Late Neogene terrestrial climate reconstruction of the Central Namib Desert derived by the combination of U-Pb silcrete and TCN exposure dating
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Abstract:
The chronology of the Cenozoic ‘Namib Group’ of the Namib Desert is rather poorly understood and lacks direct radiometric dating. Thus, the paleoclimate and landscape evolution of the Central Namib Desert remains imprecise, complicating the detailed search for global and/or local forcing factors for the aridification of the Namib. The widespread occurrence of calcretes and silcretes in the Namib Desert allows to apply the novel application of the U-Pb laser ablation dating technique on sil- and calcretes, to date important phases of landscape stability and to retrieve critical paleoclimatic and environmental information on desertification and its paleoclimatic variability. Microscale silcrete formation (max. 8 mm) due to pressure solution by expanding calcrete cementation provides the opportunity to date multiple phases (multiple generation of silcrete as growing layers or shells) of silcrete formation. Groundwater sil- and calcrete formation occurred at our study site during the Pliocene, a period of relatively stable climate and landscape conditions under semi-arid to arid conditions. Terrestrial Cosmogenic Nuclide (TCN) exposure ages from flat canyon rim surfaces indicate the cessation of groundwater calcrete formation due to incision during the Late Pliocene/Early Pleistocene and mark a large-scale landscape rejuvenation due to
climate shifts towards more arid conditions in the Pleistocene, which can be connected to global climate patterns. This study demonstrates the feasibility of applying U-Pb laser ablation to groundwater sil- and calcrites, discusses several important issues associated with this technique and opens up the possibility of dating numerous sedimentary sequences containing sil- and calcrites in arid environments. In particular, the use of silcretes (as described above) reduces potential effects of detrital components and bulk-signal measurements by using massive calcrites. Our study redefines and improves the generally accepted Late Cenozoic chronostatigraphy of the Namib Desert (Miller, 2008).

1. Introduction

In Namibia, widespread calcrites, together with (spatially) more restricted silcretes, are among the most auspicious features of the Cenozoic surface cover and are outcropping along deeply incised ephemeral or fossil drainage systems in terms of their ability to record past environmental change (Miller, 2008; Candy et al., 2004; Summerfield, 1983a; Van Der Wateren and Dunai, 2001; Ward, 1987). As well as being an important component in explaining the generally low denudation rates due to their protective function (Stokes et al., 2007; Nash and Smith, 1998), these sil- and calcrites are also thought to indicate relatively long periods of landscape and climate stability during their formation (Goudie et al., 2015).

In general, calcrites are thought to form under semi-arid to arid conditions, with varying interpretations and associations with specific precipitation ranges (Goudie, 2020; Summerfield, 1983a; Alonso-Zarza, 2003). Various models have been proposed to explain the different types of calcrite (pedogenic, non-pedogenic, Goudie, 2020). In this study, we will mainly focus on the non-pedogenic, groundwater-related calcrite formation, based on the per-ascensum hypothesis (Goudie, 1996; Goudie et al., 2015), which are formed mainly by evaporation from the capillary fringe or below the water table due to changing CO₂ level (Goudie et al., 2015). Most prominent calcrite formations are related to calcrites capping the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate of the Kuiseb Canyon in the Central Namib and the Kamberg Calcrite Formation (Fig. 1, Ward, 1987). Secondary silcrete formation by pressure solution and reprecipitation was synchronous with calcrite formation in the Karpfenkliff conglomerates. It consists of microscale silcrete with discrete multiple layers of silcrete encrusting quartz clasts. The Karpfenkliff Conglomerate overlies the Tsondab Sandstone and was probably deposited in a proto-Kuiseb and a proto-Gaub valley (Ward et al., 1983; Miller, 2008; Ward, 1987).

Calcrites in the central Namib are thought to be at least Early Pleistocene to Pliocene in age (Ward, 1987; Miller, 2008). Common dating techniques used to date calcrites are radiocarbon ¹⁴C, U/Th disequilibrium, or solution U-Pb. The first two dating methods are limited to ~45 kyr or 500 kyr.
respectively. Calcrete U-Pb laser ablation has recently been used to provide critical chronological information on the age-depth relationship of the calcretized sediments from the Kalahari Group (Houben et al., 2020). However, the dating of calcretes using the U-Pb system may be influenced/biased by detrital components from the source area of the leached carbonates. The variable growth rate makes it difficult to obtain individual ages from multiple generations of calcrete formation when using the bulk sampling approach for solution U-Pb dating, as it can be affected by the ‘nugget’ effect (Branca et al., 2005). Although calcrete formation pre-dates the major canyon incision that can be dated using TCN exposure dating, calcrete formation post-dates sediment deposition and is not age-equivalent to the host sediments. However, the time lag between sediment deposition and calcrete formation may be negligible for any expected age in the range of several millions of years. To avoid contamination by detrital components from the catchment and to date multiple stages of sil-/calcrete formation, syndepositional (with calcrete formation), microscale silcretes produced by the secondary effect of calcrete formation, pressure solution and re-precipitation in the proximity (pressure shadow) might be a valuable target.

Evidence for climate change and major landscape change, as well as the reliability of dating of Plio/Pleistocene sediments in the Namib, is relatively poor and not well constrained, and in part shows discrepancies between different dating techniques and interpretations (Miller, 2008; Van Der Wateren and Dunai, 2001; Goudie and Viles, 2014). In Namibia, calcretes and silcretes commonly form prominent landscape features (i.e., cliffs) outcropping along deeply incised ephemeral or fossil drainage systems (Miller, 2008; Van Der Wateren and Dunai, 2001; Ward, 1987). The (relative) chronology of these fossil duricrusts is the backbone of the (late) Cenozoic chronostratigraphy of the Namib Desert ('Namib Group' of Miller, 2008) and past climate reconstructions (Miller, 2008, and references therein). A major weakness of this chronostratigraphy is its absolute chronology: essentially all early Quaternary to mid-Miocene continental deposits in the Namib Desert are ‘dated’ with ostrich shells (Miller, 2008) or are age-correlated with deposits dated with such shells (Miller, 2008). In general, the ostrich shell biostratigraphy is linked to intracontinental correlations derived from fossil mammals (Pickford and Senut, 2000). The catch is that only the oldest shells are ‘dated’ to 16-20 Ma (Aepyonithoid, Senut, 2000; Pickford et al., 1999; Pickford et al., 1995), whereas the ensuing eight ostrich species are arbitrarily assigned to 2 to 3 Myr long periods (Senut, 2000) without any direct age control. The ostrich shell biostratigraphy provides a valuable relative chronology, but its use in its current form as an absolute chronology remains unverified for the time < 16 Ma. Consequently, the generally accepted Late Cenozoic chronostratigraphy of the Namib Desert (Miller, 2008) requires verification.

The use of Terrestrial Cosmogenic Nuclide (TCN) exposure dating in the Namib Desert has grown in recent years, demonstrating that this method is a reliable way to measure landscape change
According to Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001), major changes in the Namib Desert, i.e. rejuvenation of the landscape by intermittent fluvial phases during the predominant arid to hyperarid climate, indicate major changes during the Plio-/Pleistocene. However, there are doubts about the interpretation of the exposure ages in relation to the underlying deposited sediments (Miller, 2008). The dating of groundwater connected sil- and calcrites beneath the surfaces sampled for TCN exposure dating allows to verify the resulting TCN exposure ages. Furthermore, the combination of both dating techniques can be used to build a robust chronology of landscape change during the evolution and intensification of arid conditions in the Namib Desert.

Here we present the new application of U-Pb laser ablation to groundwater silcretes from the Namib Desert in combination with re-measured TCN exposure ages from the Karpfenkliff and nearby equivalent sites. Laser ablation U-Pb dating of multiple microscale silcrete layers from the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation indicates groundwater cal-/silcrete formation during the Pliocene. Re-measured surface clasts from Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) confirm and substantiate the interpretation of a major landscape rejuvenation of the Central Namib during the Plio-/Pleistocene transition. The combination of the two dating techniques allows a robust chronological reconstruction of landscape evolution and the paleoclimate transition to increasingly arid conditions in the central Namib Desert.

2. Sampling Site and Samples

The central Namib Desert, between the Atlantic Ocean to the west and the Great Escarpment to the east, is a relatively flat landscape with numerous dispersed inselbergs and locally deeply incised canyons formed by ephemeral rivers such as the Kuiseb or Swakop (Fig. 1). Our study focuses on the Kuiseb River canyon in the central Namib. The ephemeral Kuiseb River marks the prominent boundary between the stone desert in the north and the Namib Sand Sea to the south. The Kuiseb River receives its water from precipitation in the Great Escarpment to the east, with mean annual rainfall of 200-450 mm/yr (Ward, 1987; Jacobson et al., 1995). Annual floods of the Kuiseb River clean its bed of all sand transported from the Namib Sand Sea to the south. They only reach the sea during exceptionally high floods (Van Der Wateren and Dunai, 2001). The Kuiseb River forms a distinctive deep and partly narrow canyon, which is up to 250 m deep and only 1000 m wide at its deepest part (Fig. 1, 2). The recent course of the Kuiseb River is southwest to Hudaob, where it is thought to have been redirected north-west by the activity of the Namib Sand Sea (Miller, 2008). Prior to this deflection, the Proto-Kuiseb River may have flowed westwards, as indicated by numerous outcrops within the interdune valleys of the Namib Sand Sea (Fig. 25.18 Vol. 3 in Miller, 2008; Ward, 1987; Lancaster, 1984).
Fig. 1: (A) Overview map of the Central Namib Desert based on World Imagery (Earthstar Geographics (TerraColor NextGen) imagery, ArcGIS Pro Version 3.1.0). Major drainage systems are shown in white. Red rectangle indicates the study area. Red squares indicate sampling sites of Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001). Topographic profiles in Fig. 2 are marked as red lines. (B) Study area (Earthstar Geographics (TerraColor NextGen) imagery, ArcGIS Pro Version 3.1.0) including mapped geology by the Geological Survey of Namibia (Geological Survey of Namibia, 2016). Relevant geological formations are shown covering the Cenozoic sediment succession of the Central Namib (Namib Group). Red squares indicate sampling sites from Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001). The sub-catchment of the Gaub River is shown in white.

Sediment Succession Kuiseb Canyon

The outcrop sequence along the Kuiseb canyon in our study area comprises up to 100 m of sedimentary units (Fig. 2) resting on the Namib Unconformity Surface of Precambrian age (NUS, Ward, 1987; Miller, 2008), consisting of basal breccias from Precambrian basement, and solidified aeolian sands assigned to the Tsondab Sandstone Formation, overlain by calcretized coarse-grained conglomerates, called the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation (Ward, 1987). Well-preserved terraces, resistant to weathering due to calcretization, are exposed at the rim of the canyon (Fig. 3).

The Tsondab Sandstone Formation rests on the Namib Unconformity Surface (NUS, Ward, 1987; Miller, 2008), and is the oldest and first terrestrial Cenozoic deposit in the Central Namib (Ward, 1987; Miller, 2008), covering large areas of the Central Namib (Fig. 1, 2). The Tsondab Sandstone Formation consists predominantly of cemented aeolianites (Miller, 2008; Ward, 1987) and is regarded as the precursor of the recent Namib Sand Sea (Ollier, 1977). The Tsondab Sandstone Formation is thought to have been deposited under predominantly arid conditions (Ward, 1987),
between 20-16 Ma and 5 Ma based on the biostratigraphy of Struthious eggshells (Namoris Oshanai, Struthio Karinagarabensis, Ward and Corbett, 1990; Pickford et al., 1995; Senut, 2000).

**Fig. 2:** (A) Cross-sections of the Karpfenkliff and (B) Kamberg Cliff based on SRTM data (created using ArcGIS Pro 3.1.0). Spatial information on geological units was extrapolated from mapped geology (Geological Survey of Namibia, 2016). Samples were collected from the surface of the Karpfenkliff (DWA980006,07) and from the subsurface at the canyon outcrop (DWA980008, -21). The identical sampling approach was used on the Kamberg Cliff, by sampling exposed quartz clasts (DWA98013) and shielded clasts (DWA98014). The shielded quartz clasts were used to investigate and date secondary micro-scale silcrete layers attached to quartz clasts. Due to the unknown fluvial topography of the Proto-Kuiseb, the profile is just an approximation. The occurrence of the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation (TKk) is used from the geological map, however, its outcrop condition in profile A in the eastern sector remains speculative. The exact transition from the underlying Tsondab Sandstone (TTs) to the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation is unclear and is approximated. The elevation of the Kamberg Calcrite from its key position is marked in B and to illustrate the potential discrepancy between the two formations.

**Proto-Kuiseb Incision and aggradation of the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation**

The Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation (TKk, Ward, 1987) overlies the Tsondab Sandstone Formation and was deposited in a proto-Kuiseb and proto-Gaub River valley, a tributary of the Kuiseb River (Fig. 1, 2). Pre-depositional incision of the Kuiseb and Gaub rivers probably occurred during a wetter phase (Ward, 1987; Miller, 2008). The incision excavated a broad shallow valley and eroded the semi-consolidated Tsondab Sandstone without eroding the underlying Pre-Cambrian Damaran schists (Ward, 1987; Miller, 2008). The Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation consists of a medium- to fine-grained, sand-sized matrix of angular to subrounded
clasts (Fig. 3, Ward, 1987; Miller, 2008). Clasts are rounded to well-rounded with numerous percussion marks (Ward, 1987; Van Der Wateren and Dunai, 2001). The Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation thins to the west, indicating a depositional wedge (Miller, 2008). The thickest accumulations are found at the foothills of the Great Escarpment (~ 60 m, Miller, 2008), decreasing to ~ 40 m (Ward, 1987) in the upper Gaub Valley, 20-30 m at the Karpfenkliff, and thinning to ~ 5 m at Gomkaeb (Ward, 1987; Miller, 2008). Deposition took place in a wide, shallow, braided river system (Ward, 1987; Miller, 2008), presumably during an intermittent pluvial phase despite prevailing arid conditions and synchronous with the deposition of the Tsondab aeolianites (Ward, 1987). Equivalent gravels in the Tsondab, Tsauchab and Swakop rivers are assigned to the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation (Miller, 2008). The conglomerate is cemented by a massive groundwater calcrete that has caused significant volume expansion (Miller, 2008). The source area of the carbonate ions is thought to be the outcropping and eroding Precambrian Nama Group in the headwaters of the Kuiseb River and is therefore authogenic in origin. Calcretization caused secondary precipitation of microscale silcrete by pressure solution and local re-precipitation.

The Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation is age-correlated with the occurrence of *Diamantornis corbetti* (fossil ostrich shell) in the Tsondab Aeolianites at Elim (Pickford and Senut, 2000; Miller, 2008), implying a younger age of *Diamantornis corbetti* than 14-15 Ma, equivalent to the age of the Arries Drift Formation (Miller, 2008). Youngest deposition age (prior to 2.81 ± 0.11 Ma) was proposed by Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) based on 21Ne exposure dating of abandoned surfaces of the Kuiseb River. The latter indicates the minimum depositional age for the last remnants of any fluvial transport and deposition of the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation. Although this age is controversial according to Miller (2008, page 25-27) based on the ostrich shell biostratigraphy, it clearly indicates the onset of incision by the recent Kuiseb River.

**Calcrete within Karpfenkliff Formation and Tsondab Sandstone - Kamberg calcrete formation**

The Kamberg Calcrete is described as a pedogenic calcrete up to 5 m in thickness (Miller, 2008; Ward, 1987; Yaalon and Ward, 1982). According to Miller (2008), it cements the upper Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation in places, as well as the Tsondab Sandstone, which covers a large area east of Homeb in the Kuiseb River (Miller, 2008; Ward, 1987; Yaalon and Ward, 1982). The Kamberg Calcrete, as well as equivalent calcrites in the study area, represent the surface predating the recent canyon incision of the Kuiseb and Gaub rivers. They are used as an important stratigraphic marker horizon in the Cenozoic ‘Namib Group’ (Miller, 2008; Ward, 1987). Whether the Kamberg Calcrete is identical to the calcrete of the Karpfenkliff can be questioned (Fig. 2). The pedogenic Kamberg Calcrete may be transitional to the groundwater calcrite found at the Karpfenkliff and therefore be syndepositional. If the Kamberg Calcrete at the key site at Kamberg correlates with the Kamberg Cliff and the Carp Cliff at Kuiseb canyon, this would imply that it is
stratigraphically equivalent to or younger than the groundwater calcrete cementing the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation (Fig. 2). A late Miocene age has been suggested for the evolution of the Kamberg Calcrete (Yaalon and Ward, 1982; Ward, 1987). The calcrete is thought to have been formed under semi-arid conditions during a relatively long period of landscape stability (Goudie et al., 2015; Ward, 1987), with seasonal precipitation of potentially 350-450 mm in the headwaters, decreasing drastically to the west (Ward, 1987).

A clear differentiation between the Kamberg Calcrete and any calcretes overlying and/or within the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation is difficult. The Kamberg Calcrete is not specifically mapped in the published geological maps (Geological Survey of Namibia, 2016). For our study, we focused on near-surface clasts with silcrete at the Karpfenkliff. The clear spatial and evolutionary differentiation, as well as the connection between the two, should be the focus of future research to use their occurrence as a marker horizon in the Central Namib.

Kuiseb Incision – Phase of landscape rejuvenation

The incision of the Kuiseb River (and other adjacent rivers such as the Swakop to the north) is thought to have begun at the end of the Neogene, synchronous with other major river systems in Southern Africa (Ward, 1987; King, 1951; Partridge and Maud, 1987; Korn and Martin, 1957). The recent incision was able to cut deeply into the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation, the Tsodab Sandstone Formation and also into the Pre-Cambrian Damaran schists (Miller, 2008; Van Der Wateren and Dunai, 2001; Ward, 1987), forming a V-shaped valley and the famous Kuiseb canyon (Fig. 3). The transition from the aggradation of the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation and the formation of calcretes, to the degradation and incision of the recent Kuiseb, Gaub and Swakop rivers is thought to be related to either a tectonic- (King, 1955; Ward, 1987; Korn and Martin, 1957) or climatic control (Van Der Wateren and Dunai, 2001; Richards and Richards, 1987; Weissel and Seidl, 1998).

Detailed sampling sites and sampling

We consider that the calcrete at our sampling sites (Karpfenkliff, and Kamberg Cliff, Fig. 1, 2, 3) was formed primarily by groundwater interaction, due to its direct location near the present-day Kuiseb canyon. We used sampled and dated (in-situ $^{21}$Ne) surface quartz clasts from Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001), from abandoned exposed surfaces and shielded clasts from several metres below the surface. Details of the sampling procedure and sampling sites are given in Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001). For this study we concentrated on surface quartz clasts from the Carp Cliff (DWA98006, -07, -08, -21) and the Kamberg Cliff (DWA98013, -14) for re-measurement of cosmogenic $^{21}$Ne concentrations (Table 1). Eight quartz clasts from the Carp Cliff with visible silcrete cementation were prepared for U-Pb laser ablation (DWA98008, Table 1). The following
descriptions are taken from Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) and partly adapted for additional samples.

Fig. 3: Outcrop image compilation. (A) From Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) Kamberg Cliff, ~15 m of Karpfenkliff Conglomerates overlying ~15 m of Tsondab Sandstones. (B) Carp Cliff (Field Campaign 2018, Photo B. Ritter). (C) Close-up of the calcrite-cemented Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation (Photo B. Ritter). Rounded quartz clasts float in a matrix-supported fabric which is cemented by calcite. Some clasts are fractured by volume expansion of the calcite, resulting in pressure solution and formation of micro-scale silcrete. (D) Surface of the calcrite cemented Karpfenkliff (Photo B. Ritter).

Carp Cliff (Kuiseb highest terrace)

DWA98006-07 (Site 6) is located on the horizontal upper surface of a mesa-shaped terrace remnant 500 m west of the 200 m deep Kuiseb Canyon (Fig. 1, 2). The terrace has a surface area of ~5 km² and is surrounded by steep, locally vertical and overhanging cliffs, which to the east and south are nearly 50 m in height. The terrace surface consists of a desert pavement of mainly quartz pebbles overlying up to 15 cm of sandy silt. This is underlain by 10–25 m of calcrectized pebble and boulder conglomerates of the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation (Fig. 3). Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) collected 40 rounded (DWA98007) and (sub-)angular pebbles (DWA98006) with diameters between 2 and 6 cm. The site is located at the top of the mesa and is almost horizontal, so that post depositional transport of the sampled pebbles by the Kuiseb River or local precipitation can be excluded.
DWA98008 and DWA980021 (Site 7 and 19) are located next to small gullies running from the north side of the Carp Cliff mesa. At these sites, Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) collected shielded samples 5 m below the terrace surface. Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) sampled rounded pebbles from the ceilings of overhangs to ensure that the measured $^{21}$Ne concentrations were derived only during hillslope and fluvial transport to their present site and not from subsequent exposure at the sampling site.

Kamberg Cliff (Kuiseb highest terrace)

DWA98013 and DWA980014 are from a terrace on the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation immediately adjacent to the nearly 250 m deep Kuiseb Canyon, 30 km downstream of Carp Cliff (Fig. 1, 2, 3). The terrace surface is very similar to that of Carp Cliff, with a desert pavement of pebbles and cobbles on a sandy silt overlying 25 m of calcritized conglomerates. The Karpfenkliff Conglomerates rest on 30–50 m of the Tsondab Sandstone Formation, which forms the bulk of the cliff adjacent to the canyon. DWA98013 sampling site is on the horizontal surface of the terrace, where we sampled angular pebbles. At DWA98014, rounded pebbles (DWA98014) were sampled from the ceiling of an overhang in the cliff face 6 m below.

Table 1: General sample information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Longitude [']</th>
<th>Latitude [']</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DWA98006Etch2</td>
<td>Carp Cliff</td>
<td>Quartz clasts</td>
<td>-23.339</td>
<td>15.744</td>
<td>amalgamated sample of 40 clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98007Etch</td>
<td>Carp Cliff</td>
<td>Quartz clasts</td>
<td>-23.339</td>
<td>15.744</td>
<td>amalgamated sample of 40 clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98008Etch2</td>
<td>Carp Cliff</td>
<td>Quartz clasts</td>
<td>-23.331</td>
<td>15.746</td>
<td>amalgamated sample of 40 clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98021Etch</td>
<td>Carp Cliff</td>
<td>Quartz clasts</td>
<td>-23.332</td>
<td>15.745</td>
<td>amalgamated sample of 40 clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98013Etch2</td>
<td>Kamberg Cliff</td>
<td>Quartz clasts</td>
<td>-23.608</td>
<td>15.580</td>
<td>amalgamated sample of 40 clasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98014Etch</td>
<td>Kamberg Cliff</td>
<td>Quartz clasts</td>
<td>-23.608</td>
<td>15.580</td>
<td>amalgamated sample of 40 clasts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DWA98008-Silc3</td>
<td>Carp Cliff</td>
<td>Silcrete on clast</td>
<td>-23.331</td>
<td>15.746</td>
<td>Silcrete with distinct layering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98008-Silc4</td>
<td>Carp Cliff</td>
<td>Silcrete on clast</td>
<td>-23.331</td>
<td>15.746</td>
<td>Silcrete with distinct layering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98008-Silc7</td>
<td>Carp Cliff</td>
<td>Silcrete on clast</td>
<td>-23.331</td>
<td>15.746</td>
<td>Silcrete with distinct layering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98008-Silc8</td>
<td>Carp Cliff</td>
<td>Silcrete on clast</td>
<td>-23.331</td>
<td>15.746</td>
<td>Silcrete with distinct layering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Formation of Calcretes and microscale silcretes

In general, two types of calcretes can be differentiated, pedogenic and groundwater calcretes (Alonso-Zarza and Wright, 2010), following the per descensum or the per ascensum evolutionary model (Goudie, 2020). They occur preferentially in arid to semi-arid climates (Alonso-Zarza, 2003; Candy and Black, 2009; Goudie, 2020). Specific climatic and environmental conditions are required for calcrete formation; (1) precipitation in the headwater/source area to promote carbonate dissolution, (2) intermittent or seasonal precipitation downstream favour groundwater systems capable of (3) causing high evaporation and evapotranspiration for chemical precipitation of carbonate (Mann and Horwitz, 1979). Calcrete formation is dependent on the supply of carbonate ions leached from the drainage bedrock. In this study we focus on groundwater calcretes formed along the Kuiseb- and Gaub rivers. Groundwater calcretes form at or above shallow groundwater tables/aquifers (Mann and Horwitz, 1979; Netterberg, 1969) and do not require subaerial exposure, although shallow contacts and stable surfaces favour the evolution of groundwater calcretes (Alonso-Zarza, 2003). They were originally called 'valley calcretes' (Butt et al., 1977) because of their relationship with drainages. Groundwater calcretes are rather restricted to local drainages, although groundwater calcretes can have lateral extents of more than 100 km long and 10 km wide, depending on the drainage topography (Mann and Horwitz, 1979). Groundwater calcretes do not have characteristic features compared to pedogenic calcretes and are rather massive bodies (Alonso-Zarza, 2003). The permeability (coarse channel sediments) of the host rock favours their formation (Alonso-Zarza and Wright, 2010). Calcretes have been frequently used to obtain paleo precipitation information, but the specific ranges are still under discussion. The upper limit may be between 600 and 1000 mm/yr (Mack and James, 1994). The lower limit may be as low as 50 mm/yr (Goudie, 1973; Retallack, 1994).

Silcretes can form as duricrust due to the accumulation of secondary silica within a soil or host rock (Milnes and Thiry, 1992; Summerfield, 1983a). Prominent examples include silcretes from Australia (Milnes et al., 1991; Taylor and Eggleton, 2017) or the Kalahari Desert (Summerfield, 1983b; Nash and Shaw, 1998). In this study, we focus on microscale silcretes, which are formed by pressure solution (Mcbride, 1989; Rutter, 1983; Sorby, 1863; Wilson, 2020) due to calcrete cementation and volume expansion within the host rock or sediment, and therefore cannot be directly compared to the commonly used term ‘silcrete’. Additional silica may be enriched in the groundwater due to increased pH (favours the precipitation of calcite and the solution of silica, Goudie, 1983; Nash and Shaw, 1998). Microscale silcrete formation is therefore thought to be linked to paleo-environmental and climatic conditions favourable to calcrete formation. Calcretization involves the precipitation of CaCO₃ within the pore spaces of the host rock or sediment, causing significant volume expansion. A secondary effect of this process is to increase
the differential pressure within the host rock or sediment, causing clast shattering, relocation and pressure solution at intergranular contacts (Sorby, 1863; Rutter, 1983). Increased stress at grain boundaries and intergranular contacts leads to dissolution, e.g., silica mobilisation. Mobilized solutions migrate to regions of lower compressive stress, the ‘pressure shadow’, to reprecipitate. Theoretically, depending on the remaining pore space, multiple pressure solution and reprecipitation cycles can be archived in the host rock as multiple silcrete layers or shells attached to quartz clasts.

4. Dating of Cal- and Silcretes

Quantifying the timing and duration of calcrete formation is quite difficult. Clear stratigraphic relationships with the overlying and underlying sediments are not straightforward, as groundwater calcrete, for example, forms within sediments deposited close to the surface. Numerous studies propose only relative age controls and estimates of the formation time, such as the application of the ostrich shell biochronostratigraphy used for the Namib Group (Pickford and Senut, 2000; Senut, 2000; Miller, 2008). Many attempts have been made to date this type of deposits using radiocarbon $^{14}$C (e.g. Geyh and Eitel, 1997), U/Th (Kelly et al., 2000; Candy et al., 2004; Candy and Black, 2009) or U-Pb dating (Rasbury and Cole, 2009; Houben et al., 2020).

Silcretes are enriched in U relative to calcrites and occur in most soils in arid and semi-arid environments. Uranium decays to Pb isotopes through a chain of intermediate daughter isotopes, and ages of thousands- to millions-of-years-old samples can be estimated using parent-daughter pairs $^{238}$U-$^{206}$Pb, $^{235}$U-$^{207}$Pb, $^{234}$U-$^{230}$Th, and $^{238}$U-$^{234}$U. The use of a particular isotope pair depends on how old the sample is compared to the half-life of the selected radioactive isotope within the U decay chain (Neymark, 2011; Neymark et al., 2002, 2000). Considering that the samples are 2.85 Ma old or older (Van Der Wateren and Dunai, 2001), the U-Pb method using the parent-daughter pairs $^{238}$U-$^{206}$Pb and $^{238}$U-$^{234}$U was chosen to date the samples in this work.

Many studies attempting to date massive cal-/silcretes are hampered by the dilution or averaging effect of bulk analysis and by bias from non-carbonate detrital minerals or secondary reprecipitated carbonate due to diagenesis. The “limestone dilution effect” (as a result of contamination with detrital carbonate components of the host rock, Alonso-Zarza, 2003) or the “averaging effect” (averaging of different phases of mineral precipitation, Candy and Black, 2009; Neymark et al., 2000) are minimised (or even avoided) by the higher spatial resolution of laser ablation compared to bulk analysis techniques. The possible effect of detrital components (e.g. Zircon or clay minerals) on the U-Pb analyses is also neglected, as the signals from these inclusions can be filtered out of the time-resolved analyses.
The conventional method of calculating U–Pb isotope dates assumes that all intermediate daughter isotopes in the $^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{235}\text{U}$ decay chains were in secular equilibrium at the time of formation (Neymark, 2014). This is not necessarily true for calcretes and silcretes due to differences in the geochemical behaviour of parent and daughter elements. The silcretes dated in this study are sufficiently old (> c. 2.85 Ma) to have achieved secular equilibrium (at present), and therefore (almost) all its initial excess of daughter isotopes to decay, or their initial depletion to replenish (i.e. their activity ratios to be equal to 1) ergo a direct measurement of these deviations is not feasible. Therefore, the values needed to correct for these disequilibriums were estimated from previous works (see methodology chapter).

5. Methods

Raman Spectroscopy

We use Raman spectroscopy to obtain high resolution images of silcretes and to better characterise the mineralogical composition. Raman spectra were collected with up to 1300 wavenumber (cm$^{-1}$), using a WITec alpha 300R confocal Micro-Raman microscope, at the Goethe University Frankfurt (GUF). The objective used was 50x, an excitation laser of 532 nm (using 10 mW laser power before the objective), and spectra integration time of 0.2 s with 5 accumulations in total. Maps (400 x 400 μm$^2$) were performed applying a step size of 1.3 μm with a holographic grating of 600 grooves mm$^{-1}$. The instrument was calibrated using an Ar-Hg spectral lamp and was checked regarding its performance before the measurements with respect to the 1300 cm$^{-1}$ line of silicon. The spectrum of each sample layer was confirmed at several locations on the same layer. Raman spectra of reference compounds are found in the Rruff database (https://rruff.info/).

Dating of Silcretes – U-Pb Laser Ablation ICP-MS

Eight quartz clasts were cut in half to expose their silcrete coatings, mounted in epoxy mounts and polished at the Department of Geosciences, University of Cologne (UoC). U-Pb analyses were performed at the Goethe University Frankfurt (GUF) using a RESOlution 193 nm ArF excimer laser (COMplex Pro 102), equipped with a two-volume ablation cell (Laurin Technic S155). The laser was coupled to a ThermoScientific ElementXr sector field ICP-MS. The surfaces were cleaned with 8 pre-ablation laser pulses. Ablation was carried out in a He (0.3 l/min), Ar (1.01 l/min) and N (0.012 l/min) atmosphere, with a high energy density (c. 5 J/cm$^2$), a frequency of 15 Hz and round 50 μm diameter spots (SI2_Supporting Information).

Artificial silicate glasses NIST SRTM 612 and 614 were used as reference materials (RM). Plots and dates are calculated using the in- house spreadsheet program (Gerdes and Zeh, 2009, 2006),
together with Isoplot (Ludwig, 2012). Ages are reported with and without systematic components (i.e., date ± 2s / 2s\_sys). Uncertainties include internal standard errors (SE), background, counting statistics, excess scatter of the primary reference material (NIST SRTM 612), and excess variance (calculated from NIST SRTM 614). Systematic uncertainties also propagate systematic errors, which are the long-term excess variance (1.5%, 2s), decay constant uncertainties (Horstwood et al., 2016) and the uncertainty derived from the initial activity ratio uncertainty. Dates are calculated as Tera-Wasserburg lower intercepts (Tera and Wasserburg, 1972). Linear regressions are anchored to a common lead \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}\) ratio of 0.837. This is the Y-intercept of sample "DWA98008-Silc4 Black Crack", which is where this ratio is better constrained. This value is in good agreement with modelled crustal values at the time of formation (0.836, Stacey and Kramers, 1975).

The samples dated are sufficiently old to have reached secular equilibrium and hence activity ratios cannot be measured (with the present techniques and assuming a closed system behaviour). Consequently, the following initial activity ratios used are assumed. The silcretes dated in this study have virtually no Th (average of ~ 89 ng/g) and therefore we consider \([^{230}\text{Th}}^{238}\text{U}]_i = 0\) (initial \(^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}\) activity ratio). Considering previous studies on calcretes and silcretes formed in semi-arid and arid environments (Oster et al., 2017; Maher et al., 2007; Neymark, 2011), the ground and surface waters from which these rocks are formed often have \([^{234}\text{U}}^{238}\text{U}]_i\) values greater than 1. Therefore, the data in this study are calculated with \([^{234}\text{U}}^{238}\text{U}]_i = 1.75 \pm 0.32\) (2s abs), which is a weighted average of the \([^{234}\text{U}}^{238}\text{U}]_i\) of the above-mentioned studies. The uncertainty in this activity ratio is added to the final systematic uncertainties by quadratic propagation (Scardia et al., 2019; SI2_Supporting Information).

Cosmogenic \(^{21}\text{Ne}\) Exposure Dating

We used prepared samples from Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) for in situ \(^{21}\text{Ne}\) exposure dating using the new noble gas mass spectrometer at the University of Cologne (Helix MC Plus from Thermo Fisher Scientific, further information see Ritter et al., 2021). The \(^{21}\text{Ne}\) analyses of Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) were performed without an international standard (CREU). For neon analysis we prepared amalgamated samples from each site containing between 35 and 40 quartz clasts (100mg/sample) using the already prepared 63-125 \(\mu\text{m}\) fraction. By also analysing shielded pebbles, a pre-exposure correction (accumulated \(^{21}\text{Ne}\) concentration during transport) can be applied to analysed surface samples (Repka et al., 1997). The presumably non-atmospheric \(^{21}\text{Ne}\) concentration found in these samples can be subtracted from the concentration in their exposed counterparts. The latter also corrects for any potential nucleogenic \(^{21}\text{Ne}\) that may be present in the samples. Samples were measured on the noble gas mass spectrometer at the University of Cologne using the analytical methods outlined in Ritter et al.
(2021). CREU quartz standards were measured in Cologne for interlaboratory comparability and quality control (Vermeesch et al., 2015). The palaeogenic origin of the measured $^{21}$Ne excess was verified using the triple isotope plot. $^{21}$Ne exposure ages were calculated using the ‘nuclide dependent scaling’ after Lifton et al. (2014), calculated with "The online exposure age calculator formerly known as the CRONUS-Earth online exposure age calculator." (Version 3, http://hess.ess.washington.edu/math/v3/v3_age_in.html; Balco et al., 2008).

6. Results

Silcrete Imaging

Digital microscope images show vein-contact parallel-layering with different crystal orientation (Fig. 4A and Supporting Information). The Raman spectra peaks at 129, 209 and 467 cm$^{-1}$ are indicative for quartz, which dominate the silcrete samples. Raman spectroscopy of DWA98008-Silc8 also indicate the presence of one major calcite band (dark colour in Fig. 5B, Raman spectra peaks at 156, 283, 466, 714 and 1088 cm$^{-1}$). The calcite crack filling might be indicative for shattering of previous silcrete and crack filling by repeated and/or ongoing calcrete formation within the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate.

U-Pb Laser Ablation Results Silcretes

Four out of the eight silcrete samples yielded meaningful dates (DWA98008 – Silc3, Silc4, Silc7, Silc8), out of which 12 dates could be calculated from various silcrete layers (S12, Supporting Information). The dates range from 2.96 ± 0.14 to 6.72 ± 0.16 Ma, with maximum relative abundance peaks at around 3.4 Ma and about 5.5 Ma (see Fig. 6 and Table 2). All dates are calculated from multiple spot analyses, ranging from 9 to 28 spots per date. The majority of the analyses have U concentrations between ~30 and 70 µg/g, with an average of 42 µg/g, and very low Th concentrations, up to 200 ng/g, with an average of 89 ng/g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Date (Ma) (1)</th>
<th>2s abs (2)</th>
<th>2s sys (1)</th>
<th>Y-intercept (4)</th>
<th>2s (5)</th>
<th>Anchored (6) (7)</th>
<th>MSWD</th>
<th>n (8) (total)</th>
<th>n (9) (total)</th>
<th>Date (Ma) (10)</th>
<th>2s abs (11)</th>
<th>2s sys (12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silc3 Rim 1</td>
<td>5.756</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>WAHR</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.590</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silc3 Rim 2</td>
<td>5.246</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>WAHR</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.080</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silc3 Rim 3</td>
<td>5.488</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>WAHR</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5.322</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silc3 Rim Outer</td>
<td>3.734</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>WAHR</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.569</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silc4 Black crack</td>
<td>5.927</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.8374</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>FALSCH</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.761</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silc4 Rim</td>
<td>3.498</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>WAHR</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.332</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silc4 Rim Outer</td>
<td>3.456</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>WAHR</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.290</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silc4 Rim Inner</td>
<td>3.129</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>WAHR</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.964</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silc7</td>
<td>6.881</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.8528</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>FALSCH</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6.715</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silc8 Rim 1</td>
<td>6.116</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>WAHR</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.950</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silc8 Rim 2</td>
<td>5.131</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>WAHR</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.965</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: 1. Concordia curve lower intercept dates, Tera-Wasserburg diagram (Tera and Wasserburg, 1972). 2. 2s absolute uncertainties, considering within run precision (SE of the mean of ratios), excess of scatter, background, counting statistics and excess of variance (calculated from the validating RM, SRTM NIST 614. 2.6%, 1s, on 238U/206Pb and 0%, 1s, on 207Pb/206Pb ratios). 3. Previous uncertainties (2) expanded with systematic uncertainties (0.8 %, 2s, long term reproducibility and decay constant uncertainties). See Horstwood et al. (2016). 4. 207Pb/206Pb ratio of the upper intercept. 5. 2s absolute uncertainty of the upper intercept. 6. If the linear regression on the Tera-Wasserburg was anchored or not. 7. Mean squared weighted deviates. 8. Number of analyses considered. 9. Total number of analyses. 10. Dates calculated (following Wendt and Carl, 1985) taking into account an initial 234U/238U activity ratio of 1.75, and initial 230Th/238U activity ratios of 0. 11. Uncertainties (2) recalculated to the new dates (10). 12. Uncertainties (3) recalculated to the new dates (10) and adding 234U/238U activity ratio uncertainty (0.32, 2s abs).
Fig. 4: (A) Microscope image of sample DWA98008-Silc8 after LA-ICP-MS analysis. Laser spots are visible, respective U-Pb Tera-Wasserburg plots are shown in (D-F). (B) Raman image of Silc8, area not visible on microscope image. Grey crosses indicate measured quartz spectra. White cross marked the occurrence of Calcite, which can be traced as a black line. Raman imaging shows multiple layering of silcrete filling the crack of the shattered quartz clast. Color variations are indicative of differences in crystal orientations (C) Respective Raman spectra for the quartz and calcite identification. (D-F) Tera-Wasserburg plots of Silc8 and respective U-Pb ages (red ellipses are considered outliers).

TCN Exposure Age Results

$^{21}$Ne samples measured at the Noble Gas Laboratory in Cologne (Ritter et al., 2021) yield concentrations of $1.52 - 1.95 \times 10^7$ atoms/gr for shielded samples (DWA98008, 014, 021) and $6.30 - 9.60 \times 10^7$ atoms/gr for surface samples (DWA98006, 007, 013). All samples are within 2 sigma of the spallation line (Fig. 5). Compared to the $^{21}$Ne concentrations of Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001), the etched samples measured in Cologne reveal lower concentrations of up to 13% difference when comparing direct concentrations (average of five measured samples), however, within ±1σ it reduces to ~1.6%, agreeing within ±2σ on average. We have excluded sample DWA98008, as it presumably contains a high abundance of non-cosmogenic Ne, as deduced from the significant concentration differences between the sample measured by Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) and the etched counterpart measured in Cologne (SI1_Supporting Information). Similar results and interpretations for sample DWA98008 were reported by Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001).

Using the mean difference of ~13% between VU Amsterdam and Cologne Ne concentrations (SI1_Supporting Information), the data from Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) can be corrected for lab-specific differences. The corrected exposure ages are given in Table 1.
Fig. 5: Triple isotope diagram indicating single-heat-step extraction of the Cologne laboratory (orange circles) compared to the multiple-heat-step extraction (red circles) of Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001). Uncertainties are 1σ. The red stippled line indicates the Cologne laboratory spallation line (Ritter et al., 2021). Green circles indicate CREU1 measured during the analysis in Cologne.

Calculated exposure ages derived from Cronus Earth (Balco et al., 2008) are summarised in Table 3. For the Kuiseb terrace, $^{21}Ne$ concentrations in shielded, pre-exposed samples (DWA98008, DWA98021), give a mean apparent age of $0.65 \pm 0.04$ Ma (external uncertainty $\pm 1\sigma$). The latter indicates that the non-cosmogenic component of DWA98008 has been removed by etching, indicating the identical apparent exposure age as DWA98021. Correction of the $^{21}Ne$ concentration of exposed rounded pebbles (DWA98007) from the top of the Carp Cliff terrace yields an exposure age of $3.2 \pm 0.2$ Ma ($\pm 1\sigma$ external uncertainty), being slightly older than calculated by Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001), however, identical within the uncertainty. Exposed angular clasts (DWA98006) show a younger exposure age of $2.85 \pm 0.19$ Ma ($\pm 1\sigma$ external uncertainty). The latter is slightly older than in Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001), which is identical within their uncertainty. A similar exposure age of $2.75 \pm 0.18$ Ma ($\pm 1\sigma$ external uncertainty) was derived from angular clasts from the Kamberg cliff (DWA98013) with. Angular clasts are assumed to be derived from local sources without significant pre-exposure from long transport times. Our results indicate that terrace abandonment and exposure to cosmic rays started at $\sim 2.8$ Ma (Fig. 6).

Table 3: TCN exposure ages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSDn Exposure Age</th>
<th>Age [Ma]</th>
<th>Int. Unc. [Ma]</th>
<th>Ext. Unc. [Ma]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DWA98006Etch2</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98007Etch</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr. DWA98007Etch2</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98008Etch2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98021Etch</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98013Etch2</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98014Etch</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98001VU</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98002VU</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98003VU</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98005VU</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98019VU</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWA98024VU</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Interpretation and Discussion

Our U-Pb ages are stratigraphically in the correct order, with the oldest U-Pb ages at the contact between quartz clast and filled rock fracture and the youngest age in the centre of the filled fracture (Table 2, Fig. 4). Recurrent U-Pb ages underpin and mark the main phase of silcrete, i.e. calcrete, formation. Groundwater calcrete formation, i.e., microscale silcrete formation, within the sediments of the proto-Kuiseb canyon (Karpfenkliff Conglomerate) took place between the Late Miocene (~7 Ma) and the Late Pliocene (~3 Ma, Fig. 6). The U-Pb silcrete ages suggest either persistent or alternating periods of wetter climate for groundwater calcrete formation.

Based on the causal relationship between silcrete and calcrete formation, our U-Pb silcrete ages indicate that environmental and climatic conditions during the Pliocene were sufficient to allow for carbonate leaching, transport and calcrete formation within the coarse-grained Karpfenkliff Conglomerate. However, whether the sampled groundwater calcrete is identical or synchronous with the prominent Kamberg Calcrete can be questioned, but we can narrow down the timing of major groundwater calcrete formation, previously assigned to the Late Miocene (Goudie et al., 2015; Ward, 1987) or Plio-Pleistocene (Pickford and Senut, 2000). Calcrete formation ceased during the Late Pliocene/Early Pleistocene by incision and groundwater lowering (Fig. 6).

Re-measured TCN $^{21}$Ne surface exposure ages from amalgamated quartz clasts agree with the derived U-Pb silcrete chronology and are younger than the youngest U-Pb silcrete age obtained (Fig. 6), i.e., in stratigraphically correct order. The surface exposure ages mark the abandonment of the fluvial terraces and the onset of the Kuiseb River incision at ~2.8 Ma. The latter caused a groundwater lowering of the water table and the cessation of calcrete formation within the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation. Re-measurements of the quartz clasts from the Oswater terrace downstream of the Karpfenkliff and Kamberg cliff sampling sites confirm the exposure ages previously obtained by Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001). The exposure ages of the Karpfenkliff and Oswater terrace constrain the period of major canyon incision to ~2.8 - 1.3 Ma (Fig. 6).

With the aid of absolute U-Pb silcrete and surface exposure dating, it is now possible to redefine depositional ages or depositional periods for sediments in the Central Namib Desert, some of which are widely used as marker horizons. Our U-Pb silcrete ages constrain the timing of sediment deposition within the Kuiseb Canyon (Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation) to be older than ~7 Ma, as silcrete formation within the conglomerates postdates deposition thereof (Fig. 6). The incision age of the Proto-Kuiseb and the subsequent deposition by the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate as proposed by Miller et al. (2021) of ~5 Ma, does not agree with our absolute U-Pb ages. If the relative biostratigraphic dating of Pickford and Senut (2000) is valid, the proto-Kuiseb canyon was filled by the Karpfenkliff Conglomerate Formation over a time period of up to 6-7 Ma.
(Diamantornis corbetti at Elim ~14 15 Ma, see Pickford and Senut, 2000). Verification and absolute direct dating of the Karpfenkliff Formation is still lacking and is a target for future studies. Our fluvial chronology substantially supports the chronological data obtained by Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001).

**Pliocene Calcrete Formation – Steady State Climate**

Our U-Pb ages indicate a relatively calm or transitional phase between aggradation and backfilling of the Proto-Kuiseb (and presumably other drainage systems such as the Swakop) and the renewed incision by the recent Kuiseb River, throughout the Pliocene. U-Pb ages of microscale silcrete from the same stratigraphic horizon indicate a long-term stable groundwater level, i.e. no significant aggradation or degradation.

As the formation of groundwater calcrite is generally restricted to specific environmental conditions, the existence and chronology of its formation in the Central Namib Desert now allows us to relate these environmental conditions to specific episodes in the past and thus to obtain a better and partly more quantitative paleoclimate and environmental reconstruction of the Pliocene in the Central Namib Desert. We therefore interpret our U-Pb silcrete chronology as marking the transition of the mean annual precipitation (MAP) from the upper potential limit of approximately ~600 mm/yr or the lower potential limit of 50 mm/yr in the Kuiseb catchment during the Late Miocene. Whether there was a climate change from, or a return to, wetter conditions during the Pliocene cannot be determined from our U-Pb chronology. Calcrete formation ceased with the incision of the Kuiseb River and a significant lowering of the groundwater table.

Age information from the Kalahari Basin by Houben et al. (2020) indicated a shift towards more arid conditions since ~12 Ma, which intensified at ~4 Ma (Houben et al., 2020; Miller et al., 2010). Marine records off Namibia (Fig. 7, ODP 1081, Hoetzel et al., 2017) suggest a shift to more arid conditions over the course of the Mid to Late Miocene, controlled by a gradual increase in the upwelling activity of the Benguela Current, initiated by a strengthening of the meridional gradient. This shift is supported by pollen data (Hoetzel et al., 2015; Dupont et al., 2013), indicating the expansion of savanna grasslands (C4 expansion) in Namibia since ~8 Ma, with a subsequent shift during the Pliocene to more shrubland and desert vegetation (Hoetzel et al., 2015). Compound-specific hydrogen isotopes (ODP 1085, Dupont et al., 2013) indicate a change in the precipitation source from the Atlantic to the Indian Ocean since ~8 Ma (Dupont et al., 2013). Therefore, our U-Pb chronology of calcrete formation (~7-3 Ma) tracks the shift to more arid conditions with a corresponding reduction in the MAP to allow calcrete formation. Nevertheless, this transition and aridification of the Namib was slow, and regional SST records (ODP 1082, Etourneau et al., 2009; ODP 1081, Rosell-Melé et al., 2014, Fig. 7), as well as global paleoclimate
records (benthic δ\textsuperscript{18}O, Westerhold et al., 2020, Fig. 7) indicate a relatively stable climatic period.

Rosell-Melé et al. (2014) proposed, based on their marine SST record off Namibia (ODP 1082), that the persistently warm Pliocene, with conditions analogue to a persistent Benguela ‘\textit{El Niño’}, ended at the transition to the Pleistocene (Fig. 6).

**Plio/Pleistocene Transition**

During the transition from the late Pliocene to the early Pleistocene, the Central Namib Desert underwent large-scale landscape rejuvenation with drainage reorganisation and incision. This is the same period, in which Miller et al. (2010) reconstructed the major desiccation of the Etosha paleolake (Fig. 6). Based on U-Pb calcrite ages from the Kalahari basin, Houben et al. (2020) proposed an intensification of arid conditions since ~3.8 Ma, older than our onset of more arid conditions in the Central Namib at around 3 Ma. Higher offshore sedimentation rates off Namibia may be associated with increased input of terrestrial material (Dupont et al., 2005) due to incision of E-W flowing drainages into the Atlantic. The propagation of the Horingbaai fan-delta (between Omaruru and the Ugab river) occurred approximately at the same time (2.7 - 2.4 Ma) according to Stollhofen et al. (2014), supporting the idea of large-scale landscape rejuvenation and incision of multiple E-W flowing drainages in the Namib Desert.

The underlying mechanism is still questionable, as several forcing factors could be responsible for the incision of major E-W flowing river systems: climate change and variability, sea level change and/or tectonic uplift. The latter was previously suggested by Ward (1987) and attributed to a late Neogene epeirogenic uplift. Stollhofen et al. (2014) also suggest that uplift could be one of the causes and/or at least a contributor to other factors, such as climate.

Data from the marine realm off Namibia suggest a further step towards more extreme arid conditions during the Plio-/Pleistocene transition (Fig. 6). Local SST records (ODP 1082, Etourneau et al., 2009; ODP 1081, Rosell-Melé et al., 2014, Fig. 7) indicate the onset of decreasing SSTs at ~2.7-2.5 Ma and the significant shift towards increased upwelling activity of colder water masses in the Benguela Current since ~2.2 Ma (Dupont et al., 2005; Marlow et al., 2000; Etourneau et al., 2009). The significant decrease in SSTs correlates with the further intensification of Northern Hemisphere glaciation since ~2.7 Ma (Ruggieri et al., 2009). The pollen record (ODP 1082) of (Dupont, 2006) shows that arid to semi-arid biomes were rather limited prior to ~2.7 Ma, and that their concentration increases with higher variability since then, reflecting the intensification of arid conditions in the Central Namib Desert (Dupont, 2006).

Vegetation change may be a major cause of the exposure of landscapes to accelerated erosion. Major river incision in the Central Namib Desert thus occurred during a period of climate change and greater climate variability compared to the more persistently stable Pliocene (Rosell-Melé et
al., 2014), with the intensification of arid conditions in southern Africa, synchronous with major global changes. We therefore propose that the major river incision of the Kuiseb River at the Plio-/Pleistocene transition was caused by a shift to more arid conditions with decreasing precipitation, resulting in reduced river discharge, river steepening and incision (e.g. Whipple and Tucker, 1999; Bonnet and Crave, 2003; Molnar, 2001; Cooper et al., 2016). Catchment and river systems such as the Kuiseb (and/or river systems such as the Swakop), which had reached a steady-state during the more stable Pliocene, had to adapt to the new boundary conditions, which is in line with the global increase in erosion rates at the Plio-/Pleistocene transition (Herman et al., 2013; Herman and Champagnac, 2016). A major vegetation shift towards more arid biomes and sparser vegetation cover increased the susceptibility of landscapes to erosion. The global sea-level drop at the Plio-/Pleistocene transition may have had an additional impact on drainage base levels.

The incision of the recent Kuiseb River can be constrained to a period between the derived terrace ages of ~2.8 and ~1.3 Ma (minimum age of the Oswater bedrock river terrace). The actual period of incision may be even shorter, given the cessation of fluvial sediment deposition offshore at ~2 Ma (Dupont et al., 2005). Deposition of the Oswater Formation indicates a phase of aggradation sometime after ~1.3 Ma, followed by an incision into the recent bed of the Kuiseb River.
Fig. 6: Compilation of paleoclimate records. (A) Alkenone SSTs from ODP 1082 (Etourneau et al., 2009) and ODP 1081 (Rosell-Melé et al., 2014). Intensification of Benguela upwelling according to Etourneau et al. (2009). (B) Sedimentation rate of ODP 1082 for the Plio/Pleistocene transition (Dupont, 2006). (C) Desiccation of the Etosha paleolake from Miller et al. (2010). (D) U-Pb silcrete and surface exposure ages (this study). Numbers indicate identical clasts. 1 - DWA98008-Silc3, 2 - DWA98008-Silc4, 3 - DWA98008-Silc7, 4 - DWA98008-Silc8, 5 - DWA98013, 6 - DWA98007, 7 - DWA98006, 8 - DWA98024. (E) Global Sea-Level curve from Hansen et al. (2013). Black dashed line indicates relative mean sea-level during the Pliocene, followed by the global decrease since the Plio-/Pleistocene transition. (F) Global Cenozoic reference benthic foraminifer oxygen isotope dataset (CENOGRID) from Westerhold et al. (2020).
Conclusion

Our study demonstrates that microscale silcrete from the Central Namib Desert can be dated using U-Pb LA-ICP-MS, and that layered silcrete incrustations can be used as paleoclimate archives. LA-ICP-MS U-Pb dating of silcrete has advantages over bulk carbonate analysis because it is less affected by potential interferences and contamination. The combined dating approach with additional $^{21}$Ne exposure age dating allows us to reconstruct major paleoclimate and landscape changes since the Late Miocene for the Central Namib Desert. We can corroborate previously obtained chronological data from Van Der Wateren and Dunai (2001) and place absolute age constraints on some sediments from the Central Namib Desert, some of which are used as marker horizons throughout the region. Our chronology of groundwater calcrete formation and river incision adds crucial information with absolute dates to the ‘Namib Group’. Although specific precipitation ranges for calcrete formation are still being debated, we can assign potential precipitation ranges and their shifts to specific time episodes and thus provide a semi-quantitative picture of the aridification of the Central Namib Desert during the Late Miocene to the Plio-/Pleistocene. Our terrestrial paleoclimate record of microscale silcrete formation, i.e., calcrete formation, supports the marine evidence for a persistently stable Pliocene climate in the Central Namib Desert. The cessation of groundwater calcrete formation was caused by the deep incision of the Kuiseb River (presumably synchronous with other E-W flowing drainage systems of the Central Namib Desert) at the Plio-/Pleistocene transition, which can be explained by the intensification of aridity, vegetation change, and presumably global sea-level drop. Global climate change with the onset of the Pleistocene was most likely the major forcing factor for major landscape rejuvenation and change in the Central Namib Desert. Precipitation decline in the Kuiseb River catchment is identified as the tipping point for the local climate and landscape response.
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