosmogenic ³He-¹⁰Be-¹⁴C dating quantifies Alpine climate response to global warming, Goldschmidt Conference, Boston, USA, 2018.

1010

Haberkorn, A., Wever, N., Hoelzle, M., Phillips, M., Kenner, R., Bavay, M., and Lehning, M.: Distributed snow and rock temperature modelling in steep rock walls using Alpine3D, Cryosphere, 11(1), 585–607, doi:10.5194/tc-11-585-2017, 2017.

Haeberli, W., Huggel, C., Paul, F., and Zemp, M.: The response of glaciers to climate change: observations and impacts, In:
James, Allan; Harden, Carol; Clague, John. Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences, Elsevier, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-818234-5.00011-0, 2020.

Hallet, B.A.: Theoretical Model of Glacial Abrasion, J. Glac. 23, 39–50, doi:10.3189/S0022143000029725, 1979.

1020 Harrison, T.M., and McDougall, I.: The thermal significance of potassium feldspar K. Ar ages inferredfrom ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar age spectrum results, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 46, 1811–1820, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(82)90120-X, 1982.

Harrison, T.M., and Lovera, O.M.: The multi-diffusion domain model: past, present and future, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 378, 91–106, doi:10.1144/SP378.9, 2013.

1025

1035

040

Harrison, T.M., and McDougall, I.: The thermal significance of potassium feldspar K–Ar ages inferred from ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar age spectrum results, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 46, 1811–1820, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(82)90120-X, 1982.

Hasler, A., Gruber, S., and Haeberli, W.: Temperature variability and offset in steep alpine rock and ice faces, The Cryosphere,
5, 977–988, doi:10.5194/tc-5-977-2011, 2011.

Heiri, O., Koinig, K.A., Spötl, C., Barrett, S., Brauer, A., Drescher-Schneider, R., Gaar, D., Ivy-Ochs, S., Kerschner, H., Luetscher, M., Moran, A., Nicolussi, K., Preusser, F., Schmidt, R., Schoeneich, P., Schwörer, C., Sprafke, T., Terhorst, B., and Tinner, W.: Palaeoclimate records 60–8 ka in the Austrian and Swiss Alps and their forelands, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 106, 186–205, doi-:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.05.021, 2014a.

Heiri, O., Brooks, S., <u>Renssen, H.,</u> Bedford, A., Hazekamp, M., Ilyashuk, B., Jeffers, E. S., Lang, B., Kirilova, E., Kuiper, S., Millet, L., Samartin, S., <u>TóthToth</u>, M., Verbruggen, F., Watson, J., <u>van</u> Asch, N., Renssen, H., Lammertsma, E., <u>Amon, L.</u>, Birks, H.<u>H</u>., Birks, H.J.B., Mortensen, M.F., Hoek, W.Z., Magyari, E., Muñoz Sobrino, C., Seppä, H., Tinner, W., Tonkov, <u>S., Vesli, S</u>., and Lotter, A.<u>F</u>.: Validation of climate model-inferred regional temperature change for late-glacial Europe, Nat.

Commun., 5, 1–7, doi:10.1038/ncomms5914, 2014b.

Herman, F., De Doncker, F., Delaney, I., Prasicek, G., and Koppes, M.: The impact of glaciers on mountain erosion, Nature Rev. Earth Environ., 2 (6), 422–435, doi:10.1038/s43017-021-00165-9, 2021.

1045

Ivy-Ochs, S.: Glacier variations in the European Alps at the end of the last glaciation, Cuad. Investig. Geografica, 41, 295–315, doi:10.18172/cig.2750, 2015.

Jaeger, J.M., and Koppes M.N: The role of the cryosphere in source-to-sink systems, Earth-Sci. Rev., 153, 43–76, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.09.011, 2016.

Koppes, M., Hallet, B., Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Wellner, J.S., and Boldt, K.: Observed latitudinal variations in erosion as a function of glacier dynamics. Nature, 526, 100–103, doi:10.1038/nature15385, 2015.

Kalashnikov, E., Tolstikhin, I., Lehmann, B., and Pevzner, B.: Helium transport along lattice channels in crystalline quartz, J.
 Phys. Chem. Solids, 64, 2293–2300, doi:10.1016/S0022-3697(03)00264-6, 2003.

Kapannusch, R., Scherler, D., King G., and WittmanWittmann, H.: Glacial influence on late Pleistocene ¹⁰Be-derived paleoerosion rates in the north-western Himalaya, India, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 547, 116441, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116441, 2020.

Kelly, M.A., Ivy-Ochs, S., Kubik, P., von Blanckenburg, F., and Schlüchter, C.: Chronology of deglaciation based on ¹⁰Be dates of glacial erosional features in the Grimsel Pass region, central Swiss Alps, Boreas, 35, 634–643, doi:10.1080/03009480600690829, 2006.

1065

1060

Kerschner, H., and Ivy-Ochs, S.: Palaeoclimate from glaciers: examples from the Eastern Alps during the Alpine Lateglacial and early Holocene, Global Planet. Change, 60, 58–71, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.07.034, 2008.

<u>Kerschner, H., Kaser, G., and Sailer, R.: Alpine Younger Dryas glaciers as paleo-precipitation gauges, Ann. Glaciol., 31, 80–</u>
 <u>84, doi:10.3189/172756400781820237, 2000.</u>

Koppes, M., Hallet, B., Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Wellner, J.S., and Boldt, K.: Observed latitudinal variations in erosion as a function of glacier dynamics, Nature, 526, 100–103, doi:10.1038/nature15385, 2015.

Kerschner, H., Kaser, G., and Sailer, R.: Alpine Younger Dryas glaciers as paleo-precipitation gauges, Ann. Glaciol., 31, 80– 075 84, doi:10.3189/172756400781820237, 2000.

Kuhlemann, J., Rohling, E.J., Krumrei, I., Kubik, I., Ivy-Ochs, S., Kucera, M.: Regional synthesis of Mediterranean atmospheric circulation during the Last Glacial Maximum, Science, 321, 1338–1340, doi:10.1126/science.1157638, 2008.

Labhart, TP.: Aarmassiv und Gotthardmassiv, GebruderGebrüder Borntraeger Verlagsbuchhandlung, Stuttgart, 1977.

Lee, J. K. W.: Multipath diffusion in geochronology, Contrib. Mineral. Petr., 120, 60-82, doi:10.1007/BF00311008, 1995.

Lehmann, B., Herman, F., Valla, P.G., King, G.E., Biswas, R.H., Ivy-Ochs, S., Steinemann, O., and Christl, M.: Postglacial
erosion of bedrock surfaces and deglaciation timing: New insights from the Mont Blanc massif (western Alps), Geology, 48,
139–144, doi:10.1130/G46585.1, 2020.

Li, H., Spötl, C., and Cheng, H.: A high-resolution speleothem proxy record of the Late Glacial in the European Alps: extending the NALPS19 record until the beginning of the Holocene, J. Quat. Sci., 36, 29–39, doi:10.1002/jqs.3255, 2021.

1090

Lin, K.J., Ding, H., and Demkowicz, M.J.: Formation, migration, and clustering energies of interstitial He in α -quartz and β -cristobalite, J. Nucl. Mater., 479, 224–231, doi:10.1016/j.jnucmat.2016.06.049, 2016.

HongLiu, H., Wang, L., Lingling, W., Shuchen, L., YaochunLi, S., Yang, Y., Hua, T., Fengxia, S., Chaowen, X., Lei, L., Yi,
 L., YuejuTian, H., Sun, F., Xu, C., Ying, LLiu, L., Li, Y., Cui, Y., Li, Y. and Jijun, XZhao, J.: A first-principles study of helium diffusion in quartz and coesite under high pressure up to 12GPa, Geosci. Front., 12(2), 1001–1009, doi:10.1016/j.gsf.2020.09.009, 2021.

Lovera, O.M., Richter, F.M., and Harrison, T.M.: The ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar thermochronometry for slowly cooled samples having a distribution of diffusion domain sizes, J. Geophys. Res., 94, 17917-17935, doi:10.1029/JB094iB12p17917, 1989.

Lovera, O.M., Richter, F.M., and Harrison, T. M.: Diffusion domains determined by ³⁹Ar release during step heating, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 2057–2069, doi:10.1029/90JB02217, 1991.

- 1105 Lovera, O.M., Grove, M., and Harrison, T.M.: Systematic analysis of K-feldspar ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar step-heating experiments II: relevance of laboratory K-feldspar argon diffusion properties to Nature, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 66, 1237–1255, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(01)00846-8, 2002.
- Luetscher, M., Boch, R., Sodemann, H., Spötl, C., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Frisia, S., Hof, F., and Müller, W.: North Atlantic
 storm track changes during the Last Glacial Maximum recorded by Alpine speleothems, Nature Comm., 6, 6344, doi:10.1038/ncomms7344, 2015.

Magnin, F., Brenning, A., Bodin, X., Deline, P., and Ravanel, L.: Statistical modelling of rock wall permafrost distribution: application to the Mont Blanc massif, Geomorphologie, 21, 145–162, doi:10.4000/geomorphologie.10965, 2015a.

1115

Magnin, F., Deline, P., Ravanel, L., Noetzli, J., and Pogliotti, P.: Thermal characteristics of permafrost in the steep alpine rock walls of the Aiguille du Midi (Mont Blanc Massif, 3842m a.s.l), The Cryosphere, 9, 109–121, doi:10.5194/tc-9-109-201, 2015b.

1120 Magnin, F., Josnin, J.-Y., Ravanel, L., Pergaud, J., Pohl, B., and Deline, P.: Modelling rock wall permafrost degradation in the Mont Blanc massif from the LIA to the end of the 21st century, The Cryosphere, 11, 1813–1834, doi:10.5194/tc-11-1813-2017, 2017. Magny, M., Guiot, J., and Schoellammer, P.: Quantitative Reconstruction of Younger Dryas to Mid-Holocene Paleoclimates

at Le Locle, Swiss Jura, Using Pollen and Lake-Level Data, Quaternary Res., 56(2), doi:10.1006/qres.2001.2257, 170–180, 2001.

Mair, D., Lechmann, A., Delunel, R., Yesilyurt, S., Tikhomirov, D., Vockenhubr, C., Christl, M., Akçar, N., and Schlunegger,
F.: The role of frost cracking in local denudation of steep Alpine rockwalls over millennia (Eiger, Switzerland), Earth Surf.
Dynam., 8, 637–659, doi:10.5194/esurf-8-637-2020, 2020.

Mariotti, A., Blard, PHP.-H., Charreau, J., Toucanne, S., Jorry, S.J., Molliex, S., Bourlès, D.L., Aumaître, G., and Keddadouche, K.: Nonlinear forcing of climate on mountain denudation during glaciations, Nat. Geosci., 14, 16–22, doi:10.1038/s41561-020-00672-2, 2021.

1135

1150

1130

Martin, L.C.P., Blard, P.H., Lavé, J., Jomelli, V., Charreau, J., Condom, T., Lupker, M., and ASTER Team: Antarctic-like temperature variations in the Tropical Andes recorded by glaciers and lakes during the last deglaciation, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 247, 106542, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2020.106542, 2020.

- 140 Masarik, J., and Reedy, R.C.: Monte Carlo simulation of the in situ produced cosmogenic nuclides, Radiocarbon, 38, 163, http://206.189.126.38:8081/index.php/radiocarbon/article/view/1890, 1996Terrestrial cosmogenic-nuclide production systematics calculated from numerical simulations, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 136, 381–395, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(95)00169-D, 1995.
- 1145 Monegato, G., Scardia, G., Hajdas, I., Rizzini, F., and Piccin, A.: The Alpine LGM in the boreal ice-sheets game, Nature Sci. reports, 7, 2078, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-02148-7, 2017.

Moseley, G.E., Spötl, C., Brandstätter, S., Erhardt, T., Luetscher, M., and Edwards, R.L.: NALPS19: sub-orbital-scale climate variability recorded in northern Alpine speleothems during the last glacial period, Climate of the Past, 16, 29–50, doi:10.5194/cp-16-29-2020, 2020.

NGRIP members: High-resolution record of Northern Hemisphere climate extending into the last interglacial period, Nature, 43, 147–151, doi:10.1038/nature02805, 2004.

1155 Nichols, K.A., and Goehring, B.: Isolation of quartz for cosmogenic in situ ¹⁴C analysis, Geochronology, 1, 43–52, doi: 10.5194/gchron-1-43-2019, 2019. Niedermann, S: Cosmic-Ray-Produced Noble Gases in Terrestrial Rocks: Dating Tools for Surface Process, Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry 47, 731–784, doi:10.2138/rmg.2002.47.16, 2002.

1160

- Peyron, O., Guiot, J., Cheddadi, R., Tarasov, P., Reille, M., de Beaulieu, J-<u>.-</u>L., Bottema, S., and Andrieu, V.: Climatic Reconstruction in Europe for 18,000 YR B.P. from Pollen Data, Quaternary Res., 49(2), 183–196, doi:10.1006/qres.1997.1961, 1998.
- 1165 Protin, M., Schimmelpfennig, I., Mugnier, J-L., Ravanel, L., Le Roy, M., Deline, P., Favier, V., and Buoncristiani, J-F.: Climatic reconstruction for the Younger Dryas/Early Holocene transition and the Little Ice Age based on paleo-extents of Argentière glacier (French Alps), Quaternary Sci. Rev., 221, 105863, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.105863, 2019.

Prud'homme, C., Vassallo, R., Crouzet, C., Cracaillet, J., Mugnier, J-L., and Cortès-Aranda, J.: Paired ¹⁰Be sampling of
 polished bedrock and erratic boulders to improve dating of glacial landforms: an example from the Western Alps, Earth Surf.
 Proc. Land., 45, 1168–1180, doi:10.1002/esp.4790, 2020.

Reddy, S.M., Potts, G.J., Kelley, S.P., and Arnaud, N.O.: The effects of deformation-induced microstructures on intragrain ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages in potassium feldspar, Geology, 27, 363–366, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1999)027<0363:TEODIM>2.3.CO;2, 1175
1999.

Reiners, P.W., Ehlers, T.A., and Zeitler, P.K.: Past, Present, and Future of Thermochronology, Rev. Mineral. Geochem., 58, 1–18, doi:10.2138/rmg.2005.58.1, 2005.

1180 Schaltegger, U., and Krähenbühl, U.: Heavy rare-earth element enrichment in granites of the Aar Massif (Central Alps, Switzerland), Chemical Geology, 89, 49–63, doi: 10.1016/0009-2541(90)90059-G, 1990.

Seguinot, J., Ivy-Ochs, S., Jouvet, G., Huss, M., Funk, M., and Preusser, F.: Modelling last glacial cycle ice dynamics in the Alps, The Cryosphere, 12, 3265–3285, doi:10.5194/tc-12-3265, 2018.

1185

Seltzer, A.M., Ng, J., Aeschbach, W., Kipfer, R., Kulongoski, J.T., Severinghaus, J.P., and Stute, M.: Widespread six degrees Celsius cooling on land during the Last Glacial Maximum, Nature, 593, 228–232, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03467-6, 2021.

Serra, E., Valla, P. G., Gribenski, N., Carcaillet, and Deline, P.: Post-LGM glacial and geomorphic evolution of the Dora
Baltea valley (western Italian Alps), Quat. Sci. Rev., 282, 107446, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2022.107446, 2022.

Shuster, D.L., and Farley, K.A.: Diffusion kinetics of proton induced ²¹Ne, ³He, and ⁴He in quartz, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 69, 2349–2359, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2004.11.002, 2005.

1195 Shuster, D.L., Farley, K.A., Sisterson, J.M., and Burnett D.S.: Quantifying the diffusion kinetics and spatial distributions of radiogenic ⁴He in minerals containing proton-induced ³He, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 217, 19–32, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(03)00594-6, 2004.

Stone, J.O.: Air pressure and cosmogenic isotope production, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 753–759, doi:10.1029/2000JB900181, 2000.

Tremblay, M.M., Shuster D.L., and Balco, G.: Cosmogenic noble gas paleothermometry, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 400, 195–205, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.05.040, 2014a.

205 Tremblay, M.M., <u>Gribenski, N., Valla, P.G., Balco, G., Guralnik, B., and & Shuster, D.L.: Thermochronology-At-Purdue/Gribenski2022: Gribenski2022 submissionv1.0.0 (v1.0.0). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5808021, 2021.</u>

<u>Tremblay, M.M.</u>, Shuster, D.L., and Balco, G.: Diffusion Kinetics of ³He and ²¹Ne in Quartz and Implications for Cosmogenic Noble Gas Paleothermometry, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 142, 186–204, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.08.010, 2014b.

1210

Tremblay, <u>M.</u>M., Shuster, D., Spagnolo, M., Renssen, H., and Ribolini, A.: Temperatures recorded by cosmogenic noble gases since the last glacial maximum in the Maritime Alps, Quaternary Res., 91(2), 829–847, doi:10.1017/qua.2018.109, 2018.

Tremblay, M.: Thermochronology At Purdue/Gribenski2022: Gribenski2022_submissionv1.0.0 (v1.0.0), Zenodo [code], 215 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5808021, 2021.

Vermeesch, P., Baur, H., Heber, V.S., Kober, F., Oberholzer, P., Schaefer, J.M., Schlüchter, C., Strasky, S., and Wieler, R.: Cosmogenic ³He and ²¹Ne measured in quartz targets after one year of exposure in the Swiss Alps, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 284, 417–425, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.05.007, 2009.

1220

Višnjević, V., Herman, F., and Prasicek, G.: Climatic patterns over the European Alps during the LGM derived from inversion of the paleo-ice extent, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 538, 116185, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116185, 2020.

Wirsig, C., Zasadni, J., Christl, M., Akçar, N., and Ivy-Ochs, S.: Dating the onset of LGM ice surface lowering in the High
1225 Alps. Quaternary Sci. Rev., 143, 37–50, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.05.001, 2016a.

Wirsig, C., Zasadni, J., Ivy-Ochs, S., Christl, M., Kober, F., and Schlüchter, C.: A deglaciation model of the Oberhasli, Switzerland, J. Quat. Sci., 31, 46–59, doi:10.1002/jqs.2831, 2016b.

1230 Wu, H. B., Guiot, J. L., Brewer, S., and Guo, Z. T.: Climatic changes in Eurasia and Africa at the last glacial maximum and mid-Holocene: reconstruction from pollen data using inverse vegetation modelling, Clim. Dynam., 29, 211–229, doi:10.1007/s00382-007-0231-3, 2007.

Zemp, M., Haeberli, W., Hoelzle, M., and Paul, F.: Alpine glaciers to disappear within decades? Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L13504, doi:10.1029/2006GL026319, 2006.