1 Global analysis of in situ cosmogenic ²⁶Al and ¹⁰Be and inferred

2 erosion rate ratios in modern fluvial sediments indicates

3 widespread sediment storage and burial during transport

Christopher T. Halsted¹, Paul R. Bierman², Alexandru T. Codilean³, Lee B. Corbett², Marc W.
Caffee⁴

¹Department of Geosciences, Williams College, Williamstown, MA 01267, USA

⁷ ²Rubenstein School of Environment and Natural Resources, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405, USA

8 ³School of Science and Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Australian Biodiversity and Heritage

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

- 11 *Correspondence to*: Christopher T Halsted (ch22@williams.edu)
- 12 Abstract. Since the 1990s, analysis of cosmogenic nuclides, primarily ¹⁰Be, in quartz-bearing river sand, has
- 13 allowed for quantitative determination of landscape mass loss rates (hereafter, erosion rates) at a basin scale. Paired
- 14 measurements of in situ cosmogenic ²⁶Al and ¹⁰Be in sediment are less common but offer insight into the integrated
- 15 exposure and burial history of sediment moving down slopes and through drainage basins. Prolonged burial $(>10^5)$
- 16 years), a violation of assumptions underlying erosion rate calculations, is indicated by higher 26 Al-based than 10 Be-
- based erosion rates due to preferential loss of shorter-lived ²⁶Al by decay when quartz is at least in part shielded
- 18 from cosmic rays.
- Here, we use a global compilation of 26 Al and 10 Be data generated from quartz-bearing fluvial sediment samples (n =
- 20 766, including 117 new measurements) to calculate the discordance between erosion rates derived from each
- 21 nuclide. We find that over 30% of samples (n = 234) exhibit discordance (> 2σ analytical uncertainty) between
- 22 erosion rates derived from ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al, indicating sediment histories that include extended burial during residence
- 23 on hillslopes and/or in the fluvial system after or during initial near-surface exposure. Physical basin parameters
- 24 such as basin area, slope, and tectonic activity exhibit significant correlation with erosion rate discordance whereas
- 25 climatic parameters have weak correlation, allowing us to infer the likelihood of sediment burial during transport in
- 26 different geomorphic settings.

27 Paired ²⁶Al and ¹⁰Be analyses in detrital fluvial samples provide a window into watershed processes, elucidating

- 28 landscape behaviour at different spatial scales and allowing a deeper understanding of both sediment routing
- 29 systems and whether methodological assumptions are violated. Although previous studies have found ${}^{26}Al/{}^{10}Be$
- 30 erosion rate discordance to be common in the world's largest drainage basins, our analysis suggests that such
- 31 discordance also occurs regularly in basins as small as 1,000 km², indicating that sediment storage mechanisms are
- 32 more complex than suggested by simple floodplain-area scaling laws. Moderately sized basins $(1,000 10,000 \text{ km}^2)$
- 33 with low average slopes in tectonically quiescent terrains appear conducive to extended sediment storage; thus,
- erosion rates from such basins are lower limits due to nuclide decay during storage. We find that sediment sourced
- 35 from smaller, steeper basins in tectonically active regions is more likely to have similar 10 Be and 26 Al erosion rates
- 36 indicative of limited storage and is thus more likely to provide reliable erosion rates.

^{9 (}CABAH), University of Wollongong, Wollongong NSW 2522, Australia

37 1 Introduction

38 Fluvial sediments are a rich source of information about the upstream sediment routing system, which

39 encompasses sediment generation, transport, and storage processes (Romans et al., 2016; Tofelde et al., 2021). For

40 example, in situ cosmogenic ¹⁰Be measurements of quartz isolated from fluvial sediments are used to estimate basin-

41 averaged erosion rates. The application of this method in thousands of drainage basins around the world has

42 provided valuable insights into physical and climatic controls on erosion (von Blanckenburg, 2005; Codilean et al.,

43 2022; Portenga and Bierman, 2011; Schaefer et al., 2022). Such analyses assume an upstream sediment history in

44 which material was generated through steady exhumation on hillslopes and then transported rapidly through fluvial

45 networks, experiencing negligible storage while in transit (Bierman and Steig, 1996; von Blanckenburg, 2005;

46 Granger et al., 1996; Granger and Schaller, 2014; Schaefer et al., 2022). Although erosion rates are now commonly

measured, few studies have assessed the underlying assumptions of the technique and how often those assumptionsare violated.

49 Sediment grains in fluvial systems can have a wide range of idiosyncratic transport and storage histories

50 potentially spanning more than 10^6 years in large basins, as shown by cosmogenic nuclide analyses in modern

51 fluvial sediments (Fülöp et al., 2020; Repasch et al., 2020; Wittmann et al., 2011), volumetric and geochemical

52 analyses of valley fills (Blöthe and Korup, 2013; Jonell et al., 2018; Munack et al., 2016), and sediment transport

53 models (Carretier et al., 2020). These complex sediment histories, along with the protracted sediment lag times, may

54 confound reliable interpretation of upstream processes (Allen, 2008; Jerolmack and Paola, 2010). Sediment samples

- used for analysis of cosmogenic nuclides are typically amalgamations of thousands of grains, each of which has its
- 56 own unique history.

57 Measuring multiple in situ cosmogenic radionuclides with different half-lives is a promising approach for 58 discerning fluvial sediment histories (Codilean and Sadler, 2021; Schaefer et al., 2022). Calculating ratios between

59 multiple cosmogenic radionuclides has provided insight into sediment provenance (e.g., Cazes et al., 2020) and

storage histories (e.g., Wittmann et al., 2011; Fülöp et al., 2020; Ben-Israel et al., 2022) in river systems around the

61 world. Such studies have helped test hypotheses about sediment dynamics in river basins, including that the

62 integrated storage duration experienced by sediments on hillslopes and in floodplains is generally greater in larger

basins (Wittmann et al., 2020), in post-orogenic regions (Cazes et al., 2020; Struck et al., 2018), and in arid regions

64 (Makhubela et al., 2019). However, such hypotheses have yet to be tested on a global scale and questions remain,

such as whether sediment storage duration scales with physical and/or climatological basin metrics.

In this study, we compiled measurements of paired in situ 26 Al and 10 Be concentrations in detrital fluvial

sediment from around the world (n = 766, including 117 new 26 Al measurements on archived samples with

68 previously published ¹⁰Be measurements) to test for the existence and likelihood of fluvial sediment storage across a

69 wide range of physical and climatological drainage basin settings. We account for localized differences in nuclide

70 production ratios to facilitate comparison across the world and use a variety of statistical tests to assess relationships

71 between isotope concentrations and basin-scale landscape and climate parameters. Such a global description

72 provides insight into the complexity of river sediment transport and storage and allows us to evaluate the validity of

- 73 assumptions inherent to the widely-used, basin-scale cosmogenic nuclide erosion rate method (von Blanckenburg,
- 74 2005; Granger and Schaller, 2014; Schaefer et al., 2022).

75 2 Background

76 **2.1 Sediment system dynamics and landscape change**

77 Fluvial sediments are products of hillslope processes and are moved through sediment routing systems. These systems generally encompass regions of net sediment generation through bedrock weathering, regolith production, 78 79 and sediment export from hillslope source zones (Allen, 2017). This detrital material is then transported by fluvial 80 systems through riverine transfer zones and deposited in detrital sink zones (Schumm, 1977). Depending on the 81 geometry of the riverine transfer zone, sediment storage may be transient (e.g., steep bedrock streams) or long 82 lasting (e.g., lowland alluvial rivers). The extent and duration of storage in floodplains and sedimentary basins is an 83 important control on weathering (e.g., Campbell et al., 2022; Dosseto et al., 2014) as well as on both the production 84 of cosmogenic nuclides in sediments near the surface and the decay of those radionuclides if sediment is buried (Lal, 85 1991).

86 Understanding rates, controls, and dynamics of sediment generation and transport is important for quantifying

87 landscape change over time and space (Allen, 2008; Romans et al., 2016). In many routing systems, river

88 morphology (Langbein and Leopold, 1964; Leopold and Wolman, 1960) and floodplain volume (e.g., Otto et al.,

89 2009) are determined by the sediment mass flux out of source zones, the rate of transit through transfer zones, and

- 90 the accommodation space available for sediment storage. Changes to rates of sediment generation or transfer,
- 91 primarily driven by tectonic or climatic forcings (Romans et al., 2016), can thus affect the behaviour of both
- 92 sediment-supplying hillslopes and riverine transfer zones. Identifying such changes over space and through time is
- 93 an important objective of geomorphological research and has prompted the development of tracer and rate-

94 determining detrital geochronologic methods including measurements of cosmogenic nuclides, fission tracks, fallout

95 radionuclides, and U/Th/He in various mineral phases (Allen, 2017).

96 2.2 Interpreting landscape processes from cosmogenic nuclides

97 The application of cosmogenic nuclide analyses to fluvial sediments, first using single nuclides (Bierman and Steig, 1996; Brown et al., 1995; Granger et al., 1996) and later paired nuclides (e.g., Clapp et al., 2000, 2001), has 98 99 significantly advanced our understanding of geomorphology and sediment routing systems at a variety of spatial and 100 temporal scales (e.g., Bierman and Nichols, 2004; von Blanckenburg, 2005; Codilean et al., 2021; Portenga and 101 Bierman, 2011; Willenbring et al., 2013; Wittmann et al., 2020). Key to the interpretation of measured nuclide 102 concentrations is a quantitative understanding of nuclide production and decay rates throughout the basin from 103 which the sediment is derived. 104 The ratio of 26 Al to 10 Be at production is ~6.8 at low and mid latitudes (Balco et al., 2008), but there are subtle

105 influences of latitude and altitude on that ratio (Argento et al., 2015; Halsted et al., 2021; Lifton et al., 2014).

106 Nuclide production decreases exponentially with depth below Earth's surface such that once sediment is buried

- more than a meter or two, decay, rather than production systematics, controls the evolution of the 26 Al/ 10 Be ratio over time (Granger, 2006; Wittmann and von Blanckenburg, 2009).
- 109 Landscapes lose mass by both chemical and physical processes. The sum of these processes is referred to as
- 110 denudation and includes total mass loss integrated over depth. Mass loss rates inferred from cosmogenic nuclide
- concentrations in sediment have most often referred to as erosion rates (Bierman and Steig, 1996; Granger et al.,
- 112 1996; Lal, 1991; VanLandingham et al., 2022) and we adopt that convention in this paper. We do this because our
- 113 data set includes numerous samples from parts of the world where there is deep chemical weathering (the tropics
- and unglaciated, low-slope temperate regions). In these areas, mass loss through dissolution and groundwater export
- extends many meters below the penetration depth of the cosmic ray neutrons responsible for most 10 Be and 26 Al
- 116 production. Such export of mass in solution is not reflected in the concentration of in situ produced cosmogenic
- 117 nuclides, which are only sensitive to mass loss in the uppermost few meters of Earth's dynamic surface (e.g.,
- 118 Campbell et al., 2022).

119 2.2.1 Basin-scale erosion rates from single-nuclide measurements

120 Basin-scale erosion rates have been estimated around the world by measuring the concentration of a single 121 cosmogenic nuclide, most often in situ ¹⁰Be, in samples of amalgamated river sediment (Bierman and Steig, 1996; Brown et al., 1995; Codilean et al., 2022; Granger et al., 1996; Portenga and Bierman, 2011). Sediment grains 122 accumulate ¹⁰Be during exhumation and at the surface in source zones, with the nuclide concentration within grains 123 124 being proportional to the residence time of grains on hillslopes (Heimsath et al., 1997; Jungers et al., 2009). When collecting a sample of fluvial sediment downstream, it is assumed that such a sample represents the average nuclide 125 126 concentration in grains sourced from all sediment-generating hillslopes within a basin (Bierman and Steig, 1996; 127 Granger et al., 1996; Brown et al., 1995).

- 128 Accuracy of basin-scale erosion rate calculations depends upon the validity of several assumptions about
- sediment generation and transport that cannot be tested with single-nuclide analyses: that sampled grains were
- 130 steadily exhumed on hillslopes in sediment source zones, are well mixed, and are transported rapidly through fluvial
- 131 networks such that nuclide production and decay in the transport zone is minimal (Bierman and Steig, 1996;
- 132 Granger et al., 1996; Brown et al., 1995). This last assumption is most likely to be valid if the volume of sediment
- 133 stored in the system is small in comparison to the volume of sediment generated and transported through the system
- 134 on timescales relevant to ¹⁰Be production and decay (millennia; Granger et al., 1996).

135 2.2.2 Sediment routing dynamics from paired ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al

- 136 In situ ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al are the most commonly analysed cosmogenic nuclide pair in river sediment, with
- 137 measurements having started in the late 1990s (Bierman and Caffee, 2001; Clapp et al., 2000, 2001, 2002; Heimsath
- et al., 1997; Nichols et al., 2002). Their popularity reflects the relative ease of extracting this isotope pair from the
- 139 same aliquot of quartz, the wide distribution of quartz across landscapes, and because of their contrasting half-lives
- 140 (1.4 My and 0.7 My, respectively, Chmeleff et al., 2010; Korschinek et al., 2010; Nishiizumi, 2004). When sediment

is buried, the shorter-lived 26 Al is preferentially lost as decay exceeds production, and the 26 Al/ 10 Be ratio in quartz lowers over time (Balco and Rovey, 2008; Granger, 2006).

- 143 ${}^{26}\text{Al}/{}^{10}\text{Be}$ ratios lower than those at production have been used as isotopic indicators of sediment storage and
- subsequent remobilization in catchments across the world, ranging from arid (Bierman et al., 2001; Bierman and
- 145 Caffee, 2001; Clapp et al., 2002; Kober et al., 2009) to tropical (Campbell et al., 2022; Wittmann et al., 2011)
- climates and in small (Clapp et al., 2000, 2001) to very large (Ben-Israel et al., 2022; Fülöp et al., 2020; Hidy et al.,
- 147 2014; Wittmann et al., 2020; Wittmann and von Blanckenburg, 2016) basins. However, in some studies, lowered
- ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios were attributed to laboratory errors (Insel et al., 2010; Walcek and Hoke, 2012; Hattanji et al., 2019)
- 149 or incorporation of meteoric ¹⁰Be (Corbett et al., 2022; Moon et al., 2018) and disregarded.
- 150 In this study, sediment burial (and resulting preferential loss of shorter-live 26 Al by decay) is reflected by the
- discordance between erosion rates calculated from ${}^{10}\text{Be}(E_{\text{Be}})$ and ${}^{26}\text{Al}(E_{\text{Al}})$, the calculation of which normalizes spatial variations in the ${}^{26}\text{Al}/{}^{10}\text{Be}$ surface production ratio and accounts for differential nuclide decay during
- 153 prolonged surface exposure in very slowly eroding terrains. Thus, calculating erosion rate discordance rather than
- 154 using nuclide concentration ratios facilitates comparisons between basins across the world and is sensitive only to
- 155 nuclide decay caused by sediment burial after initial exposure, rather than decay that occurs during prolonged
- 156 surface or near-surface exposure.
- 157 If sediment is transferred from slopes into channels and transported through the channel network without
- 158 extended burial, then erosion rates calculated from the concentration of each nuclide should be coincident ($E_{Be} =$
- E_{A1}). Discordance between erosion rates calculated from the two nuclides (unless it is caused by laboratory errors)
- 160 reflects preferential loss of ²⁶Al when and where decay exceeds production, in which case $E_{\text{Be}} < E_{\text{Al}}$. This occurs
- 161 when sediment is stored below the surface (>2m) and for extended periods $(>10^5 \text{ years})$ after initial surface exposure
- 162 on hillslopes or in floodplains (Fig. 1).



164 Figure 1: Effects of storage in sediment source and/or transfer zones on ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al-based erosion rates measured in 165 detrital quartz grains. In Panel 1, rapid erosion rates in the source zone and limited remobilization of stored sediment in 166 the transfer zone result in detrital sediment with concurrent erosion rates ($E_{\text{Be}}/E_{\text{AI}}=1$). In Panel 2, rapid erosion rates in 167 the source zone and some remobilization of stored sediment in the transfer zone result in detrital sediment with erosion 168 rate discordance $(E_{Be}/E_{Al} < 1)$, although prolonged sediment storage (>10⁵ years) is necessary for erosion rate discordance 169 to be measurable. In Panel 3, slow erosion rates in the source zone and remobilization of stored sediment in the transfer 170 zone result in detrital sediment with substantial erosion rate discordance ($E_{Be}/E_{Al} \ll 1$). This figure is based on Figure 6 171 in Wittmann et al. (2016).

172 Floodplain sediment storage of $<10^5$ years has minimal effect on E_{Be}/E_{Al} in sediment grains (Wittmann and von

173 Blanckenburg, 2009), but during prolonged (>10⁵ years) storage, especially at depths below which most nuclide

174 production by spallation occurs (> several hundred g cm⁻²), the E_{Be}/E_{Al} of amalgamated samples can lower

175 sufficiently that the lowering can be detected with confidence in quartz containing moderate to high concentrations

176 of these nuclides (Fig 1). In slowly-eroding terrains (<10 m My⁻¹), long subsurface sediment residence times on

- 177 hillslopes after initial exposure, due to vertical mixing, can lead to erosion rate discordance in sediment source areas
- due to preferential ²⁶Al decay before regolith reaches the channel (Fig. 1; Makhubela et al., 2019; Struck et al.,
- 179 2018). The rate of $E_{\text{Be}}/E_{\text{Al}}$ lowering is depth-dependent, the ratio decreases more rapidly with increased sediment
- 180 burial depth as nuclide production rates decrease.

- 181 Re-introduction of stored sediment with low $E_{\text{Be}}/E_{\text{Al}}$ back into the active channel will lower the average $E_{\text{Be}}/E_{\text{Al}}$
- 182 of fluvial sediment in transport (Wittmann et al., 2009; Fig. 1). Geomorphic processes responsible for sediment
- 183 reworking in transfer zones vary widely depending on basin morphology, tectonics, and climatology. Extensive
- 184 sediment storage followed by remobilization is documented in meandering, low-lying, tropical river systems
- 185 (Wittmann et al., 2011), arid river systems that source sediment from sand dunes containing long-buried sediments
- 186 (Eccleshall, 2019; Vermeesch et al., 2010), hydrologically-variable basins where flood events remobilize vertically-
- 187 accreted floodplain deposits (Codilean et al., 2021), and formerly glaciated basins where sediments were repeatedly
- 188 covered by ice (Jautzy et al., 2024). While old, deeply-buried deposits typically have low nuclide concentrations and
- thus less influence on the average $E_{\text{Be}}/E_{\text{Al}}$ when mixed with active channel sediment in small amounts, high flow
- 190 events may re-mobilize substantial volumes of long-buried sediment and have a significant impact on nuclide
- 191 concentrations (e.g., Codilean et al. 2021; Wittmann et al., 2011) and calculated $E_{\text{Be}}/E_{\text{Al.}}$

3 Methods

193 **3.1 Study Design – Approach and Limitations**

194 In this study, we use a compilation of previously-published (n = 649) and new (n = 117) paired ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al 195 concentration measurements in fluvial sediments to assess storage and remobilization during sediment generation 196 and/or transport. We calculate nuclide-specific erosion rates and use the agreement or discordance between these 197 rates to identify burial during transport. We measure the morphometric and climatological properties of basins from 198 which the sampled sediments derive and use a variety of statistical analyses to assess if basin properties are 199 correlated with cosmogenic indications of burial. Then, we consider geomorphic mechanisms to explain observed correlations and discuss the implications of our results for the widely-used basin-averaged ¹⁰Be erosion rate method. 200 Measured ²⁶Al and ¹⁰Be alone cannot quantify sediment storage durations or identify specific geomorphic 201 202 histories for each sample because sediment samples are mixtures of grains with different histories and the inverse 203 solutions are non-unique (Bierman and Steig, 1996; von Blanckenburg, 2005; Brown et al., 1995; Granger et al., 204 1996; Schaefer et al., 2022). The rate of E_{Be}/E_{Al} lowering in stored sediment is depth-dependent (Wittmann and von 205 Blanckenburg, 2009); thus, the mixing of grains with different storage depth and time histories, and consequently 206 varying histories and duration of nuclide decay and production, precludes accurate estimations of storage duration. 207 Although we identify basin properties that correlate with isotopic indications of burial and storage, the identification 208 of specific processes responsible for storage and subsequent remobilization will differ on a case-by-case basis.

209 3.2 Data sources

210 We used two data sources: measurements in reported published studies (n = 649) and ²⁶Al and ¹⁰Be

- 211 concentrations from new ²⁶Al measurements made on samples archived at the University of Vermont (UVM) that
- had previously published ¹⁰Be concentrations (n = 117). For all samples, we normalized originally-reported ¹⁰Be
- 213 concentrations to the 07KNSTD standard (Nishiizumi et al., 2007) and ²⁶Al concentrations to the KNSTD standard

(Nishiizumi, 2004) using conversion factors based on the original AMS standards used for normalization (Table S1;
Balco et al., 2008; Nishiizumi et al., 2007).

216 **3.2.1** Sources of previously published paired ²⁶Al and ¹⁰Be measurements

We sourced data from the OCTOPUS database (Codilean et al., 2018, 2022; Codilean and Munack, 2024) for 217 previously-published paired ²⁶Al and ¹⁰Be measurements from fluvial sediments around the world with robust 218 documentation of processing methods, including the Al and Be standards used during AMS measurements (n = 219 220 555). We also compiled samples from studies that had not yet been added to the OCTOPUS database at the time of 221 writing (n = 94; Wang et al., 2017; Adams and Ehlers, 2018; Mason and Romans, 2018; Moon et al., 2018; Hattanji et al., 2019; Hubert-Ferrari et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021; Ben-Israel et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 222 223 2022; Jautzy et al., 2024). Previously-published samples were processed at numerous laboratories, including at 224 UVM, and were analyzed at several AMS facilities (sources, raw data, and AMS facilities for previously published 225 samples are reported in Table S1).

226 **3.2.2** Sample processing for new ²⁶Al measurements

Samples with new ²⁶Al measurements come from a wide range of locations but were processed entirely at
UVM between 2009 and 2019. These archived samples had previously undergone Be and Al extraction following
established methods (Corbett et al., 2016), but only had ¹⁰Be concentrations measured (¹⁰Be concentration
measurements were originally reported in their source publications and are provided in Table S2). The Al-bearing
fraction of these archived samples, Al and Be having been separated by column chromatography during the original
sample processing for ¹⁰Be analysis (Corbett et al., 2016), were stored as Al hydroxide gels.
We re-dissolved the gels into a chloride liquid form using 1 mL of 6 mol/L hydrochloric acid and allowed the

gels to sit in acid for several weeks. When completely dissolved, we added 4 mL of water to each sample to create a

1.2 mol/L hydrochloric acid solution for column chromatography and centrifuged the samples to remove any

lingering undissolved material. We removed ²⁶Mg, an isobar of ²⁶Al, via column chromatography and then followed

the methods outlined in Corbett et al. (2016) to convert samples into an Al oxide powder mixed with Nb for

²⁶Al/²⁷Al measurement via accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS).

²⁶Al/²⁷Al ratios for these re-processed samples were measured using AMS between 2019 and 2021 at the

240 Purdue Rare Isotopes Measurement Laboratory (PRIME), where the addition of a gas-filled magnet to the AMS has

significantly reduced ²⁶Al measurement uncertainties (Caffee et al., 2015). Samples were measured against primary

standard KNSTD with a ${}^{26}Al/{}^{27}Al$ ratio of 1.818 x 10⁻¹² (Nishiizumi, 2004). We re-processed blanks that were

archived with the Al hydroxide gels from their original processing batches (n = 37) and blank-corrected samples by

subtracting the estimated ²⁶Al atoms in the batch-specific blank from the total ²⁶Al in the sample (Table S2). Where

- the original batch blank was missing, likely due to others re-sampling Al gels from the batch prior to 2019, the
- 246 average ${}^{26}Al/{}^{27}Al$ ratio from all re-processed blanks (2.37 +/- 1.84 x 10⁻¹⁵; 1SD) was used to estimate a blank
- 247 correction. We propagated AMS ²⁶Al/²⁷Al and blank measurement uncertainties in quadrature to quantify total ²⁶Al

concentration uncertainty. All new ²⁶Al concentration, blank, and uncertainty measurements and calculations can be
 found in Table S2.

250 **3.3** Calculating ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al-derived erosion rates and erosion rate discordance.

We use the erosion rate calculator formerly known as CRONUS v3 (Balco et al., 2008) with the nuclide-251 252 specific LSDn scaling scheme (Lifton et al., 2014) to calculate $E_{\rm B}$ and $E_{\rm Al}$. The LSDn scaling scheme depicts spatial variations in the ${}^{26}Al/{}^{10}Be$ surface production ratio (Halsted et al., 2021) and thus calculated E_B and E_{Al} values are 253 254 normalized to local nuclide-specific production rates to facilitate comparisons across the world. We assumed no 255 shielding and estimate spatially-averaged basin altitude scaling factors using an iterative process that identifies the 256 atmospheric pressure value best matching the spatially averaged Lal/Stone production rate in each basin, a more 257 computationally efficient method than pixel-based approaches for this large compilation and with nearly 258 indistinguishable results (Codilean and Munack, 2024). We propagated 'internal' uncertainties (i.e., analytical uncertainties) of E_{Be} and E_{Al} estimates in quadrature to quantify the 1-sigma (1 σ) uncertainty of E_{Be}/E_{Al} . 259 A E_{Be}/E_{Al} value indistinguishable from 1 (considering 2σ uncertainties) is consistent with a history without 260 burial (but does not necessarily preclude burial and then re-exposure). A E_{Be}/E_{Al} distinguishably lower than 1 is 261

262 consistent with a history including burial and remobilization of sediment back into the active channel. E_{Be}/E_{Al} values

distinguishably higher than 1 are theoretically impossible and likely indicate laboratory processing and/or
 measurement errors.

265 **3.4 Quantifying basin parameters**

For each basin, we calculated ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al-derived erosion rates, mean basin slope, basin area, local relief 266 267 using a 2 km radius circular moving window, mean annual precipitation, aridity, tectonic activity, dominant lithology, likelihood of stream flow intermittence, glacial cover at the Last Glacial Maximum, and present-day ice 268 269 cover (data sources and detailed methods are reported in the Supplementary Material). We created basins shapefiles 270 by delineating watersheds upstream of sediment sampling locations (following the procedures used in the 271 OCTOPUS database; Codilean et al., 2022) and used these shapefiles to calculate zonal statistics within each basin. 272 We determined all sampling locations from the source publications or through personal correspondence with the 273 papers' authors. We treated nested basins individually, such that a sample collected in an upstream tributary basin 274 has a separate basin shapefile from the larger, downstream sample with a basin encompassing all upstream

tributaries.

276 **3.5 Statistical analyses**

We used hypothesis testing methods to determine if physical or climatological characteristics of sample basins correlate significantly with calculated E_{Be}/E_{Al} values. We used correlation analyses between E_{Be}/E_{Al} values and numerical basin parameters (latitude, mean erosion rate, area, mean area, mean slope, mean local relief, annual precipitation, aridity index, intermittent flow probability, percent cover by both Last Glacial Maximum and present ice, and hypsometric integral) and checked for cross-correlation between all basin parameters. We log-transformed basin areas and basin-averaged ¹⁰Be erosion rates prior to correlation analyses to normalize their skewed distribution
 (Fig. 4) and used the non-parametric Spearman's Correlation Coefficient to evaluate the strength of correlations due

to the lingering non-normality of some basin parameter distributions.

285 We used a forward stepwise regression analysis as in Portenga and Bierman (2011) to create a multi-variate

286 linear model relating E_{Be}/E_{Al} values to basin parameters. This analysis considers all basin parameters but only fits a

regression through those that are most statistically important as defined by the change in p-value of the model F-

- statistic when adding or removing each parameter. We set the probability to enter as p < 0.05 and the probability to
- 289 leave as p > 0.1.
- 290 We use one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey multiple comparison of means testing (Abdi and
- Williams, 2010) to assess the magnitude and statistical significance of E_{Be}/E_{Al} value differences between categorical

292 variables (tectonic activity, dominant lithology, region) and to identify threshold values for E_{Be}/E_{Al} differences based

293 on basin areas and hypsometric integrals. We ran the same analyses using the Kruskal-Wallis H test for multiple

294 comparison of medians (MacFarland and Yates, 2016) and obtained nearly identical results to the Tukey MCM

testing; we report only the mean results. We used the python libraries *pandas*, *matplotlib*, *cartopy*, *numpy*, *seaborn*,

scipy, and *statsmodels* to perform all statistical analyses (except for the forward stepwise regression analysis) and

- 297 create figures, and a Jupyter notebook with coding for all analyses (including the median analyses) is included in the
- 298 Supplementary Material. We used MATLAB to perform the forward stepwise regression analysis using the
- 299 *'stepwiselm'* function; a copy of this script can be found in the Supplementary Material.

300 4 Results

301 4.1 Dataset statistics

The compilation of basins assembled here (n = 766) has near-global coverage, although there are fewer data from low-latitude regions, especially at high elevations (Figs 2 and 3). Most basins are < 100,000 km² (n = 677), while a small number (n = 25) are very large (>1,000,000 km²; Fig 2). The basins in the compilation encompass a wide range of morphologic and climatic regimes (Fig 4). The distributions of most basin parameters are rightskewed, with most basins having low-to-moderate slope, relief, and precipitation. The basins are underlain by a variety of dominant lithologies and are split almost evenly between those that are tectonically active (n = 411) and those that are post-orogenic (n = 355).





310 Figure 2. Latitude and elevation distribution of basins in our compilation. Color coding indicates if calculated erosion rate

311 ratios are indistinguishable from 1 (considering 2σ analytical uncertainties), distinguishably lower than 1 or

312 distinguishably higher than 1. The marker size indicates source basin area.



314 Figure 3. Inset: Distribution of E_{Be}/E_{Al} values. Main: Map of basin centroid locations color-coded by E_{Be}/E_{Al} values.



Figure 4. Kernel density distributions of basin parameters with subdivision and color-coding based on $E_{Be'}E_{Al}$ values (see legend). Note that the erosion rate and basin area plots both feature extremely long tails on the high end and thus have xaxis limits imposed. Vertical axes on all plots are relative density values. Sources for all parameters and methods used in their calculations are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

- 320 The population of E_{Be}/E_{Al} values (n = 766) approximates a normal distribution with mean = 0.88 and SD = 0.21
- (Fig 3 inset). Approximately 31% of the samples in the compilation (n = 238) have E_{Be}/E_{Al} values that are
- distinguishably lower than 1 when considering 2σ analytical uncertainties, while ~3.5% of samples (n = 27) have
- 323 E_{Be}/E_{Al} values distinguishably higher than 1.

324 4.2 Correlation analysis and stepwise regression

- 325 Of the basin parameters, all but aridity index exhibit statistically-significant correlations with E_{Be}/E_{Al} values (p
- < 0.05), although none of the correlations with E_{Be}/E_{Al} are particularly strong ($r_s < 0.4$; Figure 5).





330 The best-fitting linear model from the forward stepwise regression analysis (Table 1) predicts a decrease in

 $E_{Be/E_{Al}}$ values with increasing basin area, decreasing basin-averaged erosion rate, decreasing basin mean elevation,

and decreasing hypsometric integral. No other basin parameters improved this multivariate model and thus those

parameters were removed during the stepwise regression analysis. This model represents a statistically-significant

improvement over a constant model (p << 0.001), although a low reduced chi-squared statistic (0.048) suggests that

it may overfit the data.

336

Table 1: Summary of linear model $(E_{Be}/E_{Al} \sim \beta + X + Y)$ output from forward stepwise regression analysis

	Estimate	SE	tStat	p-value
(Intercept)	0.923	0.040	22.868	1.766e-88
Log(Area)	-0.014	0.002	-5.941	4.308e-09
Log(Erosion Rate)	0.017	0.005	3.597	3.422e-04
Mean Elevation	3.215e-05	7.035e-06	4.571	5.678e-06
Hypsometric Integral	0.163	0.072	2.266	2.376e-02

338 Number of observations: 765, Error degrees of freedom: 760

339 Root Mean Squared Error: 0.207, R-squared: 0.111, Adjusted R-Squared: 0.107

340 *F-statistic vs. constant model: 23.8, p-value = 1.49e-18*

341 *Reduced Chi-Square: 0.048*

342 **4.3 ANOVA testing**

343 ANOVA testing offers more granular insight into the decline of E_{Be}/E_{Al} values with increasing basin area

and decreasing hypsometric integral, and among categorical basin parameters suggests that tectonic activity, but not

dominant lithology, has a significant correlation with measured E_{Be}/E_{Al} values (Figure 6). Post-hoc tests using group

346 mean and median values produced nearly identical results; mean tests are shown here while the results from median

347 post-hoc tests are included in the supplementary information.



348

Figure 6. One-way ANOVA results comparing E_{Be}/E_{Al} values between basin area categories (left, basins in each category have areas less than or equal to the label on the x-axis), basin tectonic activity (center), and dominant basin lithology (right). In each plot, boxes show median (center line), 25th and 75th percentile values (box edges) and the maximum and minimum non-outlier values (whiskers). E_{Be}/E_{Al} values plotted as circles are considered outliers (more than 1.5x the interquartile range). The dashed horizontal line in all plots is a reference line for $E_{Be}/E_{Al} = 1$. Note that n=8 samples have $E_{Be}/E_{Al} > 1.5$ and are cropped out of this figure.

With basin areas binned on a logarithmic base-10 scale, a decline in E_{Be}/E_{Al} values with increasing basin area is clear (Figure 6; Table 2). Very small basins ($\leq 1 \text{ km}^2$) have a mean E_{Be}/E_{Al} value of approximately 1 ($\mu = 0.96$ ± 0.20 , n = 83) while the largest basins (>1,000,000 km²) have a mean E_{Be}/E_{Al} value of 0.79 ± 0.25 (n = 25). We use

- 358 a multi comparison test to assess if E_{Be}/E_{Al} mean values for each basin area category are significantly different than
- 359 the smallest basin group and find that basins larger than 1,000 km² have mean E_{Be}/E_{Al} values less than 1. The
- 360 percentage of basins with E_{Be}/E_{Al} values that are lower than 1 (considering 2σ uncertainties) increases from 13% in
- 361 the $< 1 \text{ km}^2$ area bin to 40% in the 10^4 km^2 area bin and remains above 35% for all larger basins (Table 2).

362Table 2: One-way ANOVA results comparing measured E_{Be}/E_{Al} values between basin area categories. Note that the label363for each basin area category shows the upper limit for basin areas in that bin.

Basin Area	n	EBe/EA1	EBe/EAI	MCMean to 10 ⁰ km ²	% of Basins with
(km²)		Mean	S.D.	basins, p-value*	$E_{Be}/E_{Al} < 1**$
100	83	0.96	0.20	-	13
<i>10¹</i>	68	0.93	0.28	0.39	15
<i>10</i> ²	119	0.90	0.18	0.13	25
<i>10³</i>	180	0.88	0.22	0.02	32
<i>10</i> ⁴	136	0.87	0.21	<0.01	42
10 ⁵	91	0.83	0.22	<0.01	42
106	64	0.82	0.17	<0.01	39
107	25	0.79	0.25	<0.01	36

 ^{*}Shows p-value for Tukey multi-comparison of means test performed between basin area category and the smallest
 basins (<10⁰ km²)

366 ***Including 2σ uncertainties*

Basin hypsometric integrals also have a statistically significant influence on E_{Be}/E_{Al} based on ANOVA testing

368 (Figure 6; p = 0.007). Mature basins with low hypsometric integrals generally have lower mean E_{Be}/E_{Al} values

369 compared to basins with high hypsometric integrals, but a multi comparison of means test demonstrates that these

differences are not statistically significant (Table 3). The percentage of basins with E_{Be}/E_{Al} values that are lower than

371 1 (considering 2σ uncertainties) increases from 9% in the >0.7 hypsometric integral bin to >30% for basins with

372 hypsometric integrals <0.4 (Table 3).

Table 3: One-way ANOVA results comparing measured $E_{Be'}E_{Al}$ values between hypsometric integral categories. Note that the label for each hypsometric integral category shows the upper limit for the integral in that bin.

Hypsometric	n	E_{Be}/E_{Al}	E_{Be}/E_{Al}	MCMean to >0.7	% of Basins with	
Integral		Mean	S.D.	basins, p-value*	$E_{Be}/E_{Al} < 1**$	
0.1	22	0.81	0.21	0.09	36	
0.2	60	0.83	0.24	0.07	48	
0.3	115	0.84	0.20	0.08	43	
0.4	164	0.89	0.23	0.38	34	

0.5	215	0.89	0.22	0.39	26
0.6	147	0.89	0.22	0.35	22
0.7	31	0.95	0.13	0.93	23
> 0. 7	11	1.04	0.29	-	9

*Shows p-value for Tukey multi-comparison of means test performed between hypsometric integral category and the
category >0.7.

377 ***Including 2σ uncertainties*

We find that basins in tectonically active settings have higher E_{Be}/E_{Al} values ($\mu = 0.93 \pm 0.22$, n = 411) than 378 379 post-orogenic basins ($\mu = 0.83 \pm 0.20$, n = 355); this difference is statistically significant (p << 0.01). Dominant 380 basin lithology has less influence on E_{Be}/E_{Al} values (Table 4). Most lithologies have mean E_{Be}/E_{Al} values that are 381 statistically indistinguishable from each other. The exception is basins composed primarily of unconsolidated 382 sediments, which have, on average, lower E_{Be}/E_{Al} values than other lithologies ($\mu = 0.79 \pm 0.22$, n = 105). The 383 presence of glacial deposits in basins, here categorized as basins with more than 10% coverage by Last Glacial 384 Maximum ice (Ehlers et al., 2011), appears to have little influence on erosion rate discordance when considered at this global scale; basins containing glacial deposits have an average E_{Be}/E_{Al} value ($\mu = 0.90 \pm 0.25$, n = 117) 385 386 indistinguishable from those without glacial deposits ($\mu = 0.88 \pm 0.21$, n = 649). 387

Lithology	n	E _{Be} /E _{Al} Mean	EBe/EAI S.D.
Acid Plutonic	134	0.93	0.24
Acid Volcanic	29	0.94	0.16
Basic Volcanic	14	0.92	0.20
Carbonate Sedimentary	28	0.94	0.39
Intermediate Volcanic	9	0.77	0.32
Metamorphic	104	0.90	0.17
Mixed Sedimentary	107	0.93	0.21
Pyroclastic	6	0.86	0.18
Siliciclastic Sedimentary	226	0.86	0.18
Unconsolidated Sediments	105	0.79	0.22

388Table 4: Mean $E_{Be'}D | E_{Al}$ values and standard deviations for dominant basin lithologies as defined in the GLiM database389(Hartmann and Moosdorf, 2012)

5 Discussion and implications

391 **5.1** Prevalence and potential mechanisms causing complex sediment histories

We find widespread evidence of sediment histories that likely include extended sediment storage on timescales of $10^5 - 10^6$ years as indicated by E_{Be}/E_{Al} values distinguishably lower than 1 (considering 2σ analytical uncertainties) in over 30% of sampled basins around the world (n = 238). This substantial number of low E_{Be}/E_{Al} values rejects the null hypothesis (p << 0.001) of minimal nuclide decay due to sediment storage that is assumed in many single-nuclide erosion rate studies.

397 The occurrence and magnitude of depressed E_{Be}/E_{Al} values is correlated with several basin morphological

398 parameters, suggesting a systematic and thus predictable relationship between basin morphology and sediment

399 history. Although most physical basin parameters exhibited statistically significant correlations with measured

 $E_{Be/E_{Al}}$ values (Fig. 2), widespread cross-correlations exist between these parameters and suggest several basin

401 characteristics considered together are more likely to predict sediment histories including extended burial. The

402 number of E_{Be}/E_{Al} values distinguishably higher than 1 (n = 27) is within the range expected due to Poisson-

403 distributed measurement uncertainties and is not statistically significant (p >> 0.05).

404 Stepwise linear regression and ANOVA testing suggests that basin area has the single largest influence on 405 E_{Be}/E_{Al} values (Figs 5 and 6, Tables 1 and 2). The scaling of sediment storage duration with basin area is expected 406 (Pizzuto, 2020), with extended burial leading to significant ²⁶Al decay previously documented in very large basins 407 (Wittmann et al., 2011, 2020). Here we find that the average E_{Be}/E_{Al} value is lower than 1, implying >100,000 years

408 of subsurface sediment storage, in basins as small as $1,000 - 10,000 \text{ km}^2$ (p = 0.02). The influence of basin area is

409 apparent in the southern Appalachian mountains of the United States (Reusser et al., 2015; Table 5), where large

410 (>1,000 km², n = 5) basins have a lower average D_{Be}/D_{Al} value (0.81 ± 0.05) than small basins (<30 km², n = 7,

411 $D_{Be}/D_{Al} = 0.91 \pm 0.06$, p = 0.017), despite other physical basin parameters being similar.

Extended sediment storage in basins as small as 1,000 km² is inconsistent with scaling frameworks that 412 413 relate sediment storage duration to floodplain area in meandering river systems (e.g., Lauer and Parker, 2008). This 414 suggests other mechanisms facilitate extended sediment burial and re-introduction into the active channel. In such 415 moderately sized basins, a variety of processes could explain extended sediment storage, including rivers cutting 416 into sand dunes containing long-buried sediments (Eccleshall, 2019; Vermeesch et al., 2010), hydrologically-417 variable basins where flood events remobilize vertically-accreted floodplain deposits (Codilean et al., 2021), and 418 excavation of deeply-buried terrace sediments by outburst floods (Zhang et al., 2021). In source generation zones, 419 particularly on slowly-eroding hillslopes, deep vertical mixing can cause repeated burial on slopes, leading to

420 differential nuclide decay before sediments enter river systems (Makhubela et al., 2019).

421 Other physical basin parameters play secondary and interlinked roles in determining erosion rate 422 discordance (Fig 5, Table 1). Mean basin slope and elevation are positively correlated with each other and with 423 $E_{Be/E_{Al}}$ values, suggesting that alpine basins—which are typically steeper than lowland basins—produce fluvial 424 sediment that has experienced minimal storage and burial. Similarly, basin-averaged erosion rates and intermittent 425 river flow probability exhibit significant correlations with E_{Be}/E_{Al} values and are negatively correlated to each other, 426 suggesting that slowly-eroding basins that regularly experience intermittent river flow are conducive to sediment 427 storage and burial. The influence of basin slope, elevation, and tectonic activity is observed when comparing basins 428 of similar areas in high-alpine Bhutan (Portenga et al., 2015) and low-lying eastern Australia (Codilean et al., 2021); 429 the Bhutan basins have E_{Be}/E_{Al} values near 1 (0.98 ± 0.06, n = 11) while eastern Australian basins have lower 430 average E_{Be}/E_{Al} values (0.83 ± 0.06, n = 7, p < 0.001) indicating extensive sediment storage (Table 5). 431 Based on cross-correlations between physical basin parameters, we conclude that sediment sourced from large lowland basins— particularly those over 1,000 km², with low average erosion rates, low mean slopes, high 432 hypsometric integrals, and in post-orogenic settings— is more likely to exhibit erosion rate discordance indicative of 433 434 sediment storage and burial in source and/or transfer zones. Smaller alpine basins, particularly steeper basins with 435 higher average erosion rates in tectonically active regions, are more likely to produce sediment with E_{Be}/E_{Al} values 436 that overlap with 1 (within 2 standard deviation analytical uncertainties), suggesting shorter and shallower sediment

- 437 storage ($<10^5$ years). We infer that this is because larger, more gently sloping basins in tectonically quiescent
- 438 regions offer more opportunities for extended sediment storage in floodplains.
- 439 Table 5: E_{Be}/E_{Al} regional case studies

Location	n	<i>E_{Be}/E_{Al}</i> mean	E_{Be}/E_{Al} S.D.	Mean basin elevation (m a.s.l.)	Mean basin slope (°)	Mean basin area (km²)
Southern Appalachians, USA (small basins; Reusser et al., 2015)	7	0.91	0.06	337	5.5	9

Southern Appalachians, USA (large basins; Reusser et al., 2015)*	5	0.81	0.05	281	7	6262
Bhutan alpine basins (Portenga et al., 2015)**	11	0.98	0.08	3373	49.4	164
Lockyer sub-basins, Eastern Australia (Codilean et al., 2021)	7	0.83	0.06	430	15.5	130

*One outlier with $E_{Be}/E_{Al} = 0.22$ was removed. The low ratio of this sample was attributed to laboratory error in the

441 *source publication.*

442 **For this comparison we removed basins larger than 1000 km^2 (n = 3)

443 Climatological variables play only a minor role in the occurrence and magnitude of erosion rate discordance. We found very weak correlations between E_{Be}/E_{Al} mean annual precipitation, and aridity (Fig 5; Table 444 445 1). However, intermittent flow probability exhibited a significant negative correlation to E_{Be}/E_{Al} values (Fig 5), suggesting that basins with a higher probability of discontinuous flow for at least one day per year are more likely to 446 447 contain sediment with an extended history of burial. While fluvial systems that experience intermittent flow are most 448 common in arid and semiarid regions (Costigan et al., 2017), they exist around the world and intermittent flow 449 probability is correlated with a variety of hydrologic, geologic, and morphologic variables in addition to climate 450 regime (Messager et al., 2021; Figure 6). Therefore, we cannot confidently attribute an exclusively climatological 451 root for the correlation between intermittent flow probability and isotopic evidence of sediment burial. 452 Both low and high E_{Be}/E_{Al} values can be caused by laboratory uncertainty (statistical measurement

uncertainty) and biases (inaccurate measurements) that influence measured nuclide concentrations. Critical to the
 accuracy of ²⁶Al and ¹⁰Be measurements by AMS is the quantification of total aluminum and beryllium in samples
 (the stable isotopes, ²⁷Al and ⁹Be which are many orders of magnitude greater in concentration that the radionuclides

²⁶Al and ¹⁰Be). Native beryllium at detectable levels in quartz is rare but occasionally present (e.g., Portenga et al.,

457 2015), and not all laboratories quantify total Be in samples. Unaccounted-for native ⁹Be will lower measured

458 ¹⁰Be/⁹Be ratios, lower calculated ¹⁰Be concentrations, and increase calculated ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios.

The presence of meteoric (atmospherically derived) ¹⁰Be, if not completely removed by the quartz purification process (Kohl and Nishiizumi, 1992), will increase measured concentration of in situ ¹⁰Be as shown by Corbett et al., (2021). In such cases, its presence lowers measured ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios (Corbett et al., 2022; Moon et al., 2018). Given sediment storage and thus extended residence and weathering times in large basins, the persistence of

- 463 weathered mafic minerals is more likely in smaller basin where sediment has less time to weather during transport.
- 464 Conversely, stable aluminum (²⁷Al) is ubiquitous in quartz, meaning that full retention and accurate 465 measurement of that isotope, typically via inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy after quartz
- 466 dissolution (ICP-OES; e.g., Corbett et al., 2016), is critical to properly quantifying the concentration of ²⁶Al. Low
- 467 recovery of total Al before ICP-OES and presence of AlF complexes in ICP solutions results in lower than actual
- 26 Al/¹⁰Be ratios (Bierman and Caffee, 2002; Corbett et al., 2016). While some scatter in the data is likely the result
- 469 of such laboratory errors, the observed systematic correlations between morphological basin parameters and E_{Be}/E_{Al}
- 470 values suggests that most low ratios are due to geologic, rather than laboratory, processes.

471 5.2 Implications for cosmogenically-derived erosion rates and understanding landscapes

472 Our analysis shows that nearly a third of all samples for which multi-nuclide measurements exist have discordance between erosion rates derived from 10 Be and 26 Al beyond 2σ uncertainty. Although some discordant 473 474 samples may be the result of laboratory errors, most likely represent the complex history of sediment in drainage 475 basins. Because our regression analysis shows that large, low-slope, low-erosion-rate basins are most likely to have sediment with discordant ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al-derived erosion rates, such complexity is best explained by extended 476 sediment storage (>10⁵ years) in low gradient floodplains typical of such basins – sufficient time for decay of 26 Al to 477 478 be reliably measurable (e.g., Wittmann et al., 2011; Wittmann and von Blanckenburg, 2016). However, we also find such discordance in basins as small as 1,000 km², demonstrating that extended sediment storage followed by re-479 480 entry into active channels occurs in a variety of fluvial settings in addition to large meandering, low-land river 481 systems.

482 The impact of sediment storage on the veracity of cosmogenically-determined erosion rates is difficult to 483 assess for several reasons. First, sediment samples are a mixture of material, meaning that every sample contains many thousands of sand grains, each of which has its own idiosyncratic history. Such mixing means that any attempt 484 485 at decay correction will be inaccurate as mixing is a linear process and decay correction is not (Bierman and Steig, 486 1996). Second, sediment both loses nuclides (through radio decay) and gains nuclides (by production at depth, 487 dominated by muons) while in storage. The resulting nuclide concentration is a convolution of time and depth in 488 storage, where depth is unlikely to be constant through time. Because ¹⁰Be has a half-life of 1.4 My, it behaves similarly to a stable isotope on timescales typically of concern to geomorphologists, between $10^5 - 10^6$ years. Thus, 489 490 while low E_{Be}/E_{Al} suggests sediment storage on these timescales, it need not imply that ¹⁰Be-derived erosion rates are

491 biased significantly by radiodecay.

492 We consider E_{Be}/E_{Al} in fluvial sediment samples as a window into watershed processes. Specifically, measuring 493 multiple nuclides in sediment samples is useful to detect sediment storage. Additional field and remote sensing 494 measurements, not now typically done alongside sampling for cosmogenic nuclides, have the potential to better 495 elucidate the processes lowering E_{Be}/E_{Al} and the interpretation of measured ratios. For example, field and remote 496 sensing data could be used estimate both the volume and depth of sediment in storage on lowland floodplains (e.g., 497 Dunne et al., 1998) whereas depth profiles along cut banks and in drill cores could provide quantification of nuclide 498 concentrations in material stored in floodplains with depth (Bierman et al., 2005). Measuring cosmogenic nuclides 499 in samples collected down drainage networks can demonstrate if nuclide activities and ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios change with basin area and average slope (Clapp et al., 2002; Reusser et al., 2017). Together, these data will elucidate landscape 500 501 behavior at a variety of scales and bring a deeper understanding of sediment routing and erosion rates throughout 502 large drainage basis.

503 6 Conclusions

504The discordance between basin-averaged erosion rates derived from in situ cosmogenic ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al in505detrital fluvial samples provides insights into geomorphic controls on sediment sourcing and routing dynamics and a

- valuable check on the assumption of minimal sediment storage that is central to the widely-used, single-nuclide
- 507 erosion rate method. We calculated the ratio between ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al-derived erosion rates (E_{Be}/E_{Al}) in a global
- 508 compilation of detrital fluvial samples with measurements from both nuclides (n = 766, of which n = 117 are new)
- and found that nearly a third of samples (n = 238) exhibit erosion rate discordance as indicated by $E_{Be}/E_{Al} < 1$
- (beyond the bounds of 2σ analytical uncertainties). Low E_{Be}/E_{Al} values in detrital sediments are most likely the result
- 511 of 26 Al decay during extended storage (>10⁵ years) on hillslopes or in fluvial networks. Source basin area has the
- 512 greatest influence on sediment E_{Be}/E_{Al} values, with basins >1,000 km² more likely to contain sediment with E_{Be}/E_{Al}
- significantly less than 1. Other physical basin parameters have secondary and interlinked correlations to E_{Be}/E_{Al} ,
- allowing us to separate basins into two broad categories. Large, low-slope, lowland basins in post-orogenic settings
- s15 are more likely to produce sediment exhibiting erosion rate discordance indicative of extended sediment storage
- $(>10^5 \text{ years})$. Smaller (<1,000 km²), steep, alpine basins in tectonically active settings are more likely to produce
- sediment exhibiting erosion rate agreement indicative of minimal sediment storage ($<10^5$ years). These results
- 518 provide global-scale insights into sediment routing system dynamics and demonstrate the utility of a multi-nuclide
- 519 approach for understanding geomorphic processes at the scale of drainage basins.

Code and Data Availability

The supplementary information for this study, including supplementary data tables, text, and a Jupyter Notebook and Matlab script containing code for the statistical analyses and figure production, are available on public Github and Zenodo repositories that can be found with DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13345369.

525 Author Contributions

PB and LC conceptualized the study and acquired funding while CH conducted the investigation. PB and LC provided laboratory resources for cosmogenic nuclide chemical processing and LC supervised CH while he performed the chemistry procedures. MC performed the measurement of ²⁶Al measurements and assisted with interpretation of results. CH and AC were responsible for compiling previously published nuclide measurement and performing geospatial analyses, while CH

530 performed the statistical analyses. CH prepared all data visualizations and prepared the original manuscript draft. CH, PB, LC, AC, and MC worked together to review and edit manuscript drafts, and all agreed on the final draft for journal submission.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

535

520

References

545

Abdi, H. and Williams, L. J.: Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) Test, in: Encyclopedia of Research Design, Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA, 2010.

Allen, P. A.: Time scales of tectonic landscapes and their sediment routing systems, Geological Society, London, Special 540 Publications, 296, 7–28, 2008.

Allen, P. A.: Sediment Routing Systems: The Fate of Sediment from Source to Sink, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316135754, 2017.

Argento, D. C., Stone, J. O., Reedy, R. C., and O'Brien, K.: Physics-based modeling of cosmogenic nuclides part II - Key aspects of in-situ cosmogenic nuclide production, Quaternary Geochronology, 26, 44–55, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2014.09.005, 2015.

Balco, G. and Rovey, C. W.: An isochron method for cosmogenic-nuclide dating of buried soils and sediments, American Journal of Science, 308, 1083–1114, https://doi.org/10.2475/10.2008.02, 2008.

Balco, G., Stone, J. O., Lifton, N. A., and Dunai, T. J.: A complete and easily accessible means of calculating surface exposure ages or erosion rates from 10Be and 26A1 measurements, Quaternary Geochronology, 3, 174–195, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2007.12.001, 2008.

Ben-Israel, M., Armon, M., Team, A., and Matmon, A.: Sediment residence times in large rivers quantified using a cosmogenic nuclides based transport model and implications for buffering of continental erosion signals, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 127, e2021JF006417, 2022.

Bierman, P. and Caffee, M.: Cosmogenic exposure and erosion history of Australian bedrock landforms, Geological Society of America Bulletin, 114, 787–803, https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2002)114, 2002.

Bierman, P. and Steig, E. J.: Estimating rates of denudation using cosmogenic isotope abundances in sediment, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 21, 125–139, https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9837(199602)21:2<125::AID-ESP511>3.0.CO;2-8, 1996.

Bierman, P., Clapp, E., Nichols, K., Gillespie, A., and Caffee, M. W.: Using cosmogenic nuclide measurements in sediments
 to understand background rates of erosion and sediment transport, in: Landscape Erosion and Evolution Modeling, Springer,
 89–115, 2001.

Bierman, P. R. and Caffee, M.: Slow Rates of Rock Surface Erosion and Sediment Production Across the Namib Desert and Escarpment, Southern Africa, American Journal of Science, 301, 326–358, https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.301.4-5.326, 2001.

Bierman, P. R. and Nichols, K. K.: Rock to sediment—slope to sea with 10Be—rates of landscape change, Annu. Rev. Earth 565 Planet. Sci., 32, 215–255, 2004.

Bierman, P. R., Reuter, J. M., Pavich, M., Gellis, A. C., Caffee, M. W., and Larsen, J.: Using cosmogenic nuclides to contrast rates of erosion and sediment yield in a semi-arid, arroyo-dominated landscape, Rio Puerco Basin, New Mexico, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 30, 935–953, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1255, 2005.

von Blanckenburg, F.: The control mechanisms of erosion and weathering at basin scale from cosmogenic nuclides in river sediment, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 237, 462–479, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.06.030, 2005.

23

Blöthe, J. H. and Korup, O.: Millennial lag times in the Himalayan sediment routing system, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 382, 38-46, 2013.

Brown, E. T., Stallard, R. F., Larsen, M. C., Raisbeck, G. M., and Yiou, F.: Denudation rates determined from the accumulation of in situ-produced 10Be in the Luquillo Experimental Forest, Puerto Rico, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 129, 193–202, 1995.

575

Campbell, M. K., Bierman, P. R., Schmidt, A. H., Sibello Hernández, R., García-Moya, A., Corbett, L. B., Hidy, A. J., Cartas Águila, H., Guillén Arruebarrena, A., and Balco, G.: Cosmogenic nuclide and solute flux data from central Cuban rivers emphasize the importance of both physical and chemical mass loss from tropical landscapes, Geochronology, 4, 435–453, 2022.

580 Carretier, S., Guerit, L., Harries, R., Regard, V., Maffre, P., and Bonnet, S.: The distribution of sediment residence times at the foot of mountains and its implications for proxies recorded in sedimentary basins, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 546, 116448, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116448, 2020.

Cazes, G., Fink, D., Codilean, A. T., Fülöp, R., Fujioka, T., and Wilcken, K. M.: 26Al/10Be ratios reveal the source of river sediments in the Kimberley, NW Australia, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 45, 424–439, 2020.

585 Chmeleff, J., Von Blanckenburg, F., Kossert, K., and Jakob, D.: Determination of the 10Be half-life by multicollector ICP-MS and liquid scintillation counting, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 268, 192–199, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2009.09.012, 2010.

Clapp, E. M., Bierman, P. R., Schick, A. P., Lekach, J., Enzel, Y., and Caffee, M.: Sediment yield exceeds sediment production in arid region drainage basins, Geology, 28, 995–998, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2000)28, 2000.

590 Clapp, E. M., Bierman, P. R., Nichols, K. K., Pavich, M., and Caffee, M.: Rates of sediment supply to arroyos from upland erosion determined using in situ produced cosmogenic 10Be and 26Al, Quaternary Research, 55, 235–245, https://doi.org/10.1006/qres.2000.2211, 2001.

Clapp, E. M., Bierman, P. R., and Caffee, M.: Using 10Be and 26Al to determine sediment generation rates and identify sediment source areas in an arid region drainage basin, Geomorphology, 45, 89–104, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-555x(01)00191-x, 2002.

Codilean, A. T. and Munack, H.: Short communication: Updated CRN Denudation datasets in OCTOPUS v2.3, Geochronology Discussions, 1–13, https://doi.org/10.5194/gchron-2024-28, 2024.

Codilean, A. T. and Sadler, P. M.: Tectonic Controls on Himalayan Denudation?, AGU Advances, 2, 2021.

Codilean, A. T., Munack, H., Cohen, T. J., Saktura, W. M., Gray, A., and Mudd, S. M.: OCTOPUS: an open cosmogenic
 isotope and luminescence database, Earth System Science Data, 10, 2123–2139, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-2123-2018, 2018.

Codilean, A. T., Fülöp, R.-H., Munack, H., Wilcken, K. M., Cohen, T. J., Rood, D. H., Fink, D., Bartley, R., Croke, J., and Fifield, L.: Controls on denudation along the East Australian continental margin, Earth-Science Reviews, 214, 103543, 2021.

Codilean, A. T., Munack, H., Saktura, W. M., Cohen, T. J., Jacobs, Z., Ulm, S., Hesse, P. P., Heyman, J., Peters, K. J., Williams,
 A. N., Saktura, R. B. K., Rui, X., Chishiro-Dennelly, K., and Panta, A.: OCTOPUS database (v.2), Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 14, 3695–3713, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-3695-2022, 2022.

Corbett, L. B., Bierman, P. R., and Rood, D. H.: An approach for optimizing in situ cosmogenic 10Be sample preparation, Quaternary Geochronology, 33, 24–34, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2016.02.001, 2016.

Corbett, L. B., Bierman, P. R., Brown, T. A., Caffee, M. W., Fink, D., Freeman, S. P. H. T., Hidy, A. J., Rood, D. H., Wilcken,

610 K. M., and Woodruff, T. E.: Clean quartz matters for cosmogenic nuclide analyses: An exploration of the importance of sample purity using the CRONUS-N reference material, Quaternary Geochronology, 73, 101403, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2022.101403, 2022.

Dosseto, A., Buss, H. L., and Chabaux, F.: Age and weathering rate of sediments in small catchments: The role of hillslope erosion, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 132, 238–258, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.02.010, 2014.

615 Dunne, T., Mertes, L. A. K., Meade, R. H., Richey, J. E., and Forsberg, B. R.: Exchanges of sediment between the flood plain and channel of the Amazon River in Brazil, GSA Bulletin, 110, 450–467, https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1998)110<0450:EOSBTF>2.3.CO;2, 1998.

Eccleshall, S. V.: The why, when, and where of anabranching rivers in the arid Lake Eyre Basin, Doctor of Philosophy thesis, University of Wollongong, 2019.

620 Ehlers, J., Gibbard, P., and Hughes, P.: Quaternary Glaciations - Extent and Chronology, 2–1108 pp., 2011.

625

640

Fülöp, R.-H., Codilean, A. T., Wilcken, K. M., Cohen, T. J., Fink, D., Smith, A. M., Yang, B., Levchenko, V. A., Wacker, L., and Marx, S. K.: Million-year lag times in a post-orogenic sediment conveyor, Science Advances, 6, eaaz8845, 2020.

Granger, D. E.: A review of burial dating methods using 26Al and 10Be, in: In Situ-Produced Cosmogenic Nuclides and Quantification of Geological Processes: Geological Society of America Special Paper 415, edited by: Siame, L. L., Bourles, D. L., and Brown, E. T., Geological Society of America, 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1130/2006.2415(01), 2006.

Granger, D. E. and Schaller, M.: Cosmogenic Nuclides and Erosion at the Watershed Scale, Elements, 10, 369–373, https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.10.5.369, 2014.

Granger, D. E., Kirchner, J. W., and Finkel, R.: Spatially averaged long-term erosion rates measured from in situ-produced cosmogenic nuclides in alluvial sediment, The Journal of Geology, 104, 249–257, https://doi.org/10.1086/629823, 1996.

630 Halsted, C. T., Bierman, P. R., and Balco, G.: Empirical Evidence for Latitude and Altitude Variation of the In Situ Cosmogenic 26Al/10Be Production Ratio, Geosciences, 11, 402, 2021.

Hartmann, J. and Moosdorf, N.: The new global lithological map database GLiM: A representation of rock properties at the Earth surface, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 13, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GC004370, 2012.

Heimsath, A. M., Dietrich, W. E., Nishiizumi, K., and Finkel, R. C.: The soil production function and landscape equilibrium, Nature, 388, 358–361, 1997.

Hidy, A. J., Gosse, J. C., Blum, M. D., and Gibling, M. R.: Glacial-interglacial variation in denudation rates from interior Texas, USA, established with cosmogenic nuclides, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 390, 209–221, 2014.

Jautzy, T., Rixhon, G., Braucher, R., Delunel, R., Valla, P. G., Schmitt, L., and Team, A.: Cosmogenic (un-)steadiness revealed by paired-nuclide catchment-wide denudation rates in the formerly half-glaciated Vosges Mountains (NE France), Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 625, 118490, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2023.118490, 2024.

Jerolmack, D. J. and Paola, C.: Shredding of environmental signals by sediment transport, Geophysical Research Letters, 37, 2010.

Jonell, T. N., Owen, L. A., Carter, A., Schwenniger, J.-L., and Clift, P. D.: Quantifying episodic erosion and transient storage on the western margin of the Tibetan Plateau, upper Indus River, Quaternary Research, 89, 281–306, https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2017.92, 2018.

645

Jungers, M. C., Bierman, P. R., Matmon, A., Nichols, K., Larsen, J., and Finkel, R.: Tracing hillslope sediment production and transport with in situ and meteoric 10Be, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 114, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JF001086, 2009.

Kober, F., Ivy-Ochs, S., Zeilinger, G., Schlunegger, F., Kubik, P., Baur, H., and Wieler, R.: Complex multiple cosmogenic
 nuclide concentration and histories in the arid Rio Lluta catchment, northern Chile, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 34, 398–412, 2009.

Kohl, C. P. and Nishiizumi, K.: Chemical isolation of quartz for measurement of in-situ -produced cosmogenic nuclides, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 56, 3583–3587, https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(92)90401-4, 1992.

Korschinek, G., Bergmaier, A., Faestermann, T., Gerstmann, U. C., Knie, K., Rugel, G., Wallner, A., Dillmann, I., Dollinger,
 G., Lierse von Gostomski, C., Kossert, K., Maiti, M., Poutivtsev, M., and Remmert, A.: A new value for the half-life of 10Be
 by Heavy-Ion Elastic Recoil Detection and liquid scintillation counting, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
 Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 268, 187–191, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2009.09.020, 2010.

Lal, D.: Cosmic ray labeling of erosion surfaces: in situ nuclide production rates and erosion models, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 104, 424–439, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(91)90220-c, 1991.

660 Langbein, W. B. and Leopold, L. B.: Quasi-equilibrium states in channel morphology, American Journal of Science, 262, 782– 794, 1964.

Lauer, J. W. and Parker, G.: Modeling framework for sediment deposition, storage, and evacuation in the floodplain of a meandering river: Theory, Water Resources Research, 44, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR005528, 2008.

Leopold, L. B. and Wolman, M. G.: River meanders, Geological Society of America Bulletin, 71, 769–793, 1960.

665 Lifton, N., Sato, T., and Dunai, T. J.: Scaling in situ cosmogenic nuclide production rates using analytical approximations to atmospheric cosmic-ray fluxes, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 386, 149–160, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.10.052, 2014.

MacFarland, T. W. and Yates, J. M.: Kruskal–Wallis H-Test for Oneway Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) by Ranks, in: Introduction to Nonparametric Statistics for the Biological Sciences Using R, edited by: MacFarland, T. W. and Yates, J. M.,
Springer International Publishing, Cham, 177–211, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30634-6 6, 2016.

Makhubela, T., Kramers, J., Scherler, D., Wittmann, H., Dirks, P., and Winkler, S.: Effects of long soil surface residence times on apparent cosmogenic nuclide denudation rates and burial ages in the Cradle of Humankind, South Africa, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 44, 2968–2981, 2019.

Moon, S., Merritts, D., Snyder, N., Bierman, P., Sanquini, A., Fosdick, J., and Hilley, G.: Erosion of coastal drainages in the Mendocino Triple Junction region (MTJ), northern California, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 502, 156–165, 2018. Munack, H., Blöthe, J. H., Fülöp, R. H., Codilean, A. T., Fink, D., and Korup, O.: Recycling of Pleistocene valley fills dominates 135 ka of sediment flux, upper Indus River, Quaternary Science Reviews, 149, 122–134, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.07.030, 2016.

Nichols, K. K., Bierman, P. R., Hooke, R. L., Clapp, E. M., and Caffee, M.: Quantifying sediment transport on desert piedmonts using 10Be and 26Al, Geomorphology, 45, 105–125, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(01)00192-1, 2002.

Nishiizumi, K.: Preparation of 26Al AMS standards, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 223–224, 388–392, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2004.04.075, 2004.

Nishiizumi, K., Imamura, M., Caffee, M. W., Southon, J. R., Finkel, R. C., and McAninch, J.: Absolute calibration of 10Be AMS standards, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 258, 403–413, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2007.01.297, 2007.

Otto, J., Schrott, L., Jaboyedoff, M., and Dikau, R.: Quantifying sediment storage in a high alpine valley (Turtmanntal, Switzerland), Earth Surface Processes and Landforms: The Journal of the British Geomorphological Research Group, 34, 1726–1742, 2009.

Pizzuto, J.: Suspended sediment and contaminant routing with alluvial storage: New theory and applications, Geomorphology, 352, 106983, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.106983, 2020.

Portenga, E. W. and Bierman, P. R.: Understanding Earth's eroding surface with 10Be, GSA Today, 21, 4–10, https://doi.org/10.1130/g111a.1, 2011.

Portenga, E. W., Bierman, P., Duncan, C., Corbett, L. B., Kehrwald, N. M., and Rood, D. H.: Erosion rates of the Bhutanese Himalaya determined using in situ-produced 10Be, Geomorphology, 233, 112–126, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.09.027, 2015.

Repasch, M., Wittmann, H., Scheingross, J. S., Sachse, D., Szupiany, R., Orfeo, O., Fuchs, M., and Hovius, N.: Sediment Transit Time and Floodplain Storage Dynamics in Alluvial Rivers Revealed by Meteoric 10Be, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 125, e2019JF005419, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JF005419, 2020.

Reusser, L., Bierman, P., and Rood, D.: Quantifying human impacts on rates of erosion and sediment transport at a landscape scale, Geology, 43, 171–174, 2015.

Reusser, L. J., Bierman, P. R., Rizzo, D. M., Portenga, E. W., and Rood, D. H.: Characterizing landscape-scale erosion using 10Be in detrital fluvial sediment: Slope-based sampling strategy detects the effect of widespread dams, Water Resources Research, 53, 4476–4486, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR019774, 2017.

Romans, B. W., Castelltort, S., Covault, J. A., Fildani, A., and Walsh, J.: Environmental signal propagation in sedimentary systems across timescales, Earth-Science Reviews, 153, 7–29, 2016.

Schaefer, J. M., Codilean, A. T., Willenbring, J. K., Lu, Z.-T., Keisling, B., Fülöp, R.-H., and Val, P.: Cosmogenic nuclide techniques, Nature Reviews Methods Primers, 2, 18, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-022-00096-9, 2022.

Schumm, S.: The Fluvial System, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 338 pp., 1977.

695

Struck, M., Jansen, J. D., Fujioka, T., Codilean, A. T., Fink, D., Egholm, D. L., Fülöp, R.-H., Wilcken, K. M., and Kotevski,

710 S.: Soil production and transport on postorogenic desert hillslopes quantified with 10Be and 26Al, GSA Bulletin, 130, 1017– 1040, 2018. Tofelde, S., Bernhardt, A., Guerit, L., and Romans, B. W.: Times Associated With Source-to-Sink Propagation of Environmental Signals During Landscape Transience, Front. Earth Sci., 9, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2021.628315, 2021.

VanLandingham, L. A., Portenga, E. W., Lefroy, E. C., Schmidt, A. H., Bierman, P. R., and Hidy, A. J.: Comparison of basin-

715 scale in situ and meteoric ¹⁰Be erosion and denudation rates in felsic lithologies across an elevation gradient at the George River, northeast Tasmania, Australia, Geochronology, 4, 153–176, https://doi.org/10.5194/gchron-4-153-2022, 2022.

Vermeesch, P., Fenton, C., Kober, F., Wiggs, G., Bristow, C. S., and Xu, S.: Sand residence times of one million years in the Namib Sand Sea from cosmogenic nuclides, Nature Geoscience, 3, 862–865, 2010.

Willenbring, J. K., Codilean, A. T., and McElroy, B.: Earth is (mostly) flat: Apportionment of the flux of continental sediment over millennial time scales, Geology, 41, 343–346, https://doi.org/10.1130/g33918.1, 2013.

Wittmann, H. and von Blanckenburg, F.: Cosmogenic nuclide budgeting of floodplain sediment transfer, Geomorphology, 109, 246–256, 2009.

Wittmann, H. and von Blanckenburg, F.: The geological significance of cosmogenic nuclides in large lowland river basins, Earth-Science Reviews, 159, 118–141, 2016.

725 Wittmann, H., von Blanckenburg, F., Maurice, L., Guyot, J. L., and Kubik, P. W.: Recycling of Amazon floodplain sediment quantified by cosmogenic 26Al and 10Be, Geology, 39, 467–470, https://doi.org/10.1130/g31829.1, 2011.

Wittmann, H., Malusà, M. G., Resentini, A., Garzanti, E., and Niedermann, S.: The cosmogenic record of mountain erosion transmitted across a foreland basin: Source-to-sink analysis of in situ 10Be, 26Al and 21Ne in sediment of the Po river catchment, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 452, 258–271, 2016.

730 Wittmann, H., Oelze, M., Gaillardet, J., Garzanti, E., and von Blanckenburg, F.: A global rate of denudation from cosmogenic nuclides in the Earth's largest rivers, Earth-Science Reviews, 204, 103147, 2020.

Zhang, X., Cui, L., Xu, S., Liu, C., Zhao, Z., Zhang, M., and Liu-Zeng, J.: Assessing non-steady-state erosion processes using paired 10Be–26Al in southeastern Tibet, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 46, 1363–1374, 2021.