Amino acid racemization in *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* and *Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi* from the Arctic Ocean and its implications for age models

5 Gabriel West^{1,2}, Darrell S. Kaufman³, Martin Jakobsson^{1,2}, Matt O'Regan^{1,2}

¹Department of Geological Sciences, Stockholm University, Stockholm, 10691, Sweden

²Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, 10691, Sweden

³ School of Earth and Sustainability, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA

10

Correspondence to: Gabriel West (gabriel.west@geo.su.se) Matt O'Regan (matt.oregan@geo.su.se)

15 Abstract

We report the results of amino acid racemization (AAR) analyses of aspartic and glutamic acids in the planktic foraminifera, *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma*, and the benthic species, *Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi*, collected from sediment cores from the Arctic Ocean. The cores were retrieved at various deep-sea sites of the Arctic, which cover a large geographical area from the Greenland and Iceland seas to the Alpha and Lomonosov Ridges

- 20 in the central Arctic Ocean. Age models for the investigated sediments were developed by multiple dating and correlation techniques, including oxygen isotope stratigraphy, magnetostratigraphy, bio-, litho-, and cyclostratigraphy. The extent of racemization (D/L values) was determined on 95 samples (1028 subsamples) and shows a progressive increase downcore for both foraminifera species. Differences in the rates of racemization between the species were established by analysing specimens of both species from the same
- 25 stratigraphic levels (n = 21). Aspartic acid and glutamic acid racemize on average 16 ± 2 % and 23 ± 3 % faster, respectively, in *C. wuellerstorfi* than in *N. pachyderma*. D/L values increase with sample age in nearly all cases, with a trend that follows a simple power function. Scatter around least square regression fits are larger for samples from the central Arctic Ocean than for those from the Nordic Seas. Calibrating the rate of racemization in *C. wuellerstorfi* using independently dated samples from the Greenland and Iceland seas for the past 400 ka
- 30 enables estimation of sample ages from the central Arctic Ocean, where bottom water temperatures are presently relatively similar. The resulting ages are older than expected when considering the existing age models for the central Arctic Ocean cores. These results confirm that the differences are not due to taxonomic effects on AAR and further warrant a critical evaluation of existing Arctic Ocean age models. A better understanding of temperature histories at the investigated sites, and other environmental factors that may influence racemization
- 35 rates in central Arctic Ocean sediments is also needed.

40 1. Introduction

The first application of amino acid geochronology to Arctic Ocean sediments analysed the extent of epimerization in the protein amino acid, isoleucine, over time in samples of the planktic foraminifera, *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* and the benthic species, *Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi* (Sejrup et al., 1984). Not

- only did this study provide some of the first amino acid racemization (AAR) data from a polar environment, but it also exposed crucial chronological issues associated with Arctic Ocean sediments. The results contradicted available age interpretations obtained from palaeomagnetic data (Sejrup et al., 1984; Backman, 2004). The problems of dating Pleistocene Arctic marine sediments continue to exist today and are well known (e.g. Alexanderson et al., 2014). Over the past few decades, amino acid geochronology received limited attention in the Arctic, but several studies provided promising results (Sejrup & Haugen, 1992; Kaufman et al., 2008, 2013) that highlighted its potential as a dating technique, and the need for its continued development in Arctic settings. This is particularly desirable, since theoretically, it could provide age control up to a few million years, using even limited amounts of calcium carbonate.
- N. pachyderma and C. wuellerstorfi are commonly used in stable isotope stratigraphy and paleoceanographic reconstructions (e.g. Shackleton et al., 2003) and are associated with cold water masses. N. pachyderma is considered to be a primarily 'high-latitude' species (Darling et al., 2017), and C. wuellerstorfi is thought to show strong preference for bottom waters below ~5°C (Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2017). These characteristics and their frequent occurrence in sediment cores from the Arctic Ocean make them particularly useful for amino acid geochronology studies in this region.

The rates of racemization for aspartic and glutamic acids were previously calibrated in *N. pachyderma* from central Arctic Ocean samples by Kaufman et al. (2008) for the past 150 ka. The calibration relied on the established age models of sediments from the Lomonosov Ridge (O'Regan et al., 2008). Subsequently, however, the extent of racemization in these samples was shown to be higher than expected when compared with those of similar ages from other cold bottom water sites from the Atlantic and Pacific oceans (Kaufman et al., 2013), despite the cold bottom water in the central Arctic Ocean. The reason for this apparently higher extent of racemization in *N. pachyderma* from central Arctic Ocean samples is unclear, but not considered to be caused by taxonomic effects, since the rate of racemization observed in this species is lower than in other taxa
(Kaufman et al., 2013). Either the established ages that were used to calibrate the rate of racemization in the central Arctic Ocean sediments are too young, such that units currently correlated with substages of marine oxygen isotope stage (MIS) 5 instead represent MIS 9, 7 and 5, or other undetermined processes influence protein degradation and preservation in central Arctic Ocean foraminifera. At the Yermak Plateau in the eastern Arctic Ocean (Fig. 1), racemization rates for *N. pachyderma* generally conform to the rates determined for other

75 cold bottom water sites (West et al., 2019), further challenging the established ages previously used to calibrate the rate of AAR from the Lomonosov Ridge in the central Arctic Ocean. However, it is unknown how racemization progresses in other regions of the Arctic Ocean. If the apparently higher extent of racemization in N. pachyderma from the central Arctic Ocean is not the result

- 80 of taxonomic effects, a higher rate of racemization can also be anticipated in other taxa from the area, e.g. in *Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi*. However, little is known about racemization of amino acids in this species. The earliest studies involving *C. wuellerstorfi* investigated taxonomical applications (Haugen et al., 1989), or focused on the epimerization of isoleucine (Sejrup et al., 1984; Sejrup and Haugen, 1992) utilising HPLC ion exchange analysers. Since the publication of these seminal papers, analysis of amino acids has become significantly faster, with reduced sample mass requirements, due to improvements in analytical methods
- 85 significantly faster, with reduced sample mass requirements, due to improvements in analytical methods (Kaufman & Manley, 1998), yet no studies have addressed amino acid racemization in *C. wuellerstorfi* despite its palaeoceanographical importance (e.g. Yu & Elderfield, 2008; Wollenburg et al., 2015; Burkett et al., 2016; Raitzsch et al., 2020), and the relatively faster and easier sample processing offered by its larger tests (up to ~4-5 times the size) when compared to *N. pachyderma*.

90

95

Here we report the results of aspartic acid and glutamic acid racemization analyses of *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* and *Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi* obtained from well-dated Quaternary deep-sea sediment cores from the Greenland and Iceland seas, and from sediment cores from the central Arctic Ocean, where sediment ages continue to be debated (Purcell et al., 2022). The long-term rates of racemization in the two species are compared, and the relationship between the extent of racemization and sample age is investigated in both species.

2. Materials and methods

a) <u>Investigated sediment cores</u>

105

For aminifera samples were taken from sediment cores from the Greenland and Iceland seas, the Lomonosov Ridge, and the Alpha Ridge (Fig. 1). The Greenland and Iceland seas, although part of the Arctic Ocean, are under the direct influence of Atlantic surface waters, and thus are predominantly characterised by open water conditions, unlike the sea ice covered areas of the Lomonosov and Alpha Ridges. The studied sediment cores (Table 1) were collected from deep water (811 - 2952 m) environments, which presently experience similar, very cold (<0 °C), and relatively stable bottom water temperatures.

Region	Core	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Water depth (m)	Bottom water temperature est. (°C)	Age reference
Alpha Ridge	AO16-9-PC1	85.95570	-148.32580	2212	-0.4	Cronin et al. (2019)
Lomonosov Ridge	AO16-5-PC1	89.07800	-130.54700	1253	-0.3	ACEX age model*
Lomonosov Ridge	LOMROG07-PC04	86.70117	-53.76720	811	0.1	Hanslik et al. (2013)
Lomonosov Ridge	LOMROG12-PC03	87.72470	-54.42528	1607	-0.4	O'Regan et al. (2020)
Lomonosov Ridge	LOMROG12-PC07	88.19760	-55.68450	2952	-0.8	ACEX age model*
Lomonosov Ridge	LOMROG12-PC09	89.02672	-73.73444	1318	-0.3	ACEX age model*
Iceland Sea	ODP 151/ 907A	69.24982	12.69823	1801	-0.8	Jansen et al. (2000a, b)
Greenland Sea	PS17 / 1906-2	76.84630	-2.15050	2901	-1.0	Bauch (2002, 2013)

110 Table 1: Sediment cores investigated in this study. Current bottom water temperatures were approximated by using annual mean temperature observations from the nearest location from the World Ocean Atlas (Locarnini et al., 2018). *The ACEX age model is based on Backman et al. (2008), Frank et al. (2008), and O'Regan et al. (2008).



115 Figure 1: Location of sediment cores referred to in this study. Basemap: Jakobsson et al. (2020).

Age-depth models for the investigated sediment cores have been developed using a variety of dating techniques. Cores from the Nordic Seas (ODP151/907A and PS17/1906-2) primarily relied on oxygen isotope stratigraphy, complemented by magnetostratigraphy in the case of ODP151/907A (Jansen et al., 2000a, b), and by carbon isotope stratigraphy for core PS17/1906-2 (Bauch, 2002, 2013). The age-depth model of the latter is less certain

120

beyond marine isotope stage (MIS) 6 (Bauch, 2013), due to the large uncertainty associated with isotope stratigraphy, a characteristic issue of Arctic Ocean records.

The age-depth models of sediment cores from the central Arctic Ocean utilise a more diverse toolset, reflecting the difficulties of dating Arctic marine sediments, and heavily depend on a combination of bio- and

- 125 lithostratigraphy (e.g. Cronin et al., 2019). The lithostratigraphy of the central Arctic Ocean cores investigated in this study can be correlated with that of the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Expedition 302, the Arctic Coring Expedition (ACEX). This correlation is most apparent in bulk density profiles (Fig. 2), but other sedimentological properties including grain size and a variety of XRF-scanning properties also correlate coherently among cores (O'Regan et al., 2019). The currently accepted age model for the ACEX sedimentary
- 130 sequence was developed using cyclostratigraphic analysis (O'Regan et al., 2008) and produced similar estimated Quaternary sedimentation rates as obtained by the decay of beryllium isotopes (Frank et al., 2008). The late Quaternary chronology (MIS 1 – 6) for ACEX included constraints from ¹⁴C dating, the correlation with nearby records AO96/12-1PC (Jakobsson et al., 2001) and PS2185 (Spielhagen et al., 2004), where MIS 5 was identified based on the occurrence of the calcareous nannofossil *Emiliania huxleyi* (Jakobsson et al., 2001), and
- 135 further supported by results from optically stimulated luminescence dating of quartz grains (Jakobsson et al., 2003). The age model of core LOMROG07-PC04 is based on correlation with PS2185 (Hanslik et al., 2013).



Figure 2: Bulk density (BD) (black line) and XRF-scanning Zr/Ti (red line) profiles of sediment cores from the central Arctic Ocean, with correlations among cores and with the ACEX composite section. D_A and D_B are prominent diamict units found in all the cores, while PL is a characteristic fine-grained peach coloured layer. Locations of *N. pachyderma* (circles) and *C. wuellerstorfi* (triangles) samples analysed in this study are shown for each core.

b) Analytical procedures

145

Sediment samples were wet sieved (63 μ m), and air dried prior to picking foraminifera tests of *N. pachyderma* and *C. wuellerstorfi*. Initially, the >250 μ m fraction was targeted to isolate the largest and best-preserved tests. For some samples this was not possible, and the tests were collected from the 180–250 μ m fraction instead. The

tests were kept in glass vials, and stored in a refrigerator prior to racemization analyses. A total of 95
stratigraphic depths were sampled, with some depths containing both foraminifera species (n = 21). Each sample was further subsampled – on average with 9.6 *N. pachyderma* and 11.2 *C. wuellerstorfi* subsamples per sample, producing 1009 analysed subsamples. Each subsample comprised between 10 and 12 *N. pachyderma*, or 2 and 4 *C. wuellerstorfi* tests.

- 155 The analytical procedures followed that of previous analyses as described in detail by Kaufman et al. (2013) and West et al. (2019), and were performed at the Amino Acid Geochronology Laboratory (AAGL), Northern Arizona University. The foraminifera tests were first sonicated (1-30 s) to remove any loose sediment particles, treated with 1 mL hydrogen peroxide (3%) to remove surficial organic matter, and then rinsed three times with reagent grade (grade I) water. Multiple tests were picked into micro-reaction hydrolysis vials (defining one subsample), and dissolved in 8 μL hydrochloric acid (6 M). The vials were then sealed with nitrogen gas, and
- the subsamples hydrolysed for 6 hours at 110°C. After the hydrolysis was complete, the subsamples were evaporated in a vacuum desiccator, and then rehydrated in 4 μ L of 0.01 M HCl spiked with 10 μ M L*homo*arginine. Each subsample was injected onto a high-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) with a fully automated, reversed phase procedure (Kaufman & Manley, 1998) to separate pairs of D- and L-amino acids.
- 165 The peak-area ratio of D and L stereoisomers of eight amino acids (aspartic acid, glutamic acid, serine, alanine, valine, phenylalanine, isoleucine and leucine) were analysed to determine the extent of racemization. This study only utilised the racemization results of aspartic acid (Asp) and glutamic (Glu) acid, which are among the two most abundant and chronographically well-resolved amino acids. Asp and Glu reported in this study also include any Asn and Gln present in the biomineral.
- 170

3. Results

a) Data screening

175 Initial data screening was based on the procedure of Kosnik & Kaufman (2008). First, subsamples with L-Ser / L-Asp ≥ 0.8 – an indicator of potential contamination by modern amino acids – were excluded. The D/L values of Asp and Glu positively covary in fossil proteins. Subsamples that did not adhere to this expected trend were omitted. Finally, subsamples with D/L Asp or Glu values beyond ±2σ of the sample mean were also removed (Supplementary Fig. S1). As a result of this screening process, 17.9 % of all subsamples were rejected 180 (Supplementary Table S1).

Following the subsample screening process, sample means, and related standard deviation values were calculated for Asp and Glu for all samples. Stratigraphically reversed samples (mean D/L values lower than expected for their stratigraphic depths with no overlap within 1σ with the sample from shallower depth) were identified within each core (Table 2). These include five samples of *N. pachyderma* and three *C. wuellerstorfi*.

185 identified within each core (Table 2). These include five samples of *N. pachyderma* and three *C. wuellerstorfi*. Of these, two samples contained sufficient tests of both species to analyse AAR, but only *C. wuellerstorfi* were stratigraphically reversed.

(I) Geoph Particle (%) DL DL DL Non-Social 17336 AO16-9-PC1 0.190 16 10 1 100 0.141 0.041 0.041 0.041 0.042 0.022 17337 AO16-9-PC1 0.210 2.2 10 1 100 0.141 0.041 0.042 0.022 17338 AO16-9-PC1 0.970 1227 8 3 38 0.419 0.050 0.424 0.022 Lomoconsow Rigge 1 1 14 0.132 0.017 0.160 0.222 21406 LOMROG12-PC03 0.495 0.60 7 1 14 0.332 0.010 0.025 1.026 0.025 21400 LOMROG12-PC03 0.495 0.60 7 1 14 0.332 0.040 0.021 0.226 0.225 21410 LOMROG12-PC03 0.761 108 8 3 38 0.415 0.040 0.102 0.126 0	Lab ID	Core	Core	Age (ka)	nª	Excluded	Excl.	Asp	1σ	Glu	1σ
Image: Control of the section of the sectio	(UAL)		depth				ratio (%)	D/L		D/L	
Neoplocyudrina pachydema V Volta Notise V Notise 17336 AO16.9.PC1 0.190 16 10 1 10 0.141 0.014 0.016 0.011 17337 AO16.9.PC1 0.210 22 10 1 10 0.220 0.242 0.020 0.242 0.020 17338 AO16.9.PC1 1.260 1188 10 5 50 0.445 0.017 0.017 0.010 0.242 0.022 1.414 0.133 0.017 0.107 0.108 0.222 1.414 0.332 0.017 0.168 0.022 1.414 0.332 0.017 0.168 0.022 1.430 0.026 0.012 0.026 0.012 0.026 0.026 0.242 0.026 0.011 0.010 0.026 0.027 0.026 0.026 0.22 1.430 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>(m)</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>			(m)								
Alpha Ridge I <thi< th=""> I I <thi< th=""><th>Neoglok</th><th>oquadrina pachyderm</th><th>а</th><th></th><th>1</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></thi<></thi<>	Neoglok	oquadrina pachyderm	а		1						
17336 AO16-9-PC1 0.190 16 10 1 100 0.141 0.041 0.041 0.041 0.041 0.041 0.041 0.041 0.041 0.020 0.041 0.020 0.041 0.020 0.042 0.020 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 0.029 0.242 <th0.242< th=""> <th0.242< th=""> 0.242<th>_</th><th>Alpha Ridge</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th0.242<></th0.242<>	_	Alpha Ridge									
17338 AO16-9-PC1 0.210 122 10 11 10 0.220 0.104 0.002 17388 AO16-9-PC1 1.260 1127 8 3 38 0.419 0.020 0.242 0.029 Lomonesov Ridge - - - - - - - - 21406 LOMROG12-WC03 0.495 60 7 1 144 0.332 0.017 0.018 0.022 21406 LOMROG12-PC03 0.650 61 8 2 25 0.340 0.032 0.168 0.021 0.021 0.022 21408 LOMROG12-PC03 0.610 76 8 1 13 0.385 0.021 0.022 0.021 0.022 21414 LOMROG12-PC03 0.761 108 8 2 33 0.460 0.011 0.021 0.022 0.021 0.022 21414 LOMROG12-PC03 1.511 0.33 0.433 0.026 <td>17336</td> <td>A016-9-PC1</td> <td>0.190</td> <td>16</td> <td>10</td> <td>1</td> <td>10</td> <td>0.141</td> <td>0.014</td> <td>0.069</td> <td>0.011</td>	17336	A016-9-PC1	0.190	16	10	1	10	0.141	0.014	0.069	0.011
17338 AD16-9-PC1 0.970 127 8 3 38 0.416 0.025 0.242 0.035 1739 AD16-9-PC1 1.260 188 10 5 50 0.445 0.015 0.254 0.022 21406 LOMROG12-WC03 0.095 38 7 1 144 0.193 0.017 0.168 0.022 21406 LOMROG12-PC03 0.495 60 7 1 144 0.133 0.385 0.021 0.266 0.151 21409 LOMROG12-PC03 0.610 76 8 1 33 38 0.415 0.040 0.212 0.225 21411 LOMROG12-PC03 1.281 204 8 2 25 0.468 0.021 0.242 0.072 21414 LOMROG12-PC03 1.861 311 8 38 0.417 0.032 0.484 0.022 0.424 0.022 0.442 0.010 0.442 0.010 0.444	17337	AO16-9-PC1	0.210	22	10	1	10	0.210	0.010	0.104	0.005
17339 AD16-9-PC1 1260 118 10 5 50 0.445 0.015 0.224 0.022 LOMONGOS VRIGE 1 14 0.53 0.11 0.017 0.007 0.016 0.225 0.116 0.022 21406 LOMROG12-PC03 0.495 60 7 1 14 0.332 0.017 0.018 0.022 21406 LOMROG12-PC03 0.505 61 8 2 25 0.460 0.040 0.212 0.025 21410 LOMROG12-PC03 0.761 108 8 3 38 0.445 0.024 0.016 0.224 0.010 0.224 0.022 0.1212 0.225 1.401 0.303 0.466 0.040 0.229 0.224 0.010 0.377 0.060 0.324 0.070 0.323 0.260 0.311 8 0.36 0.332 0.037 0.207 0.404 0.211 0.040 0.225 N153 1.32 1 4 <td>17338</td> <td>AO16-9-PC1</td> <td>0.970</td> <td>127</td> <td>8</td> <td>3</td> <td>38</td> <td>0 4 1 9</td> <td>0.020</td> <td>0.242</td> <td>0.029</td>	17338	AO16-9-PC1	0.970	127	8	3	38	0 4 1 9	0.020	0.242	0.029
Lomonosov Ridge Loc Loc <thloc< th=""></thloc<>	17339	A016-9-PC1	1 260	127	10	5	50	0.445	0.015	0.254	0.022
21406 LONROG12-TWC03 0.095 38 7 1 144 0.193 0.017 0.017 0.010 21407 LOMROG12-TWC03 0.495 60 7 1 144 0.332 0.117 0.168 0.022 21408 LOMROG12-PC03 0.605 61 8 2 25 0.340 0.032 0.163 0.028 21410 LOMROG12-PC03 0.791 108 8 3 38 0.415 0.040 0.212 0.025 21411 LOMROG12-PC03 1.291 2.04 8 2 25 0.448 0.015 0.242 0.070 21414 LOMROG12-PC03 1.511 290 6 2 33 0.446 0.060 0.242 0.070 21414 LOMROG12-PC03 1.511 290 6 2 33 0.466 0.606 0.242 0.070 21415 LOMROG12-PC04 1.613 311 8 3 38		Lomonosov Ridge	1.200	100	10	<u> </u>		0.110	0.010	0.201	0.022
Lemic During Giz Purcos 0.485 60 7 1 14 0.13 0.16 0.022 21407 LOMRGG12-PC03 0.660 61 8 2 25 0.340 0.032 0.168 0.022 21408 LOMRGG12-PC03 0.610 76 8 1 13 0.395 0.21 0.226 0.418 0.021 0.226 0.418 0.011 0.220 0.022 0.421 0.010 0.21 0.220 0.225 0.448 0.021 0.220 0.025 0.411 LOMRG612-PC03 1.401 2.26 0.48 0.011 0.220 0.165 0.222 0.111 1.48 3 3.8 0.447 0.022 0.165 0.022 0.165 0.022 0.165 0.022 0.165 0.022 0.165 0.022 0.165 0.022 0.165 0.022 0.165 0.022 0.165 0.222 0.161 0.130 0.227 0.140 0.125 111 1.49 0.228	21406		0.095	20	7	1	14	0 103	0.017	0.077	0.010
Lam. DumRoG12+C03 0.565 61 8 2 25 0.32 0.032 0.168 0.028 21408 LOMROG12+C03 0.610 76 8 1 13 0.395 0.021 0.026 0.015 21410 LOMROG12+C03 0.761 108 8 3 38 0.415 0.040 0.212 0.026 21411 LOMROG12+C03 1.401 236 6 2 33 0.443 0.021 0.232 0.185 0.026 21414 LOMROG12+C03 1.601 311 8 3 38 0.417 0.32 0.017 0.046 0.142 21415 LOMROG12+C03 1.761 391 5 0 0.04 0.141 0.044 0.011 0.444 0.102 0.148 0.044 0.114 0.277 0.406 0.332 0.337 0.202 0.140 0.211 0.148 0.144 0.012 0.148 0.144 <th0.012< th=""> 0.140 0.141<!--</td--><td>21400</td><td></td><td>0.000</td><td>50</td><td>7</td><td>1</td><td>14</td><td>0.100</td><td>0.017</td><td>0.077</td><td>0.010</td></th0.012<>	21400		0.000	50	7	1	14	0.100	0.017	0.077	0.010
21406 LOMRGG12+C03 0.610 61 6 2 2.0 0.540 0.021 0.208 0.031 21410 LOMRGG12+C03 0.611 108 8 1 13 0.385 0.621 0.208 0.015 21411 LOMRG612+C03 1.291 204 8 2 25 0.488 0.015 0.200 0.010 21412 LOMRG612+C03 1.401 236 6 2 33 0.443 0.021 0.228 0.026 21414 LOMRG612+C03 1.601 3111 8 3 38 0.447 0.032 0.163 0.227 21415 LOMRG612+C03 1.711 331 5 6 0.302 0.037 0.040 21415 LOMRG67+C04 0.425 MIS 5.17 (-39) 8 3 38 0.027 0.040 0.323 0.030 0.044 0.021 2158 LOMRG67+C04 1.25 MIS 5.17 (-43) 8 3 38 </td <td>21407</td> <td></td> <td>0.495</td> <td>60</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>14</td> <td>0.332</td> <td>0.017</td> <td>0.100</td> <td>0.022</td>	21407		0.495	60	0	1	14	0.332	0.017	0.100	0.022
21409 LOMRGG12PC03 0.70 70 6 1 15 0.337 0.201 0.702 21410 LOMRG12PC03 1.291 204 8 2 25 0.485 0.240 0.012 0.702 21411 LOMRG12PC03 1.551 2.90 6 2 33 0.446 0.066 0.242 0.076 21414 LOMRG12PC03 1.551 2.90 6 2 33 0.448 0.021 0.230 0.021 0.240 0.010 21414 LOMRG12PC03 1.761 391 5 0 0 0.377 0.660 0.191 0.448 0.022 0.440 0.011 0.440 0.11 0.440 0.11 0.431 0.021 0.230 0.021 0.230 0.021 0.240 0.140 0.011 0.140 0.021 0.230 0.021 0.230 0.021 0.230 0.021 0.230 0.021 0.230 0.021 0.230 0.33 0.33 <td>21400</td> <td></td> <td>0.505</td> <td>01</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>12</td> <td>0.340</td> <td>0.032</td> <td>0.103</td> <td>0.020</td>	21400		0.505	01	0	2	12	0.340	0.032	0.103	0.020
21410 LOMROG12-PC03 1.291 204 8 2 25 0.468 0.049 0.212 0.224 0.101 21411 LOMROG12-PC03 1.401 226 6 2 33 0.443 0.048 0.224 0.070 21414 LOMROG12-PC03 1.601 311 8 3 38 0.417 0.032 0.181 0.026 21414 LOMROG12-PC03 1.781 391 5 0 0 0.377 0.080 0.191 0.048 21416 LOMROG12-PC03 2.011 489 8 5 63 0.38 0.097 0.036 0.048 0.041 2158 LOMROG07-PC04 0.025 MIS 5.17 (-71) 8 3 38 0.097 0.036 0.038 0.004 0.012 2158 LOMROG7-PC04 1.025 MIS 5.7 (-123) 8 4 50 0.347 0.029 0.144 0.022 21580 LOMROG7-PC04 1.105	21409		0.010	76	0	1	10	0.395	0.021	0.200	0.015
21411 LUMROG12-PC03 1.241 2004 8 2 2.5 0.463 0.015 0.240 0.016 21412 LOMROG12-PC03 1.551 230 6 2 33 0.461 0.021 0.230 0.222 21414 LOMROG12-PC03 1.601 311 8 3 8 0.441 0.021 0.020 0.022 0.026 21415 LOMROG12-PC03 1.781 391 5 0 0 0.037 0.060 0.191 0.048 2156 LOMROG07-PC04 0.022 MIS 1.37 (-19) 1 4 2.4 0.027 0.034 0.114 0.021 2156 LOMROG07-PC04 0.025 MIS 5.17 (-77) 8 3 38 0.037 0.034 0.112 0.032 2156 LOMROG07-PC04 1.025 MIS 5.7 (-115) 13 2 15 0.320 0.011 0.151 0.012 21761 LOMROG07-PC04 1.025 MIS 7 (-405)	21410	LOMROG12-PC03	0.761	108	8	3	38	0.415	0.040	0.212	0.025
21412 LONROG12-PC03 1.501 290 6 2 33 0.448 0.021 0.239 0.026 21414 LOMROG12-PC03 1.561 311 8 3 38 0.417 0.032 0.148 0.026 21414 LOMROG12-PC03 1.781 391 5 0 0 0.377 0.032 0.148 0.026 21416 LOMROG12-PC03 1.781 391 5 0 0 0.377 0.032 0.048 21587 LOMROG7-PC04 0.025 MIS 1-37 (-19) 17 4 2.4 0.102 0.036 0.004 21587 LOMROG7-PC04 0.226 MIS 5.17 (-77) 8 3 38 0.278 0.034 0.114 0.021 0.236 0.034 21581 LOMROG7-PC04 1.22 MIS 5.7 (-13) 8 4 500 0.347 0.029 0.146 0.022 22761 LOMROG7-PC04 1.313 1.32 (-12.8) 8	21411	LOMROG12-PC03	1.291	204	8	2	25	0.468	0.015	0.240	0.010
21413 LOMNOG12-PC03 1.651 290 6 2 33 0.468 0.066 0.224 0.071 21414 LOMROG12-PC03 1.601 311 8 3 38 0.417 0.032 0.185 0.028 21415 LOMROG12-PC03 1.711 391 5 0 0 0.377 0.660 0.111 0.44 21759 LOMROG7-PC04 0.025 MIS 1.37 (-19) 17 4 24 0.102 0.018 0.044 0.011 21759 LOMROG7-PC04 0.040 MIS 1.57 (-19) 8 3 38 0.027 0.006 0.336 0.004 21761 LOMROG7-PC04 0.225 MIS 1.57 (-16) 13 2 15 0.320 0.011 0.151 0.012 21761 LOMROG7-PC04 1.705 MIS 5.7 (-165) 13 2 15 0.337 0.035 0.137 0.035 21761 LOMROG7-PC04 1.705 MIS 5.7 (-16) 3	21412	LOMROG12-PC03	1.401	236	6	2	33	0.443	0.021	0.239	0.026
21414 LONROG12-PC03 1.601 311 8 3 38 0.417 0.032 0.185 0.026 21415 LOMROG12-PC03 1.711 391 5 0 0 0.377 0.060 0.181 0.048 21416 LOMROG12-PC03 2.101 489 8 5 63 0.392 0.037 0.207 0.044 21587 LOMROG07-PC04 0.026 MIS 1.37 (-39) 8 3 38 0.027 0.006 0.33 0.004 21587 LOMROG07-PC04 0.140 MIS 5.17 (-82) 11 1 9 0.278 0.008 0.133 0.001 21761 LOMROG07-PC04 1.100 MIS 5.57 (-123) 8 4 50 0.347 0.029 0.146 0.022 22762 LOMROG07-PC04 1.370 MIS 5.37 (-423) 8 3 8 0.331 0.035 0.137 0.035 21591 LOMROG07-PC04 1.720 MIS 5.37 (-123) 8	21413	LOMROG12-PC03	1.551	290	6	2	33	0.466	0.066	0.242	0.070
21415 LOMROG12-PC03 1.781 391 5 0 0 0.377 0.068 0.191 0.048 221416 LOMROG12-PC04 0.025 MIS 1-37 (-19) 17 4 244 0.102 0.037 0.207 0.040 22759 LOMROG07-PC04 0.040 MIS 1-37 (-19) 17 4 24 0.102 0.016 0.038 0.004 2158 LOMROG07-PC04 0.225 MIS 5.1? (-71) 8 3 88 0.278 0.008 0.123 0.009 22761 LOMROG07-PC04 1.025 MIS 5.5? (-115) 13 2 15 0.337 0.029 0.146 0.022 21581 LOMROG07-PC04 1.385 > MIS 7? (-405) 13 2 15 0.337 0.038 0.175 0.043 21591 LOMROG07-PC04 1.720 MIS 5.1? (-74) 12 4 33 0.386 0.037 0.043 21594 LOMROG07-PC04 1.705 MIS 5.5? (-123)	21414	LOMROG12-PC03	1.601	311	8	3	38	0.417	0.032	0.185	0.026
21416 LOMROG12-PC03 2.101 489 8 5 63 0.392 0.037 0.040 0.011 21575 LOMROG07-PC04 0.020 MIS 1.37 (-19) 17 4 24 0.102 0.018 0.044 0.011 21587 LOMROG07-PC04 0.040 MIS 5.17 (-73) 8 3 38 0.027 0.040 0.112 0.009 22761 LOMROG07-PC04 1.225 MIS 5.57 (-115) 13 2 15 0.320 0.114 0.021 22761 LOMROG07-PC04 1.325 MIS 5.57 (-123) 8 4 50 0.337 0.029 0.146 0.025 22762 LOMROG07-PC04 1.105 MIS 5.57 (-123) 8 4 50 0.337 0.026 0.137 0.035 21591 LOMROG07-PC04 1.705 MIS 5.57 (-174) 12 4 33 0.386 0.023 0.066 21592 LOMROG07-PC04 2.005 MIS 5.57 (-175) 7 <t< td=""><td>21415</td><td>LOMROG12-PC03</td><td>1.781</td><td>391</td><td>5</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.377</td><td>0.060</td><td>0.191</td><td>0.048</td></t<>	21415	LOMROG12-PC03	1.781	391	5	0	0	0.377	0.060	0.191	0.048
22759 LOMROGO7-PC04 0.025 MIS 1.3? (-19) 17 4 24 24.102 0.018 0.014 0.012 21587 LOMROGO7-PC04 0.404 MIS 1.3? (-39) 8 3 38 0.097 0.006 0.036 0.004 21588 LOMROGO7-PC04 0.225 MIS 5.1? (-82) 11 1 9 0.278 0.008 0.012 0.010 21580 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.025 MIS 5.5? (-112) 8 4 50 0.347 0.029 0.146 0.022 21580 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.105 MIS 5.7? (-12) 8 4 50 0.347 0.029 0.146 0.022 21591 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.120 MIS 1.3? (-12) 8 3 38 0.381 0.035 0.137 0.035 21591 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.201 MIS 1.3? (-12) 7 4 57 0.20 0.410 0.22 0.417 0.060 0.30 0.066 0.30 0.060	21416	LOMROG12-PC03	2.101	489	8	5	63	0.392	0.037	0.207	0.040
2158 LOMROGO7-PC04 0.040 MIS 5.1? (-73) 8 3 38 0.097 0.006 0.034 0.114 0.021 2158 LOMROGO7-PC04 0.120 MIS 5.1? (-72) 11 1 9 0.278 0.008 0.123 0.009 22761 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.1025 MIS 5.5? (-123) 8 4 50 0.347 0.029 0.146 0.022 22762 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.385 > MIS 7.(-405) 13 2 15 0.387 0.029 0.146 0.022 21590 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.720 MIS 5.1? (-74) 12 4 33 0.386 0.032 0.175 0.043 21591 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.720 MIS 5.1? (-74) 12 4 33 0.386 0.023 0.166 21592 LOMROGO7-PC04 2.025 MIS 5.5? (-123) 7 4 57 0.210 0.90 0.33 0.057 1592 LOMROGO7-PC04 3.000 <td< td=""><td>22759</td><td>LOMROG07-PC04</td><td>0.025</td><td>MIS 1-3? (~19)</td><td>17</td><td>4</td><td>24</td><td>0.102</td><td>0.018</td><td>0.044</td><td>0.011</td></td<>	22759	LOMROG07-PC04	0.025	MIS 1-3? (~19)	17	4	24	0.102	0.018	0.044	0.011
21588 LOMROGO7-PC04 0.140 MIS 5.1? (~77) 8 38 0.278 0.034 0.114 0.021 22760 LOMROGO7-PC04 0.225 MIS 5.5? (~115) 13 2 15 0.320 0.011 0.121 0.002 21589 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.385 >MIS 5.5? (~123) 8 4 50 0.347 0.029 0.146 0.022 22761 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.385 >MIS 7.(~405) 13 2 15 0.387 0.029 0.146 0.022 22762 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.385 >MIS 7.(~12,8) 8 3 38 0.391 0.36 0.175 0.437 21592 LOMROGO7-PC04 1.700 MIS 5.5? (~115) 9 2 22 0.417 0.069 0.320 0.066 *21594 LOMROG07-PC04 2.002 MIS 5.5? (~115) 9 2 22 0.417 0.690 0.230 0.662 *21594 LOMROG12-PC07 0.026 MIS 5.5? (~1	21587	LOMROG07-PC04	0.040	MIS 1-3? (~39)	8	3	38	0.097	0.006	0.036	0.004
22761 LOMROG07-PC04 0.225 MIS 5.1? (-82) 11 1 9 0.278 0.008 0.123 0.001 22761 LOMROG07-PC04 1.025 MIS 5.5? (-113) 13 2 15 0.320 0.011 0.116 0.022 21589 LOMROG07-PC04 1.385 >MIS 5.7? (-405) 13 2 15 0.387 0.029 0.195 0.032 *21591 LOMROG07-PC04 1.410 MIS 1.3? (-420) 8 3 38 0.391 0.036 0.17 0.032 22761 LOMROG07-PC04 1.720 MIS 5.1? (-74) 12 4 33 0.386 0.023 0.187 0.140 22764 LOMROG07-PC04 2.025 MIS 5.1? (-78) 8 7 88 0.357 0.140 1 22764 LOMROG07-PC04 3.00 MIS 5.5? (-113) 7 4 57 0.270 0.089 0.36 0.091 0.32 25154 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 <td< td=""><td>21588</td><td>LOMROG07-PC04</td><td>0.140</td><td>MIS 5.1? (~77)</td><td>8</td><td>3</td><td>38</td><td>0.278</td><td>0.034</td><td>0.114</td><td>0.021</td></td<>	21588	LOMROG07-PC04	0.140	MIS 5.1? (~77)	8	3	38	0.278	0.034	0.114	0.021
22761 LOMROG07-PC04 1.025 MIS 5.5? (~115) 13 2 15 0.320 0.011 0.151 0.012 21589 LOMROG07-PC04 1.110 MIS 5.5? (~123) 8 4 50 0.347 0.029 0.146 0.022 22762 LOMROG07-PC04 1.345 > MIS 7? (~405) 13 2 15 0.387 0.029 0.146 0.022 21591 LOMROG07-PC04 1.720 MIS 1-3? (~12) 8 3 38 0.391 0.036 0.175 0.043 21592 LOMROG07-PC04 1.785 MIS 5.5? (~115) 9 2 22 0.417 0.069 0.230 0.066 *21592 LOMROG07-PC04 3.000 MIS 5.5? (~115) 9 2 22 0.417 0.069 0.230 0.066 *51594 LOMROG12-PC07 0.026 9 9 2 22 0.611 0.136 0.021 15867 LOMROG12-PC07 0.311 10 1<	22760	LOMROG07-PC04	0.225	MIS 5.1? (~82)	11	1	9	0.278	0.008	0.123	0.009
21589 LOMRCG07-PC04 1.110 MIS 5.5? (~123) 8 4 50 0.347 0.029 0.146 0.022 22762 LOMRCG07-PC04 1.385 > MIS 7? (~405) 13 2 15 0.387 0.029 0.195 0.035 21591 LOMRCG07-PC04 1.720 MIS 1.3? (~12.8) 8 3 38 0.318 0.036 0.175 0.043 21592 LOMRCG07-PC04 1.720 MIS 5.1? (~74) 12 4 33 0.386 0.023 0.187 0.049 22764 LOMRCG07-PC04 2.010 MIS 5.5? (~123) 7 4 57 0.270 0.099 0.230 0.666 *21594 LOMRCG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 10 0.272 0.026 0.122 0.016 15866 LOMROG12-PC07 0.155 40 10 2 20 0.272 0.026 0.122 0.019 15869 LOMROG12-PC07 0.135 40	22761	LOMROG07-PC04	1.025	MIS 5.5? (~115)	13	2	15	0.320	0.011	0.151	0.012
22762 LOMROG07-PC04 1.385 > MIS 7? (~405) 13 2 15 0.387 0.029 0.195 0.032 ^b 21590 LOMROG07-PC04 1.410 MIS 1-3? (~12.8) 8 3 38 0.318 0.035 0.137 0.035 21591 LOMROG07-PC04 1.720 MIS 5.1? (~74) 12 4 33 0.386 0.029 0.167 0.043 21592 LOMROG07-PC04 2.010 MIS 5.5? (~15) 9 2 22 0.417 0.069 0.33 0.066 21594 LOMROG07-PC04 3.000 MIS 5.5? (~123) 7 4 57 0.270 0.099 0.336 0.057 15866 LOMROG12-PC07 0.102 9 9 2 22 0.011 0.026 0.032 0.041 0.031 0.002 15867 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 10 0.225 0.124 0.013 15868 LOMROG12-PC07 0.380	21589	LOMROG07-PC04	1.110	MIS 5.5? (~123)	8	4	50	0.347	0.029	0.146	0.022
*21590 LOMROG07-PC04 1.410 MIS 1-3? (~12.8) 8 3 38 0.318 0.035 0.137 0.035 21591 LOMROG07-PC04 1.720 MIS 1-3? (~40) 8 3 38 0.318 0.036 0.175 0.043 22763 LOMROG07-PC04 1.785 MIS 5.1? (~74) 12 4 33 0.366 0.023 0.187 0.016 21592 LOMROG07-PC04 2.025 MIS 5.5? (~115) 9 2 22 0.417 0.069 0.230 0.066 *21594 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 10 0.205 0.136 0.002 15867 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 10 0.225 0.026 0.122 0.025 0.124 0.013 15868 LOMROG12-PC07 0.780 52 9 1 11 0.294 0.26 0.122 0.018 0.022 15870 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425<	22762	LOMROG07-PC04	1.385	> MIS 7? (~405)	13	2	15	0.387	0.029	0.195	0.032
21591 LOMROG07-PC04 1.720 MIS 1-3? (~40) 8 3 38 0.391 0.036 0.175 0.043 22763 LOMROG07-PC04 1.785 MIS 5.1? (~74) 12 4 33 0.386 0.023 0.187 0.016 21592 LOMROG07-PC04 2.025 MIS 5.1? (~78) 8 7 88 0.357 0.140 22764 LOMROG07-PC04 2.025 MIS 5.5? (~123) 7 4 57 0.270 0.099 0.136 0.057 15866 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 10 0.205 0.124 0.013 15868 LOMROG12-PC07 0.780 52 9 1 11 0.294 0.026 0.122 0.019 15870 LOMROG12-PC07 1.760 79 9 3 33 0.339 0.036 0.024 0.163 0.022 15871 LOMROG12-PC07 1.720 124 9 3 33	^b 21590	LOMROG07-PC04	1.410	MIS 1-3? (~12.8)	8	3	38	0.318	0.035	0.137	0.035
22763 LOMROG07-PC04 1.785 MIS 5.1? (~74) 12 4 33 0.386 0.023 0.187 0.016 21592 LOMROG07-PC04 2.010 MIS 5.1? (~78) 8 7 88 0.357 0.140 22764 LOMROG07-PC04 2.025 MIS 5.5? (~123) 7 4 57 0.270 0.099 0.136 0.067 15866 LOMROG12-PC07 0.020 9 9 2 22 0.081 0.004 0.034 0.002 15867 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 10 0.225 0.025 0.124 0.013 15869 LOMROG12-PC07 0.780 52 9 1 11 0.294 0.026 0.122 0.026 0.128 0.022 15870 LOMROG12-PC07 1.170 79 9 3 33 0.335 0.035 0.183 0.021 15871 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425 96 9 3	21591	LOMROG07-PC04	1.720	MIS 1-3? (~40)	8	3	38	0.391	0.036	0.175	0.043
21592 LOMROG07-PC04 2.010 MIS 5.1? (~78) 8 7 88 0.357 0.140 22764 LOMROG07-PC04 2.025 MIS 5.5? (~115) 9 2 22 0.417 0.069 0.230 0.066 *21594 LOMROG07-PC04 3.000 MIS 5.5? (~123) 7 4 57 0.270 0.099 0.36 0.057 15866 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 10 0.205 0.056 0.090 0.022 15867 LOMROG12-PC07 0.315 40 10 2 20 0.272 0.025 0.124 0.013 15870 LOMROG12-PC07 0.780 52 9 1 111 0.294 0.026 0.183 0.027 15871 LOMROG12-PC07 1.70 79 9 3 33 0.339 0.035 0.183 0.027 15871 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425 96 9 3 33 0.335	22763	LOMROG07-PC04	1.785	MIS 5.1? (~74)	12	4	33	0.386	0.023	0.187	0.016
22764 LOMROG07-PC04 2.025 MIS 5.5? (-115) 9 2 22 0.417 0.069 0.230 0.066 ^b 21594 LOMROG07-PC04 3.000 MIS 5.5? (-123) 7 4 57 0.270 0.099 0.136 0.057 15866 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 10 0.205 0.036 0.090 0.022 15868 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 0.205 0.026 0.124 0.013 15868 LOMROG12-PC07 0.315 40 10 2 20 0.272 0.025 0.124 0.013 15868 LOMROG12-PC07 0.780 52 9 1 111 0.294 0.026 0.122 0.018 15871 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425 96 9 3 33 0.335 0.030 0.168 0.024 15873 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425 96 9 3 33	21592	LOMROG07-PC04	2.010	MIS 5.1? (~78)	8	7	88	0.357		0.140	
b Image (110) T 4 57 0.270 0.099 0.136 0.057 15866 LOMROG12-PC07 0.020 9 9 2 22 0.081 0.004 0.034 0.002 15867 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 10 0.205 0.036 0.990 0.022 15868 LOMROG12-PC07 0.315 40 10 2 20 0.272 0.025 0.124 0.013 15869 LOMROG12-PC07 0.780 52 9 1 11 0.294 0.026 0.122 0.019 15870 LOMROG12-PC07 1.700 79 9 3 33 0.373 0.029 0.189 0.028 15871 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425 96 9 3 33 0.385 0.024 0.173 0.025 15872 LOMROG12-PC07 1.580 111 9 2 22 0.368 0.030 0.185 </td <td>22764</td> <td>LOMROG07-PC04</td> <td>2.025</td> <td>MIS 5 5? (~115)</td> <td>9</td> <td>2</td> <td>22</td> <td>0.417</td> <td>0.069</td> <td>0.230</td> <td>0.066</td>	22764	LOMROG07-PC04	2.025	MIS 5 5? (~115)	9	2	22	0.417	0.069	0.230	0.066
15866 LOMROG12-PC07 0.020 9 9 2 22 0.081 0.004 0.032 0.002 15867 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 10 0.205 0.036 0.000 0.022 15868 LOMROG12-PC07 0.315 40 10 2 20 0.272 0.025 0.124 0.013 15869 LOMROG12-PC07 0.780 52 9 1 11 0.294 0.026 0.122 0.019 15870 LOMROG12-PC07 1.780 52 9 1 17 0.354 0.024 0.163 0.022 15871 LOMROG12-PC07 1.170 79 9 3 33 0.337 0.029 0.183 0.027 15871 LOMROG12-PC07 1.580 111 9 2 22 0.368 0.030 0.168 0.024 15874 LOMROG12-PC07 3.537 350 9 3 33 0.3	^b 21594	LOMROG07-PC04	3.000	MIS 5 52 (~123)	7	4	57	0.270	0.099	0.136	0.057
15867 LOMROG12-PC07 0.165 31 10 1 10 0.205 0.036 0.090 0.022 15868 LOMROG12-PC07 0.315 40 10 2 20 0.272 0.025 0.124 0.013 15869 LOMROG12-PC07 0.780 52 9 1 11 0.294 0.026 0.122 0.019 15870 LOMROG12-PC07 0.780 52 9 1 11 0.294 0.026 0.122 0.019 15871 LOMROG12-PC07 1.170 79 9 3 33 0.373 0.029 0.189 0.028 15872 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425 96 9 3 33 0.399 0.035 0.183 0.024 15873 LOMROG12-PC07 1.580 111 9 2 22 0.368 0.030 0.168 0.024 15875 LOMROG12-PC07 3.537 350 9 3 33 0.	15866	LOMROG12-PC07	0.020	9	9	2	22	0.081	0.004	0.034	0.002
15868 LOMROG12-PC07 0.315 40 10 2 20 0.272 0.025 0.124 0.011 15868 LOMROG12-PC07 0.780 52 9 1 11 0.294 0.026 0.122 0.019 15870 LOMROG12-PC07 0.780 52 9 1 11 0.294 0.026 0.122 0.019 15871 LOMROG12-PC07 1.170 79 9 3 33 0.373 0.029 0.189 0.028 15872 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425 96 9 3 33 0.399 0.035 0.188 0.024 15873 LOMROG12-PC07 1.580 111 9 2 22 0.368 0.030 0.168 0.024 15875 LOMROG12-PC07 1.720 124 9 3 33 0.385 0.024 0.173 0.025 15875 LOMROG12-PC07 3.657 374 9 0 0 0.3	15867	LOMROG12-PC07	0.165	31	10	1	10	0.205	0.036	0.090	0.022
10000 100000 100000 100000 10000000 10000000 10000000 100000000 1000000000 1000000000000 100000000000000000 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	15868	LOMROG12-PC07	0.315	40	10	2	20	0.272	0.025	0 124	0.013
1030 1030 <th< td=""><td>15869</td><td>LOMROG12-PC07</td><td>0.780</td><td>52</td><td>9</td><td>- 1</td><td>11</td><td>0.294</td><td>0.026</td><td>0.122</td><td>0.019</td></th<>	15869	LOMROG12-PC07	0.780	52	9	- 1	11	0.294	0.026	0.122	0.019
ISBN LOMROG12-PC07 1.170 79 9 3 33 0.373 0.029 0.189 0.028 15871 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425 96 9 3 33 0.373 0.029 0.189 0.028 15872 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425 96 9 3 33 0.399 0.035 0.188 0.027 15873 LOMROG12-PC07 1.580 111 9 2 22 0.368 0.030 0.168 0.024 15874 LOMROG12-PC07 1.720 124 9 3 33 0.305 0.036 0.133 0.018 15875 LOMROG12-PC07 3.537 350 9 3 33 0.305 0.048 0.185 0.031 15875 LOMROG12-PC07 3.787 402 4 3 75 0.348 0.174 15878 LOMROG12-PC07 4.210 485 6 3 50 0.402 0.12 0.1	15870		0.080	63	6	1	17	0.261	0.020	0.163	0.022
Isorial LomRoG12-PC07 1.425 96 9 3 33 0.399 0.035 0.183 0.027 15872 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425 96 9 3 33 0.399 0.035 0.183 0.027 15873 LOMROG12-PC07 1.580 111 9 2 22 0.368 0.030 0.168 0.024 15874 LOMROG12-PC07 3.537 350 9 3 33 0.385 0.024 0.173 0.025 ⁵ 15875 LOMROG12-PC07 3.657 374 9 0 0 0.354 0.048 0.185 0.011 15876 LOMROG12-PC07 3.657 374 9 0 0 0.354 0.048 0.185 0.031 15877 LOMROG12-PC07 4.210 485 6 3 50 0.442 0.012 0.193 0.011 ¹⁵⁸⁷⁸ LOMROG12-PC07 4.405 502 7 2 29 0.335 0.014 0.155 0.012 ¹⁵⁸⁸⁰ LOMROG12-PC09	15871		1 170	70	a	3	33	0.004	0.024	0.100	0.022
ISBN 2 LOMROG12-PC07 1.425 36 3 3 35 35 355 0.105 0.012 0.012 0.014 0.155 0.012 15876 LOMROG12-PC07 4.510 510 5 3 60 0.307 0.029 0.012 0.101 15878 LOMROG12-PC07 4.510 510 5 <	15872		1.170	19	a	3	33	0.070	0.020	0.100	0.020
15873 LOMROG12-PC07 1.000 111 0 2 2.2 0.000 0.000 0.024 15874 LOMROG12-PC07 1.720 124 9 3 33 0.385 0.024 0.173 0.025 ^b 15875 LOMROG12-PC07 3.537 350 9 3 33 0.305 0.036 0.133 0.018 15876 LOMROG12-PC07 3.657 374 9 0 0 0.354 0.048 0.185 0.031 15877 LOMROG12-PC07 3.787 402 4 3 75 0.348 0.174 15878 LOMROG12-PC07 4.210 485 6 3 50 0.402 0.012 0.193 0.011 ^b 15879 LOMROG12-PC07 4.405 502 7 2 29 0.335 0.014 0.155 0.012 15880 LOMROG12-PC09 4.510 510 5 3 60 0.307 0.29 0.415 0.034 22765 LOMROG12-PC09 0.800 55 17	15873		1.420	90	a	2	22	0.368	0.000	0.100	0.027
13014 LOMROG12-PC07 1.120 124 3 3 33 0.305 0.304 0.113 0.025 ^b 15875 LOMROG12-PC07 3.537 350 9 3 33 0.305 0.036 0.133 0.018 15876 LOMROG12-PC07 3.657 374 9 0 0 0.354 0.048 0.185 0.031 15877 LOMROG12-PC07 3.787 402 4 3 75 0.348 0.174 15878 LOMROG12-PC07 4.210 485 6 3 50 0.402 0.012 0.193 0.011 ^b 15879 LOMROG12-PC07 4.405 502 7 2 29 0.335 0.014 0.155 0.012 15880 LOMROG12-PC07 4.510 510 5 3 60 0.307 0.029 0.145 0.034 22765 LOMROG12-PC09 1.800 255 17 2 12 0.379 0.012	15874		1.300	104	9	2	33	0.385	0.000	0.100	0.024
15873 LOMROG 12-PC07 3.57 350 3 35 0.303 0.003 0.113 0.016 15876 LOMROG 12-PC07 3.657 374 9 0 0 0.354 0.048 0.185 0.031 15877 LOMROG 12-PC07 3.787 402 4 3 75 0.348 0.174 15878 LOMROG 12-PC07 4.210 485 6 3 50 0.402 0.012 0.193 0.011 ^b 15879 LOMROG 12-PC07 4.405 502 7 2 29 0.335 0.014 0.155 0.012 15880 LOMROG 12-PC07 4.510 510 5 3 60 0.307 0.029 0.145 0.034 22765 LOMROG 12-PC09 0.800 55 17 2 12 0.379 0.012 0.197 0.010 22766 LOMROG 12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 </td <td>^D15975</td> <td></td> <td>3.527</td> <td>124</td> <td>9</td> <td>3</td> <td>33</td> <td>0.305</td> <td>0.024</td> <td>0.173</td> <td>0.023</td>	^D 15975		3.527	124	9	3	33	0.305	0.024	0.173	0.023
15070 LOMINGO 12-POOT 3.037 374 9 0 0 0.334 0.046 0.163 0.031 15877 LOMROG12-PC07 3.787 402 4 3 75 0.348 0.174 15878 LOMROG12-PC07 4.210 485 6 3 50 0.402 0.012 0.193 0.011 ^b 15879 LOMROG12-PC07 4.405 502 7 2 29 0.335 0.014 0.155 0.012 15880 LOMROG12-PC07 4.510 510 5 3 60 0.307 0.029 0.145 0.034 22765 LOMROG12-PC09 0.800 55 17 2 12 0.379 0.012 0.197 0.010 22766 LOMROG12-PC09 1.250 129 10 0 0 0.400 0.029 0.209 0.027 22767 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023	15876		3 657	300	9	0	0	0.303	0.030	0.100	0.010
ISGN LOWINGG12-PC07 3.707 402 4 3 75 0.348 0.174 15878 LOMROG12-PC07 4.210 485 6 3 50 0.402 0.012 0.193 0.011 b15879 LOMROG12-PC07 4.405 502 7 2 29 0.335 0.014 0.155 0.012 15880 LOMROG12-PC07 4.510 510 5 3 60 0.307 0.029 0.145 0.034 22765 LOMROG12-PC09 0.800 55 17 2 12 0.379 0.012 0.197 0.010 22766 LOMROG12-PC09 1.250 129 10 0 0 0.400 0.029 0.209 0.030 22767 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 0.027 22768 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 233 17 3 18 0.435 0.019	15070		2 707	3/4	9	0	75	0.334	0.040	0.100	0.031
13878 LOMROG12-PC07 4.210 485 6 3 50 0.402 0.012 0.133 0.011 ^b 15879 LOMROG12-PC07 4.405 502 7 2 29 0.335 0.014 0.155 0.012 15880 LOMROG12-PC07 4.510 510 5 3 60 0.307 0.029 0.145 0.034 22765 LOMROG12-PC09 0.800 55 17 2 12 0.379 0.012 0.197 0.010 22766 LOMROG12-PC09 1.250 129 10 0 0 0.400 0.029 0.209 0.030 22767 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 0.027 22768 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 0.024 22769 LOMROG12-PC09 2.160 276 13 1 8	15077	LOMROG12-PC07	3.707	402	4	3	75	0.340	0.010	0.174	0.011
15879 LOMROG12-PC07 4.405 502 7 2 29 0.335 0.014 0.155 0.012 15880 LOMROG12-PC07 4.510 510 5 3 60 0.307 0.029 0.145 0.034 22765 LOMROG12-PC09 0.800 55 17 2 12 0.379 0.012 0.197 0.010 22766 LOMROG12-PC09 1.250 129 10 0 0 0.400 0.029 0.209 0.030 22767 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 0.027 22768 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 0.027 22769 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 13 1 8 0.475 0.053 0.278 0.040 ^b 22770 LOMROG12-PC09 3.400 603 11 4 36	15878	LOMROG12-PC07	4.210	485	0	3	50	0.402	0.012	0.193	0.011
15880 LOMROG12-PC07 4.510 510 5 3 60 0.307 0.029 0.145 0.034 22765 LOMROG12-PC09 0.800 55 17 2 12 0.379 0.012 0.197 0.010 22766 LOMROG12-PC09 1.250 129 10 0 0 0.400 0.029 0.209 0.030 22767 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 0.027 22768 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 0.024 22769 LOMROG12-PC09 1.880 233 17 3 18 0.435 0.019 0.232 0.024 22769 LOMROG12-PC09 2.160 276 13 1 8 0.475 0.053 0.278 0.040 ^b 22770 LOMROG12-PC09 3.400 603 11 4 36 0.407 0.005 0.203 0.006 22745 ODP 151/907A <td< td=""><td>-15879</td><td>LOMROG12-PC07</td><td>4.405</td><td>502</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>29</td><td>0.335</td><td>0.014</td><td>0.155</td><td>0.012</td></td<>	-15879	LOMROG12-PC07	4.405	502	1	2	29	0.335	0.014	0.155	0.012
22765 LOMROG12-PC09 0.800 55 17 2 12 0.379 0.012 0.197 0.010 22766 LOMROG12-PC09 1.250 129 10 0 0 0.400 0.029 0.209 0.030 22767 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 0.027 22768 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 0.027 22769 LOMROG12-PC09 1.980 233 17 3 18 0.435 0.019 0.232 0.024 22769 LOMROG12-PC09 2.160 276 13 1 8 0.475 0.053 0.278 0.040 ^b 22770 LOMROG12-PC09 3.400 603 11 4 36 0.407 0.005 0.203 0.006 <i>Leland Sea</i>	15880	LOMROG12-PC07	4.510	510	5	3	60	0.307	0.029	0.145	0.034
22766 LOMROG12-PC09 1.250 129 10 0 0 0.400 0.029 0.209 0.030 22767 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 0.027 22768 LOMROG12-PC09 1.980 233 17 3 18 0.435 0.019 0.232 0.024 22769 LOMROG12-PC09 2.160 276 13 1 8 0.475 0.053 0.278 0.040 ^b 22770 LOMROG12-PC09 3.400 603 11 4 36 0.407 0.005 0.203 0.006 ^b 22770 LOMROG12-PC09 3.400 603 11 4 36 0.407 0.005 0.203 0.006 Iceland Sea	22765	LOMROG12-PC09	0.800	55	17	2	12	0.379	0.012	0.197	0.010
22767 LOMROG12-PC09 1.840 205 12 2 17 0.416 0.023 0.207 0.027 22768 LOMROG12-PC09 1.980 233 17 3 18 0.435 0.019 0.232 0.024 22769 LOMROG12-PC09 2.160 276 13 1 8 0.475 0.053 0.278 0.040 ^b 22770 LOMROG12-PC09 3.400 603 11 4 36 0.407 0.005 0.203 0.006 lceland Sea <th<< td=""><td>22766</td><td>LOMROG12-PC09</td><td>1.250</td><td>129</td><td>10</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.400</td><td>0.029</td><td>0.209</td><td>0.030</td></th<<>	22766	LOMROG12-PC09	1.250	129	10	0	0	0.400	0.029	0.209	0.030
22768 LOMROG12-PC09 1.980 233 17 3 18 0.435 0.019 0.232 0.024 22769 LOMROG12-PC09 2.160 276 13 1 8 0.475 0.053 0.278 0.040 ^b 22770 LOMROG12-PC09 3.400 603 11 4 36 0.407 0.005 0.203 0.006 lceland Sea <th<< td=""><td>22767</td><td>LOMROG12-PC09</td><td>1.840</td><td>205</td><td>12</td><td>2</td><td>17</td><td>0.416</td><td>0.023</td><td>0.207</td><td>0.027</td></th<<>	22767	LOMROG12-PC09	1.840	205	12	2	17	0.416	0.023	0.207	0.027
22769 LOMROG12-PC09 2.160 276 13 1 8 0.475 0.053 0.278 0.040 *22769 LOMROG12-PC09 3.400 603 11 4 36 0.407 0.005 0.203 0.006 b Iceland Sea Image: Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4"Colspan="4">Colspan="4"Colspan="4"Colspan="4">Colspan="4"Colspan="	22768	LOMROG12-PC09	1.980	233	17	3	18	0.435	0.019	0.232	0.024
b22770 LOMROG12-PC09 3.400 603 11 4 36 0.407 0.005 0.203 0.006 Iceland Sea Image: Comp 151/907A 1.050 42 14 2 14 0.200 0.008 0.079 0.006 22746 ODP 151/907A 1.870 107 13 2 15 0.281 0.005 0.114 0.003 22747 ODP 151/907A 2.350 131 17 2 12 0.325 0.011 0.146 0.009	22769	LOMROG12-PC09	2.160	276	13	1	8	0.475	0.053	0.278	0.040
Iceland Sea Iceland Sea <thiceland sea<="" th=""> <thiceland sea<="" th=""></thiceland></thiceland>	₽22770	LOMROG12-PC09	3.400	603	11	4	36	0.407	0.005	0.203	0.006
22745 ODP 151/907A 1.050 42 14 2 14 0.200 0.008 0.079 0.006 22746 ODP 151/907A 1.870 107 13 2 15 0.281 0.005 0.114 0.003 22747 ODP 151/907A 2.350 131 17 2 12 0.325 0.011 0.146 0.009		Iceland Sea									
22746 ODP 151/907A 1.870 107 13 2 15 0.281 0.005 0.114 0.003 22747 ODP 151/907A 2.350 131 17 2 12 0.325 0.011 0.146 0.009	22745	ODP 151/ 907A	1.050	42	14	2	14	0.200	0.008	0.079	0.006
22747 ODP 151/907A 2.350 131 17 2 12 0.325 0.011 0.146 0.009	22746	ODP 151/ 907A	1.870	107	13	2	15	0.281	0.005	0.114	0.003
	22747	ODP 151/ 907A	2.350	131	17	2	12	0.325	0.011	0.146	0.009

22748	ODP 151/ 907A	3.060	168	15	0	0	0.355	0.011	0.171	0.009
22749	ODP 151/ 907A	5.060	309	17	2	12	0.395	0.016	0.192	0.014
22750	ODP 151/ 907A	6.810	398	12	1	8	0.388	0.013	0.183	0.016
22751	ODP 151/ 907A	15.210	781	10	3	30	0.482	0.036	0.250	0.046
	Greenland Sea									
22732	PS17 / 1906-2	0.150	10	12	3	25	0.129	0.003	0.067	0.004
22733	PS17 / 1906-2	1.805	85	17	0	0	0.263	0.008	0.111	0.008
22734	PS17 / 1906-2	2.005	111	12	0	0	0.306	0.006	0.138	0.005
22735	PS17 / 1906-2	2.105	117	12	4	33	0.312	0.010	0.141	0.009
22736	PS17 / 1906-2	2.205	122	12	3	25	0.347	0.011	0.169	0.012
22737	PS17 / 1906-2	3.290	207	8	1	13	0.343	0.011	0.171	0.008
22738	PS17 / 1906-2	3.605	225	12	2	17	0.355	0.010	0.178	0.010
22739	PS17 / 1906-2	5.505	398	5	1	20	0.439	0.030	0.227	0.036
Cibicido	oides wuellerstorfi							•		
	Alpha Ridge									
17341	AO16-9-PC1	0.190	16	10	0	0	0.235	0.061	0.099	0.040
17342	AO16-9-PC1	0.210	22	10	1	10	0.326	0.027	0.158	0.020
17343	AO16-9-PC1	0.970	127	10	0	0	0.502	0.005	0.311	0.010
17344	AO16-9-PC1	1.260	188	10	0	0	0.522	0.007	0.321	0.008
17346	AO16-9-PC1	2.415	599	10	2	20	0.523	0.101	0.302	0.094
	Lomonosov Ridge									
17331	AO16-5-PC1	0.090	30	10	1	10	0.342	0.012	0.155	0.006
17333	AO16-5-PC1	1.370	105	7	1	14	0.525	0.020	0.339	0.032
22752	LOMROG12-TWC03	0.025	16	13	1	8	0.144	0.012	0.047	0.006
22753	LOMROG12-TWC03	0.095	38	18	3	17	0.157	0.014	0.053	0.006
17327	LOMROG12-TWC03	0.215	44	8	0	0	0.341	0.006	0.161	0.006
22754	LOMROG12-PC03	0.520	66	13	3	23	0.412	0.014	0.208	0.013
17328	LOMROG12-PC03	0.540	98	8	0	0	0.433	0.009	0.234	0.009
17329	LOMROG12-PC03	0.741	198	8	1	13	0.485	0.008	0.284	0.008
17330	LOMROG12-PC03	1.271	63	8	1	13	0.541	0.010	0.328	0.019
[▶] 22755	LOMROG12-PC03	1.441	247	9	1	11	0.491	0.017	0.267	0.035
22756	LOMROG12-PC09	0.800	73	12	1	8	0.433	0.011	0.236	0.011
22757	LOMROG12-PC09	1.250	140	22	2	9	0.476	0.007	0.266	0.011
22758	LOMROG12-PC09	1.980	224	5	0	0	0.508	0.015	0.281	0.015
	Iceland Sea									
22740	ODP 151/ 907A	1.050	42	9	3	33	0.166	0.026	0.059	0.013
22741	ODP 151/ 907A	1.870	107	21	1	5	0.337	0.015	0.151	0.010
[°] 22742	ODP 151/ 907A	2.350	131	15	6	40	0.160	0.013	0.064	0.006
22743	ODP 151/ 907A	3.060	168	10	1	10	0.388	0.025	0.198	0.026
22744	ODP 151/ 907A	15.210	781	18	1	6	0.549	0.016	0.345	0.032
	Greenland Sea				-	-				
22724	PS17 / 1906-2	0.150	10	15	0	0	0.175	0.009	0.059	0.004
22725	PS17 / 1906-2	1.805	85	18	3	17	0.328	0.010	0.144	0.007
22726	PS17 / 1906-2	2.005	111	20	1	5	0.351	0.016	0.160	0.007
22727	PS17 / 1906-2	2.105	117	18	1	6	0.357	0.009	0.171	0.007
22728	PS17 / 1906-2	2.205	122	21	1	5	0.379	0.016	0.191	0.015
22729	PS17 / 1906-2	3.290	207	6	1	17	0.443	0.050	0.258	0.056
⁵ 22730	PS17 / 1906-2	3.605	225	6	0	0	0.343	0.014	0.160	0.023
22731	PS17 / 1906-2	5.505	398	6	0	0	0.517	0.018	0.331	0.026

Table 2: Extent of racemization (D/L) for aspartic acid (Asp) and glutamic acid (Glu) in samples of *Neogloboquadrina* pachyderma and *Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi* from Arctic Ocean sediment cores. Reported ages are from published age models (Table 1). 190

^a Number of subsamples used to calculate the mean and standard deviation ^b Stratigraphically reversed sample

b) Inter-species comparison

200

The overall subsample rejection rate was higher for *N. pachyderma* (22.7 %) than for *C. wuellerstorfi* (9.9 %) (Table 3). Only one *C. wuellerstorfi* subsample was rejected due to high serine content (L-Ser / L-Asp \ge 0.8), significantly fewer than those for *N. pachyderma*, implying that secondary amino acids (contamination) were not introduced during core storage or laboratory analysis because both species were treated similarly.

Species	Total number	L-Ser / L-Asp	Non-covarying D/L Asp	D/L Asp or D/L Glu not within	
	of subsamples	≥ 0.8	and D/L Glu	$\pm 2\sigma$ of sample mean	
N. pachyderma	635	81	20	43	
C. wuellerstorfi	374	1	16	20	

Table 3: Number of rejected subsamples per rejection criterion.

205 The proportion of samples with high intra-sample variability (coefficient of variation for D/L Asp and Glu > 10 %, following data screening) was approximately twice as high for *N. pachyderma* as for *C. wuellerstorfi*.

The rate of amino acid racemization varies between different foraminifera species (King & Neville, 1977). As some samples (n = 21) from certain stratigraphic intervals contained specimens of both *N. pachyderma* and *C. wuellerstorfi*, this allowed a direct comparison of the rates of racemization in the two species (Fig. 3). One stratigraphically reversed sample was omitted prior to analysis. The slope of the least-squares regression fit to the D/L versus D/L data indicates that Asp racemized, on average, 15 ± 2 % (n = 19) faster in *C. wuellerstorfi* than in *N. pachyderma*, and similarly, Glu also racemized faster (23 ± 2 %) in *C. wuellerstorfi* than in *N. pachyderma*.

215



Figure 3: Extent of racemization for aspartic acid (Asp) and glutamic acid (Glu) in *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* and *Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi* from samples from the same stratigraphic depths collected from different regions of the Arctic Ocean. Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$ intra-sample variability, solid line is least squares regression with 95%

220 confidence shown in grey, and the dashed line is the line of equality. Open symbols mark the samples excluded from regression analysis.

c) <u>Relationship between D/L values and age / stratigraphic depth</u>

225 The extent of racemization (D/L values) for Asp and Glu show a systematic increasing trend with increasing age of samples of both species from the Greenland and Iceland seas, and follow a simple power function (Fig. 4). A simple power law was used to model the forward rate of racemization in this study, as this is typical for racemization of amino acids in biominerals held under isothermal conditions (e.g. Kaufman, 2006; Clarke and Murray-Wallace, 2006).





Figure 4: Extent of racemization for aspartic acid (Asp) and glutamic acid (Glu) in samples of *N. pachyderma* and *C. wuellerstorfi* from sediment cores PS17/1906-2 (Greenland Sea) and ODP 151/907A (Iceland Sea). Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$ intra-sample variability and curves are power functions. Stratigraphically reversed samples are marked with unfilled symbols and were excluded to improve the goodness of fit.

D/L values obtained from sediment cores from the central Arctic Ocean, where age-depth models are less certain, are displayed on the ACEX composite depth scale based on correlations using bulk density profiles (Fig. 5). The extent of racemization follows a simple power function (stratigraphically reversed samples excluded), as

240

245

also observed in samples from the Nordic Seas, and D/L values generally overlap between correlative samples from multiple cores. This directly supports the accuracy of the lithostratigraphic correlations established among the sites. D/L values from *N. pachyderma* appear to reach a plateau at ~0.4 for Asp and ~0.2 for Glu (~6 m depth in the ACEX record). Plateauing of D/L Asp values was previously documented in other Arctic Ocean cores as well (Kaufman et al., 2008). It is unclear whether plateauing is present in *C. wuellerstorfi* samples, as only a few samples were analysed in the corresponding age range, and the oldest sample has the largest intra-sample variability.

Aspartic acid Glutamic acid 0.4 Alpha Ridge Alpha Ridge 0.6 omonosov Ridge. omonosov Ridge D/L Asp N. pachyderma D/L Glu N. pachyderma 0.3 0 0.4 0.2 0 0.1 0.2 0.0 0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 10.0 0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 10.0 Depth (m) Depth (m) Aspartic acid Glutamic acid 0.4 0.6 D/L Asp C. wuellerstorfi D/L Glu C. wuellerstorfi 0.3 0.4 0.2 0.1 0.2 Alpha Ridge Alpha Ridge omonosov Ridge. omonosov Ridge 0.0 7.5 0.0 2.5 5.0 10.0 0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 10.0 Depth (m) Age (ka)



this study were grouped based on their geographical location (Alpha Ridge – red, Lomonosov Ridge – blue). Error bars are $\pm 1\sigma$ intra-sample variability, curves are power functions and unfilled symbols mark stratigraphically reversed samples.

255 4. Discussion

Aspartic acid and glutamic acid racemization analyses of multiple foraminifera taxa obtained from cold bottom water sites across the globe showed that sample ages can be confidently estimated by calibrated age equations, which relate the extent of racemization of the amino acids to independently determined sample age (Kaufman et al., 2013). These globally derived age equations are based on both planktic and benthic foraminifera species with *N. pachyderma* contributing up to ~21% of all samples, but *C. wuellerstorfi* is not represented. Ages derived using the global age equations generally agree with independently derived ages at the Yermak Plateau (West et al., 2019), but do not agree with previously published age models from the central Arctic Ocean, beyond about 40 ka. If existing age models in the central Arctic Ocean are correct, the extent of racemization for *N. pachyderma* is higher than expected when compared with other oceans (Kaufman et al., 2008, 2013).

The results of AAR presented here show that Asp and Glu racemize in a predictable manner in both *N. pachyderma* and *C. wuellerstorfi* samples from the Nordic Seas (Fig. 4), although the rates differ systematically between the species (Fig. 3). Both D/L Asp and D/L Glu values obtained from *N. pachyderma* samples from the

270 Nordic Seas clearly follow a trend previously observed at the Yermak Plateau (Fig. 6), implying that racemization kinetics are indistinguishable in these areas. These data from independently dated cores from cold-water sites provide further support for the integrity of the AAR technique, and for the globally calibrated age equations of Kaufman et al. (2013).



Figure 6: Extent of racemization in aspartic acid (Asp) and glutamic acid (Glu) in *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* and *Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi* from the Greenland and Iceland seas and the Yermak Plateau. Black, green and red curves are power functions fit to the data. Blue curves are the globally calibrated age equations of Kaufman et al. (2013). Data for the Yermak Plateau from West et al. (2019). Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$ intra-sample variability.

The inter-species comparisons show that Asp racemizes approximately 16 % faster in *C. wuellerstorfi* than in *N. pachyderma* (Fig. 3). Differences of similar magnitude were previously observed between *N. pachyderma* and *Pulleniatina obliquiloculata*, in which Asp racemizes 12-16 % faster than in *N. pachyderma* (Kaufman et al., 2013). Quantifying the relative differences of the racemization rates between species can facilitate future AAR studies. When a taxon is unavailable at a certain stratigraphic depth, the extent of racemization in one species could be adjusted to that of another based on the difference in the observed rate of racemization. *C. wuellerstorfi* was not represented in the globally calibrated age equations and the higher rate of AAR in this species suggests that D/L values should not be used in combination with the equations without adjustment (Fig. 6).

290 The purportedly higher rates of racemization in *N. pachyderma* from the central Arctic Ocean were argued to be caused by factors other than taxonomic effects (Kaufman et al., 2013). Either racemization generally progresses at a higher rate here due to an unknown reason, or existing age models used to constrain the rate of AAR from the central Arctic Ocean underestimate the true age of deposition. Given the existing age models, higher-than-expected rates of racemization are also observed in this study in both *N. pachyderma* and *C. wuellerstorfi* samples from the central Arctic Ocean (Fig. 7). Differences between ages predicted by the global calibrated age equation and currently available sample ages based on the ACEX age model are larger for *C. wuellerstorfi*, as expected, since racemization proceeds faster in this taxon than in *N. pachyderma*.





Figure 7: Extent of racemization for aspartic acid (Asp) and glutamic acid (Glu) in *N. pachyderma* and *C. wuellerstorfi* from the central Arctic Ocean. D/L values are displayed against the ACEX age model. D/L values versus age trend defined by the globally calibrated age equations (Kaufman et al., 2013) is shown in blue. Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$ intra-sample variability.

305 The AAR trends in *C. wuellerstorfi* samples from the Nordic Seas, where age models are better constrained, can also be compared with those in the central Arctic Ocean samples. The racemization of Asp and Glu in *C. wuellerstorfi* samples from both the Greenland and Iceland seas (GIS) follow the same trend (Fig. 4), which can be approximated with regression analysis. Following the removal of stratigraphically reversed samples (n = 3) and samples with high analytical uncertainty (coefficient of variation > 10 %, n = 5), simple power functions
310 (Eq. 1 and 2)

t = 3827.6 * (D/L Asp)^{3.395} (1) t = 4979.1 * (D/L Glu)^{2.138} (2)

315 (where t = age in ka) fit the D/L Asp and D/L Glu versus age relationship well for the past 400 ka (the period for which these models are robust). Applying these equations to D/L values in *C. wuellerstorfi* from the central Arctic Ocean reveals that sample ages are younger than predicted by the model based on the Nordic Sea samples (Fig. 8). The higher-than-expected D/L values observed for *C. wuellerstorfi* from the central Arctic Ocean are not the confirm earlier findings, which argued that higher racemization rates in the central Arctic Ocean are not the result of taxonomic effects (Kaufman et al., 2013).



Figure 8. Aspartic acid (Asp) and glutamic acid (Glu) racemization versus sample age in *C. wuellerstorfi* from the central Arctic Ocean. Black curves are the age equations determined by samples from the Greenland and Iceland seas (GIS trend); black dashed curves mark 95% confidence intervals. Error bars represent ± 1σ intra-sample variability.

The discrepancy between the globally (*N. pachyderma*) and GIS (*C. wuellerstorfi*) calibrated AAR ages and the established chronology for central Arctic Ocean sediments is illustrated in Figure 9 and Table 4. The calibrated
330 AAR ages suggest that intervals previously interpreted as substages in MIS 5 are instead separate interglacial periods extending back to MIS 9. This interpretation would indicate that the diamict unit previously assigned to

MIS 6, and representing the onset of a fundamentally different depositional regime in the Arctic characterised by recurrent coarse-grained facies (O'Regan et al., 2010), is likely older than MIS 8 and possibly as old as MIS 12. Exact age determinations are difficult due to a paucity of data from these lower depths, and increased downcore scatter in the stacked AAR results (*discussed below*).

335

It cannot be excluded that the established chronologies of the central Arctic Ocean sediments underestimate their true ages, but significant shifts (representing multiple glacial cycles) in sediment ages are required. It is important to recognise that this results in a number of fundamental inconsistencies when compared with other

- 340 geochronological data. For example, recent work (O'Regan et al., 2020) placed the first occurrence of the coccolithophore, *Emiliania huxleyi*, in core LOMROG12-PC03 at 1.39±0.02 mbsf in MIS 7 (~220 ka). The AAR age equations (1) and (2), defined by the trend in *C. wuellerstorfi* samples from the Greenland and Iceland seas, yield estimated ages of 475±12 ka (D/L Asp) or 459±21 ka (D/L Glu) (MIS 12/13) based on the mean D/L ±1SE for a sample from 1.27 m in this core. Similarly, the globally calibrated age equation (Kaufman et al.,
- 2013) returns an MIS 12 age of 427±20 ka for *N. pachyderma* samples from 1.29 m in LOMROG12-PC03. These ages are substantially older than the evolutionary occurrence of *E. huxleyi* during MIS 8 (Thierstein et al., 1977; Anthonissen and Ogg, 2012). Furthermore, *E. huxleyi* is abundant in the 0.58–0.82 mbsf core interval of LOMROG12-PC03, which was assigned an MIS 5 age (O'Regan et al., 2020). In contrast, the AAR trend from the Greenland and Iceland seas assigns ages of 189±6 to 328±7 ka (MIS 6–9) for the 0.52–0.74 m core depths
- 350 (Table 4). This could only be reconciled with the existence of *E. huxleyi* in this interval, if it had entered the Arctic shortly after its first evolutionary occurrence. However, the MIS 6-9 age is not consistent with results from optically stimulated luminescence dating in stratigraphically coeval sediments from another core on the Lomonosov Ridge, which support the MIS 5 age assignment (Jakobsson et al., 2003).

Stratigraphic depth (m)	ACEX equivalent depth (m)	Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) correlation						
		ACEX age model (Backman et al., 2008; O'Regan et al., 2008) and <i>E. huxleyii</i> occurrences (O'Regan et al., 2020)	AAR geochronology – aspartic acid in <i>N.</i> <i>pachyderma</i> (Kaufman et al., 2013)	AAR geochronology – aspartic acid in <i>C.</i> <i>wuellerstorfi</i> (this study)				
0.52-0.74	1.96-3.35	MIS 5	MIS 6-9	MIS 6-9				
1.27-1.39	4.62-5.44	MIS 7	MIS 9-12	MIS 9-12				

355

360

Table 4: Age estimates for selected stratigraphic intervals in core LOMROG12-PC03 based on the ACEX age model and occurrences of *E. huxleyi*, and AAR geochronology.

On the other hand, sedimentation rates estimated using the AAR global and GIS calibration equations are consistent with radiocarbon derived estimates over the past 40 ka, but beyond this point remain intermediate between the proposed age model for the ACEX record (O'Regan et al., 2008) and those recently inferred from ²³⁰Th and ²³¹Pa extinction ages in sedimentary sequences from this region of the Lomonosov Ridge (Hillaire-Marcel et al., 2017; Purcell et al., 2022). Additional work is required to understand the origin and resolve the differences between these geochronological approaches. However, a critical observation is that even the older estimated ages from the global and GIS calibration equations remain considerably younger than the long-

overturned paleomagnetic-derived age model that would place the Bruhnes-Matuyama boundary (~780 ka) at approximately 5 m depth in the ACEX record (O'Regan et al., 2008) (Fig. 9).



Figure 9: Alternative age-depth relationships in sediment cores from the central Arctic Ocean. Circles and diamonds mark ages estimated by globally calibrated rates of racemization of aspartic acid in *N. pachyderma* (Kaufman et al., 2013) and GIS-calibrated rates of racemization of aspartic acid in *C. wuellerstorfi* (this study), respectively. Error bars represent 95 % confidence intervals. The ACEX age model is based on Backman et al. (2008), Frank et al. (2008), and O'Regan et al. (2008). Also shown are ACEX digital core image, marine oxygen isotope stage (MIS) boundaries based on the ACEX age model, bulk density (ρ) profile of the ACEX core, and the global benthic δ¹⁸O record and corresponding MIS 1-15 based on Lisiecki & Raymo (2005).

An alternative to central Arctic Ocean age models underestimating the true age of sediments is that the rate of AAR in foraminifera from the central Arctic Ocean is faster than in the eastern Arctic Ocean (West et al., 2019), the Nordic Seas (this study), and other globally distributed cold water sites (Kaufman et al., 2013). A fundamental premise of this study is that the investigated central Arctic Ocean sites have experienced similar temperatures histories to those other cold water sites. Modern bottom water temperatures near the coring sites are very similar (Table 1), but they may have differed in the past. Cronin et al. (2012) showed that the central Arctic basin waters, at ~1000-2500 m depth interval, were 1-2°C warmer during the past 50–11 ka than today. While this might account for part of the apparently higher rate of AAR in the central Arctic Ocean, West et al.

380

385 (2019) showed that more substantial differences (~ >4 °C) in effective diagenetic temperatures would be required to achieve the differences observed between D/L values of equivalent age samples from sediment cores from the central Arctic Ocean and those from the Nordic Seas. Available heat flow data (Shephard et al., 2018) show that geothermal flux is not unusually high in the central Arctic Ocean when compared to the Yermak Plateau, and thus cannot explain the apparently higher rates of racemization. Therefore, while offsets in D/L

390 values in stratigraphically coeval sections of different central Arctic Ocean cores in this study might, in part, be attributed to differences in bottom water temperatures, such differences are unlikely able to explain the overall higher inferred rates of racemization compared to other global sites.

D/L values in many samples of *N. pachyderma* from the central Arctic Ocean cores exhibit a distinct plateauing
below the ~5.5–6 m composite ACEX depth (Fig. 5 and 9). Some of these samples are stratigraphically reversed
(i.e. their D/L values are lower than of those from shallower depths). Such plateauing of D/L values was
previously observed in cores from other areas of the Arctic Ocean (Kaufman et al., 2008), but its cause remains
unclear. While sediment mass movements, glacial erosion and subsequent re-deposition are known to occur
across the Arctic Ocean (e.g. Jakobsson & O'Regan, 2016; Boggild et al., 2020; Pérez et al., 2020; Schlager et
al., 2021), this explanation would require that almost all of the material above 5.5–6 m composite depth was
reworked similarly across multiple cores, which seems highly unlikely.

Local differences in sedimentation rates might also account for some of the observed scatter in AAR results. For example, there are pronounced regional differences in the thickness of correlative units in the studied cores (Fig.
2), implying highly variable sedimentation rates between the sites. In cores with lower sedimentation rates, the influence of bioturbation could conceivably introduce significant scatter though mixing of individuals of different ages.

Overall, sedimentation rates in the central Arctic Ocean are much lower in marginal areas closer to the shelves 410 (Backman et al., 2004), and can be greatly reduced during glacials in some regions of the Arctic Ocean (Jakobsson et al., 2014). Conversely, thick diamict units suggest rapid influxes of ice rafted material during some glacials or glacial terminations. These punctuated episodes of sedimentation can not only introduce hiatuses, but also impact the length of time biocarbonates are exposed to microbial activity on the seafloor (Sejrup and Haugen, 1994), which in turn can speed up or slow down organic diagenetic processes that influence the rate of AAR. For example, where sedimentation rates are low, greater microbial activity could 415 increase organic diagenesis and lead to higher apparent rates of AAR. On the other hand, continuous turnover and reworking of microbial necromass within the foraminifera test could instead lower the apparent rate of racemization via regeneration of L-amino acids, and alter the apparent AAR rates, as has been documented for bulk organic matter in marine sediments (Braun et al., 2017). The composition of the microbial community may 420 also have an impact. Kubota et al. (2016) isolated alphaproteobacteria from deep-sea sediments from the Sagami Bay (Japan), which exclusively utilised D amino acids as a carbon and nitrogen source. If such microbes were

- also present within foraminifera tests of the central Arctic Ocean, they could potentially alter the progress of racemization. Apparent plateauing of D/L values (e.g. Fig. 5, 7, 9) could be associated with these processes, but would require a distinctively different microbial sedimentary environment in the central Arctic Ocean than
- 425 elsewhere (such as the Yermak Plateau or Norwegian-Greenland Sea, where the global age-equation appears applicable). This is not inconceivable, as recently Yu et al. (2020) suggested that different marine environments could be characterised by distinct bacterial groups that utilise D-amino acids.

In the future, pre-treating the foraminifera tests with bleach to isolate the intra-crystalline fraction, which approximates a closed system during diagenesis (Penkman et al., 2008; Wheeler et al., 2021), could minimise the influence of bacterial activity on racemization rates. However, recent work (Millman et al., 2022) showed that bleaching does not necessarily improve the quality of AAR results in foraminifera, thus the current study used the standard weak oxidative pre-treatment. Future work should investigate how bleaching impacts AAR results from central Arctic Ocean cores.

435

440

If the rate of AAR in foraminifera is indeed higher in the central Arctic Ocean, its exact cause remains unknown. The data reported in this study confirm that it is not caused by taxonomic effects. It is observed not only in the planktic *N. pachyderma* but also in the benthic species *C. wuellerstorfi*. We have highlighted the discrepancies that arise in central Arctic Ocean age models if the global (*N. pachyderma*) and GIS (*C. wuellerstorfi*) AAR age equations are applied. This alternate geochronological interpretation should be considered in future attempts to reconcile the currently disparate results from different dating techniques applied to Arctic Ocean sediments.

5. Conclusions

445

460

- Aspartic acid and glutamic acid racemize faster in *C. wuellerstorfi* than in *N. pachyderma*, and the extent of racemization for these amino acids increases progressively with sample age in both species from multiple sediment cores. Their trends conform to a simple power function.
- *C. wuellerstorfi* samples are characterised by lower intra-sample variability than those of *N. pachyderma*, and this, coupled with a reduced subsample rejection rate and faster sample processing offered by its larger tests make it an appealing target of future AAR studies.
- Ages of *N. pachyderma* samples from the Greenland and Iceland seas agree with ages predicted by globally calibrated age equations for aspartic acid and glutamic acids (Kaufman et al., 2013), and confirm their applicability in these polar regions.
 - The rate of racemization for *C. wuellerstorfi* was calibrated for the past 400 ka using samples from the Greenland and Iceland seas. Applying this calibration to the *C. wuellerstorfi* samples from the central Arctic Ocean indicates that they are older than their currently accepted ages. This confirms that higher-than-expected D/L values in *N. pachyderma* from the central Arctic Ocean are not the result of taxonomic effects.
- We cannot find a clear reason why age calibration equations that work globally in the eastern Arctic
 Ocean, and in the Nordic Seas cannot be applied in the central Arctic Ocean. As such the older ages predicted by these equations for central Arctic Ocean sediments remain a viable option when comparing and assessing results from different approaches to dating Arctic marine sediments.

Regardless of the age equation applied, there remains substantial scatter in the AAR age estimates for stratigraphically coeval intervals in central Arctic Ocean cores. This likely reflects a combination of enhanced mixing in low sedimentation rate environments, differences in effective diagenetic burial temperatures, and potentially the poorly defined role of microbial activity.

475

Acknowledgements

Funding for this research was provided by the Swedish Research Council (grant number: DNR-2016-05092), the Bolin Centre for Climate Research (Ref. RA6_21_05), and the US National Science Foundation (1855381). We
thank all expedition crew members and scientific parties who facilitated data collection. We further thank Jutta Wollenburg, Jens Matthiessen and the IODP Bremen Core Repository for providing samples from the Greenland and Iceland seas, and Jordon Bright, Joshua Smith and Katherine Whitacre for laboratory assistance.

Supplementary Material

485

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version.

Data availability

490 The results of amino acid analyses of all 1009 subsamples included in this study are archived at the World Data Service for Paleoclimatology (https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/paleo-search/study/38040).

References

520

- 495 Alexanderson, H., Backman, J., Cronin, T. M., Funder, S., Ingólfsson, Ó., Jakobsson, M., Landvik, J. Y., Löwemark, L., Mangerud, J., März, C., Möller, P., O'Regan, M., and Spielhagen, R. F.: An Arctic perspective on dating Mid-Late Pleistocene environmental history, Quat. Sci. Rev., 92, 9–31, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.09.023, 2014.
- Anthonissen, D. E. and Ogg, J. G.: Cenozoic and Cretaceous Biochronology of Planktonic Foraminifera and
 Calcareous Nannofossils, in: The Geologic Time Scale, Elsevier, 1083–1127, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-59425-9.15003-6, 2012.
 - Backman, J., Jakobsson, M., Lvlie, R., Polyak, L., and Febo, L. A.: Is the central Arctic Ocean a sediment starved basin?, Quat. Sci. Rev., 20, 2004.
- Backman, J., Jakobsson, M., Frank, M., Sangiorgi, F., Brinkhuis, H., Stickley, C., O'Regan, M., Løvlie, R.,
 Pälike, H., Spofforth, D., Gattacecca, J., Moran, K., King, J., and Heil, C.: Age model and core-seismic integration for the Cenozoic Arctic Coring Expedition sediments from the Lomonosov Ridge, Paleoceanogr Paleoclimatol, 23, PA1S01, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007PA001476, 2008.

Bauch, H. A.: Sedimentation rate of sediment core PS1906-2, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.82396, 2002.

- Bauch, H. A.: Interglacial climates and the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation: is there an Arctic
 controversy?, Quat. Sci. Rev., 63, 1–22, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.11.023, 2013.
 - Boggild, K., Mosher, D. C., Travaglini, P., Gebhardt, C., and Mayer, L.: Mass wasting on Alpha Ridge in the Arctic Ocean: new insights from multibeam bathymetry and sub-bottom profiler data, Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ., 500, 323–340, https://doi.org/10.1144/SP500-2019-196, 2020.
- Braun, S., Mhatre, S. S., Jaussi, M., Røy, H., Kjeldsen, K. U., Pearce, C., Seidenkrantz, M.-S., Jørgensen, B. B.,
 and Lomstein, B. Aa.: Microbial turnover times in the deep seabed studied by amino acid racemization modelling, Sci. Rep., 7, 5680, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05972-z, 2017.
 - Burkett, A. M., Rathburn, A. E., Elena Pérez, M., Levin, L. A., and Martin, J. B.: Colonization of over a thousand *Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi* (foraminifera: Schwager, 1866) on artificial substrates in seep and adjacent off-seep locations in dysoxic, deep-sea environments, Deep Sea Res. Part Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 117, 39–50, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2016.08.011, 2016.
 - Clarke, S.J. and Murray-Wallace, C.V.: Mathematical expressions used in amino acid racemisation geochronology—a review, Quat. Geochronol., 1(4), 261-278, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2006.12.002, 2006.

Cronin, T. M., Dwyer, G. S., Farmer, J., Bauch, H. A., Spielhagen, R. F., Jakobsson, M., Nilsson, J., Briggs, W. M., and Stepanova, A.: Deep Arctic Ocean warming during the last glacial cycle, Nat. Geosci., 5, 631–634, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1557, 2012.

- Cronin, T. M., Keller, K. J., Farmer, J. R., Schaller, M. F., O'Regan, M., Poirier, R., Coxall, H., Dwyer, G. S., Bauch, H., Kindstedt, I. G., Jakobsson, M., Marzen, R., and Santin, E.: Interglacial paleoclimate in the Arctic, Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatology, 34, 1959–1979, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019PA003708, 2019.
- Darling, K. F., Wade, C. M., Siccha, M., Trommer, G., Schulz, H., Abdolalipour, S., and Kurasawa, A.: Genetic diversity and ecology of the planktonic foraminifers *Globigerina bulloides*, *Turborotalita quinqueloba* and *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* off the Oman margin during the late SW Monsoon, Mar. Micropaleontol., 137, 64–77, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2017.10.006, 2017.
- 535 Frank, M., Backman, J., Jakobsson, M., Moran, K., O'Regan, M., King, J., Haley, B. A., Kubik, P. W., and Garbe-Schönberg, D.: Beryllium isotopes in central Arctic Ocean sediments over the past 12.3 million years: Stratigraphic and paleoclimatic implications, Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatol., 23, PA1S02, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007PA001478, 2008.
- Hanslik, D., Löwemark, L., and Jakobsson, M.: Biogenic and detrital-rich intervals in central Arctic Ocean cores
 identified using X-ray fluorescence scanning. Polar Res., 32(1), 18386, https://doi.org/10.3402/polar.v32i0.18386, 2013.
 - Haugen, J.-E., Sejrup, H. P., and Vogt, N. B.: Chemotaxonomy of Quaternary benthic foraminifera using amino acids, J. Foraminifer. Res., 19, 38–51, https://doi.org/10.2113/gsjfr.19.1.38, 1989.
- Hillaire-Marcel, C., Ghaleb, B., de Vernal, A., Maccali, J., Cuny, K., Jacobel, A., Le Duc, C., and McManus, J.:
 A new chronology of late quaternary sequences from the central Arctic Ocean based on "extinction ages" of their excesses in ²³¹ Pa and ²³⁰ Th, Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems, 18, 4573–4585, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GC007050, 2017.
 - Jakobsson, M. and O'Regan, M.: Deep iceberg ploughmarks in the central Arctic Ocean, Geol. Soc. Lond. Mem., 46, 287–288, https://doi.org/10.1144/M46.14, 2016.
- 550 Jakobsson, M., Løvlie, R., Arnold, E. M., Backman, J., Polyak, L., Knutsen, J. O., and Musatov, E.: Pleistocene stratigraphy and paleoenvironmental variation from Lomonosov Ridge sediments, central Arctic Ocean. Global Planet. Change, 31(1-4), 1-22, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(01)00110-2, 2001.
- Jakobsson, M., Backman, J., Murray, A., and Løvlie, R.: Optically stimulated luminescence dating supports central Arctic Ocean cm-scale sedimentation rates, Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems, 4, 1016, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GC000423, 2003.
 - Jakobsson, M., Andreassen, K., Bjarnadóttir, L. R., Dove, D., Dowdeswell, J. A., England, J. H., Funder, S., Hogan, K., Ingólfsson, Ó., Jennings, A., Krog Larsen, N., Kirchner, N., Landvik, J. Y., Mayer, L.,

Mikkelsen, N., Möller, P., Niessen, F., Nilsson, J., O'Regan, M., Polyak, L., Nørgaard-Pedersen, N., and Stein, R.: Arctic Ocean glacial history, Quat. Sci. Rev., 92, 40–67, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.07.033, 2014.

Jakobsson, M., Mayer, L. A., Bringensparr, C., Castro, C. F., Mohammad, R., Johnson, P., Ketter, T., Accettella, D., Amblas, D., An, L., Arndt, J. E., Canals, M., Casamor, J. L., Chauché, N., Coakley, B., Danielson, S., Demarte, M., Dickson, M.-L., Dorschel, B., Dowdeswell, J. A., Dreutter, S., Fremand, A. C., Gallant, D., Hall, J. K., Hehemann, L., Hodnesdal, H., Hong, J., Ivaldi, R., Kane, E., Klaucke, I., Krawczyk,

- D. W., Kristoffersen, Y., Kuipers, B. R., Millan, R., Masetti, G., Morlighem, M., Noormets, R., Prescott, M. M., Rebesco, M., Rignot, E., Semiletov, I., Tate, A. J., Travaglini, P., Velicogna, I., Weatherall, P., Weinrebe, W., Willis, J. K., Wood, M., Zarayskaya, Y., Zhang, T., Zimmermann, M., and Zinglersen, K. B.: The International Bathymetric Chart of the Arctic Ocean Version 4.0, Sci. Data, 7, 176, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0520-9, 2020.
- 570 Jansen, E., Fronval, T., Rack, F., and Channell, J. E. T.: IRD tuned age model of ODP Site 151-907, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.848080, 2000a.
 - Jansen, E., Fronval, T., Rack, F., and Channell, J. E. T.: Pliocene-Pleistocene ice rafting history and cyclicity in the Nordic Seas during the last 3.5 Myr, Paleoceanography, 15, 709–721, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999PA000435, 2000b.
- 575 Kaufman, D. S. and Manley, W. F.: A new procedure for determining DL amino acid ratios in fossils using reverse phase liquid chromatography, Quat. Sci. Rev., 17, 987–1000, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(97)00086-3, 1998.
- Kaufman, D. S.: Temperature sensitivity of aspartic and glutamic acid racemization in the foraminifera *Pulleniatina*, Quaternary Geochronology, 1(3), 188-207, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2006.06.008, 2006.
 - Kaufman, D. S., Polyak, L., Adler, R., Channell, J. E. T., and Xuan, C.: Dating late Quaternary planktonic foraminifer *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* from the Arctic Ocean using amino acid racemization, Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatol., 23, PA3224, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008PA001618, 2008.
- Kaufman, D., Cooper, K., Behl, R., Billups, K., Bright, J., Gardner, K., Hearty, P., Jakobsson, M., Mendes, I.,
 O'Leary, M., Polyak, L., Rasmussen, T., Rosa, F., and Schmidt, M.: Amino acid racemization in mono-specific foraminifera from Quaternary deep-sea sediments, Quat. Geochronol., 16, 50–61, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2012.07.006, 2013.
- King, K. and Neville, C.: Isoleucine epimerization for dating marine sediments: Importance of analyzing monospecific foraminiferal samples, Science, 195, 1333–1335, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.195.4284.1333, 1977.

- Kosnik, M. A. and Kaufman, D. S.: Identifying outliers and assessing the accuracy of amino acid racemization measurements for geochronology: II. Data screening, Quat. Geochronol., 3, 328–341, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2008.04.001, 2008.
- Lisiecki, L. E. and Raymo, M. E.: A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic δ¹⁸O records,
 Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatol., 20, PA1003, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004PA001071, 2005.
 - Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, O. K., Baranova, T. P., Boyer, M. M., Zweng, H. E., Garcia, J. R., Reagan, D., Seidov, K., Weathers, C., Paver, R., and Smolyar, I.: World Ocean Atlas 2018, Volume 1: Temperature. A. Mishonov Technical Ed.; NOAA Atlas NESDIS 81, 52, 2018.
- Millman E., Wheeler L., Billups K., Kaufman D., and Penkman K. E.: Testing the effect of oxidizing pre treatments on amino acids in benthic and planktic foraminifera tests, Quat. Geochron., 73, 101401, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2022.101401, 2022.
 - O'Regan, M., King, J., Backman, J., Jakobsson, M., Pälike, H., Moran, K., Heil, C., Sakamoto, T., Cronin, T. M., and Jordan, R. W.: Constraints on the Pleistocene chronology of sediments from the Lomonosov Ridge, Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatol., 23, PA1S19, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007PA001551, 2008.
- 605 O'Regan, M., John, K.S., Moran, K., Backman, J., King, J., Haley, B.A., Jakobsson, M., Frank, M. and Röhl, U.: Plio-Pleistocene trends in ice rafted debris on the Lomonosov Ridge. Quatern. Int., 219(1-2), 168-176, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2009.08.010, 2010.
- O'Regan, M., Coxall, H. K., Cronin, T. M., Gyllencreutz, R., Jakobsson, M., Kaboth, S., Löwemark, L., Wiers, S., and West, G.: Stratigraphic occurrences of sub-polar planktic foraminifera in Pleistocene sediments on the Lomonosov Ridge, Arctic Ocean, Front. Earth Sci., 7, 71, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00071, 2019.
 - O'Regan, M., Backman, J., Fornaciari, E., Jakobsson, M., and West, G.: Calcareous nannofossils anchor chronologies for Arctic Ocean sediments back to 500 ka, Geology, 48, 1115–1119, https://doi.org/10.1130/G47479.1, 2020.
- 615 Penkman K. E., Kaufman D. S., Maddy D., and Collins M.J.: Closed-system behaviour of the intra-crystalline fraction of amino acids in mollusc shells, Quat. Geochron., 3, 2-25, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2007.07.001, 2008.
 - Pérez, L. F., Jakobsson, M., Funck, T., Andresen, K. J., Nielsen, T., O'Regan, M., and Mørk, F.: Late Quaternary sedimentary processes in the central Arctic Ocean inferred from geophysical mapping, Geomorphology, 369, 107309, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2020.107309, 2020.

620

Purcell, K., Hillaire-Marcel, C., de Vernal, A., Ghaleb, B., and Stein, R.: Potential and limitation of ²³⁰Thexcess as a chronostratigraphic tool for late Quaternary Arctic Ocean sediment studies: An example from the southern Lomonosov Ridge, Mar. Geol., 448, 106802, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2022.106802, 2022.

- Raitzsch, M., Rollion-Bard, C., Horn, I., Steinhoefel, G., Benthien, A., Richter, K.-U., Buisson, M., Louvat, P.,
 and Bijma, J.: Technical note: Single-shell δ¹¹B analysis of *Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi* using femtosecond laser ablation MC-ICPMS and secondary ion mass spectrometry, Biogeosciences, 17, 5365–5375, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-5365-2020, 2020.
- Rasmussen, T. L. and Thomsen, E.: Ecology of deep-sea benthic foraminifera in the North Atlantic during the last glaciation: Food or temperature control, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 472, 15–32, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.02.012, 2017.
 - Schlager, U., Jokat, W., Weigelt, E., and Gebhardt, C.: Submarine landslides along the Siberian termination of the Lomonosov Ridge, Arctic Ocean, Geomorphology, 382, 107679, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2021.107679, 2021.
- Sejrup, H. P. and Haugen, J.-E.: Foraminiferal amino acid stratigraphy of the Nordic Seas: geological data and
 pyrolysis experiments, Deep Sea Res. Part Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 39, S603–S623, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0198-0149(06)80022-1, 1992.
 - Sejrup, H. P. and Haugen, J.-E.: Amino acid diagenesis in the marine bivalve Arctica islandica Linné from northwest European sites: Only time and temperature?, J. Quat. Sci., 9, 301–309, https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3390090402, 1994.
- 640 Sejrup, H. P., Miller, G. H., Brigham-Grette, J., Løvlie, R., and Hopkins, D.: Amino acid epimerization implies rapid sedimentation rates in Arctic Ocean cores, Nature, 310, 772–775, https://doi.org/10.1038/310772a0, 1984.
- Shackleton, N. J., Sánchez-Goñi, M. F., Pailler, D., and Lancelot, Y.: Marine Isotope Substage 5e and the Eemian Interglacial, Glob. Planet. Change, 36, 151–155, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(02)00181-9, 2003.
 - Shephard, G. E., Wiers, S., Bazhenova, E., Pérez, L. F., Mejía, L. M., Johansson, C., Jakobsson, M., and O'Regan, M.: A North Pole thermal anomaly? Evidence from new and existing heat flow measurements from the central Arctic Ocean, J. Geodyn., 118, 166–181, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2018.01.017, 2018.
- Spielhagen, R. F., Baumann, K. H., Erlenkeuser, H., Nowaczyk, N. R., Nørgaard-Pedersen, N., Vogt, C., and
 Weiel, D.: Arctic Ocean deep-sea record of northern Eurasian ice sheet history. Quat. Sci. Rev., 23(11-13), 1455-1483, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.12.015, 2004.
 - Thierstein, H. R., Geitzenauer, K. R., Molfino, B., and Shackleton, N. J.: Global synchroneity of late Quaternary coccolith datum levels validation by oxygen isotopes, Geology, 5, 400, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1977)5<400:GSOLQC>2.0.CO;2, 1977.

- 655 West, G., Kaufman, D. S., Muschitiello, F., Forwick, M., Matthiessen, J., Wollenburg, J., and O'Regan, M.: Amino acid racemization in Quaternary foraminifera from the Yermak Plateau, Arctic Ocean, Geochronology, 1, 53–67, https://doi.org/10.5194/gchron-1-53-2019, 2019
 - Wheeler L. J., Penkman K. E., Sejrup H. P.: Assessing the intra-crystalline approach to amino acid geochronology of Neogloboquadrina pachyderma (sinistral), Quat. Geochron., 61, 101131, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2020.101131, 2021.
 - Wollenburg, J. E., Raitzsch, M., and Tiedemann, R.: Novel high-pressure culture experiments on deep-sea benthic foraminifera — Evidence for methane seepage-related δ¹³C of *Cibicides wuellerstorfi*, Mar. Micropaleontol., 117, 47–64, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2015.04.003, 2015.
 - Yu, J. and Elderfield, H.: Mg/Ca in the benthic foraminifera *Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi* and *Cibicidoides mundulus*: Temperature versus carbonate ion saturation, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 276, 129–139, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.09.015, 2008.
 - Yu, Y., Yang, J., Zheng, L.-Y., Sheng, Q., Li, C.-Y., Wang, M., Zhang, X.-Y., McMinn, A., Zhang, Y.-Z., Song, X.-Y., and Chen, X.-L.: Diversity of D-amino acid utilizing bacteria from Kongsfjorden, Arctic and the metabolic pathways for seven D-amino acids, Front. Microbiol., 10, 2983, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02983, 2020.

665